

Experimental Investigations, Regression and Computational, Modeling and Analysis of Efficient and Effective Techniques for Fuel/ Energy Conservation in Iron Foundries

R. K. Jain

Professor & Head, Deptt. of Mech. Engineering, ITM University, Gwalior
E-mail: rkjain1192@yahoo.co.in, Ratan Jain@ITM University.ac.in

Abstract: This paper deals with development of efficient and effective techniques for fuel/energy conservation in iron foundries. The authors conducted series of experimental investigations on a self-designed and developed 200kg LDO fired rotary furnace installed in a foundry.

Initially the furnace was operated as under existing conditions. the specific fuel consumption was 0.415 liters/kg and energy consumption was 4.110 kwh/kg. The technique of oxygen enrichment and reducing combustion volume was applied. Initially the 6.9% oxygen enrichment of 75% of theoretically required air was done. The specific fuel reduced to 0.260 liters/kg and specific energy to 2.5752 kwh/kg. Further 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment of 60-65% of theoretically required air lead to specific fuel consumption of 0.208 liters/kg and specific energy to 2.0403 kwh/kg. This effective technique significantly reduced specific fuel and energy consumption by 53.855% and 53.863% respectively

The modeling of oxygen consumption per heat was carried out using mat lab. The average and percentage variations between experimentally investigated and modeled value for 6.9% oxygen enrichment are +0.0549256 and +1.1077304, and for 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment are -0.0185 and +0.022359% respectively. These variations are within acceptable range of $\pm 5\%$ hence are acceptable.

The regression analysis of variability of results has also been done. The average and percentage variations between experimentally investigated and calculated values of fuel consumption for 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment are +0.0003717 and -0.14695% respectively.

Keywords: Rotary furnace, Excess air percentage, Preheated air temperature, Oxygen enrichment, Mat lab, Energy consumption.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present exercise is an attempt in energy conservation in ferrous foundries through experimental investigations and regression and computational, modeling and analysis of oxygen enrichment of combustion volume of rotary furnace. In rotary furnace for melting of cast iron, the input parameters are (1) charge weight, (2) fuel (LDO), (3) flame temperature, (4) Preheated air volume, (5) Preheated air temperature, (6) Duration of a particular heat (7) oxygen consumption. These parameters need to be controlled for optimal specific fuel and energy consumption. Figure-1 shows the layout of a rotary furnace and its accessories.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Jain RK, Singh R [1] confirmed that based on the experimental investigations carried out on a 200 kg

furnace in a foundry the optimum RPM of furnace rotation is 1 to give the maximum melting rate and minimum fuel consumption. Jain RK, Singh R, Gupta B. D. [2] presented an overview of energy consumption in ferrous foundry and stressed upon the need of an energy efficient furnace for foundries. Jain R. K, Singh R, [3] applied regression modeling and excel solver technique for mathematical modeling and optimization of critical parameters of rotary furnace viz. rpm, melting rate, specific fuel consumption etc. Jain R. K, Gupta B. D., Singh R, [4, 5]. used Back Propagation Algorithm and artificial neural network" for modeling, optimization and simulation of Energy (Fuel) Consumption of L.D.O. fired Rotary Furnace and concluded that both techniques can be applied with sufficient accuracy to predict the Energy (Fuel) Consumption of any size of rotary furnace. Singh B. M. [6] have detailed the theory of regression leading to Variance of the residual variants and




Figure 1: Layout of Rotary Furnace

derived out recursions formulas for variance and covariance. Gupta S. P. [7] have described in detail the statistical methods viz. measurement of central values, Median, Mean deviation, Standard deviation, and applied it in all fields of engineering and management. Parappagoudar M.B., Pratihari D. K and Dutta G.L [8] has used non-linear statistical modeling of green sand mould systems using Pareto diagram, investigations of area of mold box, frequency χ^2 test etc. Jhunjhunwala Bharat [9] have explained various statistical methods viz. correlation and regression analysis, and the students t distribution, as applied in industry for testing the significance of hypothesis and analysis of results. Montgomery D. C. [10] have explained several methods of statistical quality control, using various statistical techniques and concluded that quality can be highly improved by these statistical methods. Schneider M., Serghini A. *et al.* [11] has described the physical modeling and simulation of core shooting binder hardening and binder degradation during casting using statistical methods. The production of corresponding core has become a limiting factor.

3. MELTING OPERATION

The process of melting the charge in rotary furnace is carried out in the following steps:

- (i) Preheating of oil and furnace-
- (ii) Charging- After pre heating, the furnace is charged.
- (iii) Rotation-After sufficient pre heating and charging, the furnace is rotated at desired speed.
- (iv) Melting- The flame starts coming out of the exit end, which is initially yellowish in colour. After approximately 1 hour, the colour of flame changes to white indicating that metal has been thoroughly melted. The temperature of the molten metal is measured using pyrometer. If it is

approximately 1250 to 1300°C, the rotation of furnace is stopped.

- (v) Tapping-The tap hole is slightly lowered and opened and metal is transferred into ladles, which are pre heated prior to the transfer of molten metal to avoid heat losses.
- (vi) Inoculation-The Ferro silicon and Ferro manganese approx. 600 grams per heat are added in molten metal contained in the ladles.
- (vii) Pouring -The ladles are then carried to moulds and pouring is completed

4. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATIONS

The series of experimental investigations conducted are given in subsequent sections

- (1) **Specific fuel and Energy consumption - Operating furnace under existing conditions of operation**—the furnace was operated under existing conditions of operation without oxygen enrichment. The charge per heat is 200.0 kg. In first heat, as furnace was started from room temperature, the melting time, fuel and energy consumption were more. In subsequent heats, the melting time, fuel and energy consumption were reduced. 1liter of LDO is equivalent to 9.9047kwh/kg of energy. Observations are given in table 1.
- (2) **Graphical representation**-the graphical representation of energy consumption under existing conditions of operation is shown in figure 2.
- (3) **Effective techniques -Oxygen enrichment of combustion volume**- If the combustion volume is more than more fuel and time shall be required for reaching to a certain temperature. Hence it is thought to optimize the combustion volume by reducing the amount of air and supplying oxygen externally. Several experiments were conducted, gradually reducing air to its theoretical requirement and even lesser in steps of 5.0 to 10.0% and supplying oxygen externally in steps of 1.0 to 2.0 % and its effect on flame temperature, time, fuel, melting rate, and fuel consumption was

Table 1
Specific Fuel and Energy Consumption of Furnace under Existing Conditions of Operations without Oxygen Enrichment of Combustion Volume

S. No.	Heat no	Rpm	Time min	Fuel liters	Specific Fuel (lit/kg)	Melting Rate (kg/hr)	Flame temp. °C	Preheated air cons.m ³	Energy consumption kwh/kg
1	1	2.0	50.0	92.0	0.460	240.0	1310.0	1320.0	4.556
2	2	2.0	47.0	90.0	0.450	255.3	1314.0	1290.0	4.457
3	3	2.0	46.0	87.0	0.435	260.8	1325.0	1240.0	4.308
4	4	2.0	46.0	86.0	0.430	266.0	1334.0	1220.0	4.259
5	5	2.0	45.0	83.0	0.415	266.0	1350.0	1175.0	4.110




Figure 2: The Graphical Representation of Energy without Oxygen Enrichment of Combustion Volume

studied. The effect was significant only when air was reduced to 75.0% of its theoretical requirement and approx.7.0% oxygen was supplied externally.

- (1) **Effect of 6.9% oxygen enrichment of combustion volume** -The data on preheated air temperature, flame temperature, time of heat, fuel consumption /heat, oxygen consumption/heat, preheated air

consumption/heat and melting rate were collected from self designed and developed rotary furnace operating in a ferrous foundry. Charge weight in each heat was 200kg. The 6.9% oxygen enrichment of 75.3-75.4% of combustion volume is made to reduce the specific fuel and for energy conservation. The relevant observations are presented in Table 2.

Table 2
Experimental Oxygen Consumption (6.9% Oxygen Enrichment of 75.3-75.4%) of Theoretically Required Preheated Air and its Affect on Inputs

S. No	Preheat air temp °C	Flame temp °C	Time/Heat Min	Fuel consumption Liters	Melting rate Kg/hr	Sp.Fuel Consumption Lit./kg	Preheat air vol. m ³	Oxygen Consumption /heat m ³	Energy consumption kwh/kg
	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	x_6	x_7	Y	
1	410	1710	33	56	363	0.280	459.5	39.0	2.7733
2	418	1722	32	56	375	0.280	459	39.0	2.7733
3	428	1730	32	55	375	0.275	451	38.5	2.7237
4	449	1746	31.5	54	385	0.270	443	38.0	2.6742
5	454	1752	31	53	387	0.265	434.5	37.0	2.6247
6	458	1754	30.5	52	393.44	0.260	426.7	36.6	2.5752
7	460	1755	30.5	52	393.44	0.260	426.5	36.5	2.5752

(2) **Graphical representation-** the graphical representation of effect of 6.9% oxygen

enrichment of combustion volume on energy consumption is shown in figure 3.




Figure 3: The Graphical Representation of Energy Consumption with 6.9% Oxygen Enrichment of Combustion Volume

5. INDUSTRIAL RESULTS AND MODELING

Statistical methods such as cluster analysis, pattern recognition, design of experiments, factor analysis, and regression analysis are some of the statistical techniques which enable one to analyze the experimental data and build empirical models to obtain the most accurate representation of physical situations

1. Development of Model 1 (Table 1)

The oxygen enrichment has been taken as output parameter Y, and all other parameters viz. excess air, preheated air temperature, fuel/heat; time/heat etc. has been taken as input parameters. $X = f[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7]$. Regression modeling as given in matrices of MATLAB 7.0 is used. Oxygen consumption is function of all input parameters and can be expressed as

$O_2 = f[(\text{preheated air temp}) (\text{flame temp.}) (\text{Time}) (\text{Fuel}) (\text{Melting rate}) (\text{Specific fuel}) (\text{Preheated air volume})]$

Mathematically it can be represented as

$$O_2 = C_0 (\text{PHAT})^{C_1} (\text{FT})^{C_2} (\text{T})^{C_3} (\text{F})^{C_4} (\text{MR})^{C_5} (\text{SF})^{C_6} (\text{PHAV})^{C_7} \tag{1}$$

or $\ln[O_2] = \ln C_0 + C_1 \ln (\text{PHAT}) + C_2 \ln (\text{FT}) + C_3 \ln (\text{T}) + C_4 \ln (\text{F}) + C_5 \ln (\text{MR}) + C_6 \ln (\text{SF}) + C_7 \ln (\text{PHAV})$

Where $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6$ and C_7 are constants to be determined using Mat lab. The following steps are followed in **MATLAB**; the first column is always taken as unity.

1. Output melting rate [Y] and inputs [X] were converted in natural log terms
2. $X^T =$ Transpose of [X] was determined.
3. X^T Transpose of [X] was multiplied with [X] to get the product $[X^T X]$.
4. The inverse of product $[X^T X] = [X^T X]^{-1}$ was obtained.
5. X_1 Transpose of [X] was multiplied with output Y to get the product $= [X^T Y]$
6. Step 4 $[X^T X]^{-1}$ was multiplied with step 5 $[X^T Y]$ to obtain the product of $[X^T X]^{-1}$ and $[X^T Y]$. The final matrices is of the form

$$\beta = \begin{matrix} \beta_0 \text{ constant} & C_0 = \text{antilog } \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 & C_1 = \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 & C_2 = \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 & C_3 = \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 & C_4 = \beta_4 \\ \beta_5 & C_5 = \beta_5 \\ \beta_6 & C_6 = \beta_6 \\ \beta_7 & C_7 = \beta_7 \end{matrix}$$

The values are $\beta_0 = 6.6118, \beta_1 = -0.2926, \beta_2 = 0.5508, \beta_3 = -0.2240, \beta_4 = -0.1386, \beta_5 = -0.0689644, \beta_6 = 0.0000010, \beta_7 = 0.0406391$.

The values of constants are

$$C_0 = \text{antilog } \beta_0 = -40.172189, C_1 = \beta_1 = -0.009366, \\ C_2 = \beta_2 = 0.0000105, C_3 = \beta_3 = 0.7527703, \\ C_4 = \beta_4 = -2.7395490, C_5 = \beta_5 = -0.0689644, C_6 = \beta_6 = 0.0000010, C_7 = \beta_7 = 0.0406391.$$

Putting these values in eqn. (1)

$$[O_2] = C_0 (\text{PHAT})^{C_1} (\text{FT})^{C_2} (\text{T})^{C_3} (\text{F})^{C_4} (\text{MR})^{C_5} (\text{SF})^{C_6} (\text{PHAV})^{C_7} \quad (1)$$

$$[O_2] = -40.172189(\text{PHAT})^{-0.009366} (\text{FT})^{0.0000105} \\ (\text{T})^{0.7527703} (\text{F})^{-2.7395490} (\text{MR})^{-0.0689644} (\text{SF})^{0.0000010} \\ (\text{PHAV})^{0.0406391} \quad (2)$$

(2) Testing of model 1- Comparison of experimental and modeled values of 6.9% oxygen enrichment of 75.3-75.4% of theoretically required preheated air

The model developed is tested and comparison of actual experimental results and modeled results of oxygen consumption/heat of 6.9% oxygen enrichment of 75.3-75.4% of theoretically required preheated air is given in Table 3.

Table 3
Comparison of Actual Experimental Values and Modeled Values of Oxygen Consumption / heat

Sn	Experimental values	Modeled value	Actual variation	% variation
1	39.0	38.9951	-0.0049	-0.012564
2	39.0	39.000161	+0.000161	+0.0004128
3	38.5	38.39430	-0.1057	-0.2745454
4	38.0	38.00910	+0.00910	+0.023947
5	37.0	36.99900	-0.000999	-0.0027
6	36.6	36.60098	+0.00098	+0.00267
7	36.5	36.5002375	+0.5002375	+1.37051

Maximum variation = +0.5002375, Maximum % variation = +1.37051, Average variation = +0.0549256, Average % variation = 1.1077304

The comparison of experimental oxygen consumption and modeled oxygen consumption (table 2) is shown in Fig. 4.

6. RESULTS

The average variation is +0.0157331 and the average percentage variation between experimental and modeled values of oxygen enrichment of combustion volume is. It is within permissible limits hence acceptable.




Figure 4: Comparison of Experimental and Modeled 6.9% Oxygen Enrichment (Table 2)

7. FURTHER OXYGEN ENRICHMENT OF COMBUSTION VOLUME

Again the experiment was continued, further reducing volume of air to (60 -65)% of its theoretical requirement and increasing additional oxygen supply to 7.5%- 8.5%, maintaining the with same charge of 200.0 kg. The experiment was conducted, operating furnace in similar conditions in consecutive heats. The observations taken during experiment are given in table 4.

(1) Graphical representation- the graphical representation of effect of 7.5%- 8.5%, oxygen enrichment of combustion volume on energy consumption is shown in figure 5.

(2) Development of model 2- following exactly the similar steps, the values of constants are

$$C_0 = \text{antilog } \beta_0 = 2.1914 \times 10^{-241}, C_1 = \beta_1 = -0.1041, \\ C_2 = \beta_2 = 8.77, C_3 = \beta_3 = 54.1816, C_4 = \beta_4 = 0.4769 \\ C_5 = \beta_5 = 52.9360, C_6 = \beta_6 = 2.1878, C_7 = \beta_7 = -1.2217.$$

Putting these values in eqn. (1)

$$[O_2] = C_0 (\text{PHAT})^{C_1} (\text{FT})^{C_2} (\text{T})^{C_3} (\text{F})^{C_4} (\text{MR})^{C_5} \\ (\text{SF})^{C_6} (\text{PHAV})^{C_7} \quad (1)$$

$$[O_2] = 2.1914 \times 10^{-241} (\text{PHAT})^{-0.1041} (\text{FT})^{8.77} (\text{T})^{54.1816} \\ (\text{F})^{0.4769} (\text{MR})^{52.9360} (\text{SF})^{2.1878} (\text{PHAV})^{-1.2217} \quad (3)$$

(3) Testing of model 2 -Comparison of experimental and modeled values of 7.5- 8.5% oxygen enrichment of 60 % of combustion volume-The model developed is tested and comparison of actual

Table 4
Experimental Oxygen Consumption (7.5%- 8.5 %, Oxygen Enrichment 60 -65% of Theoretically Required Preheated Air and its Affect on Inputs

Heat No	Preheated air temp °C	Flame Temp °C	Time Min.	Fuel liter	Melting rate kg/hr	Specific fuel cons. lit/kg	Preheated air consumption m ³	Oxygen consumption m ³	Energy consumption kwh/kg
1	424.0	1745.0	32.0	48.0	375.00	0.240	319.0	49.3	2.3771
2	430.0	1752.0	32.0	47.0	375.00	0.235	319.0	49.0	2.3276
3	437.0	1755.0	32.0	46.5	375.00	0.232	317.0	48.0	2.2978
4	448.0	1762.0	31.5	45.8	380.95	0.229	313.0	46.8	2.2681
5	465.0	1770.0	31.0	45.0	387.00	0.225	310.0	46.0	2.2285
6	470.0	1772.0	30.5	44.6	393.44	0.223	309.0	45.0	2.2087
7	472.0	1773.0	30.5	43.8	393.44	0.219	302.0	45.0	2.1691
8	474.0	1776.0	30.4	42.9	394.73	0.214	297.0	43.0	2.1196
9	475.0	1778.0	30.1	42.0	398.67	0.210	295.0	41.5	2.0799
10	476.0	1778.0	30.1	41.6	398.67	0.208	294.0	40.0	2.0403




Figure 5: The Graphical Representation of Energy Consumption with 6.9% Oxygen Enrichment of Combustion Volume

experimental and modeled results of oxygen consumption/heat of 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment of 60 % of theoretically required preheated air is given in Table 5.

(4) **Graphical representation** The comparison of experimental oxygen consumption and modeled oxygen consumption (table 4) is shown in Fig. 6

8. MODELING USING REGRESSION ANALYSIS

The another model is developed for fuel consumption using regression analysis as given in subsequent sections

Table 5
Comparison of Actual Experimental and Modeled Results of Oxygen Consumption/ The Average Actual variation is-0.0185 and Percentage Variation is +0.022359

S. No.	Experimental values	Modeled value	Actual variation	% Variation
1	49.3	49.5491	+0.2491	+0.50527
2	49.0	48.4489	-0.5511	-1.12469
3	48.0	47.8613	-0.1387	-0.288958
4	46.8	47.4884	+0.6884	+1.47094
5	46.0	45.9849	-0.0151	-0.032826
6	45.0	45.1453	+0.1453	+0.32288
7	45.0	44.4406	-0.5594	-1.243111
8	43.0	43.1141	+0.1141	+0.265348
9	41.5	41.2142	-0.2858	-0.688674
10	40.0	40.3347	+0.3347	+0.83675




Figure 6: Comparison of Experimental and Modeled 7.5%- 8.5 %, Oxygen Consumption (Table 4)

(1) Effect of 7.5%- 8.5 %, Oxygen enrichment of combustion volume on specific fuel: The graphical representation of effect of oxygen

enrichment of combustion volume (m³) on specific fuel consumption as per observed values table 3 is shown in fig. 7.




Figure 7: The Graphical Representation of Effect of Oxygen (m³) on Specific Fuel Consumption

Calculations: The calculations of oxygen and specific fuel consumption are given in table 6.

Table 6
The Calculations of Oxygen and Specific Fuel Consumption. $\bar{x} = 45.36, \bar{y} = 0.2235$
Y= specific fuel consumption, X= oxygen consumption

S. No.	X	$x=X-\bar{x}$	x^2	Y	$y= Y-\bar{y}$	y^2	xy
1	49.3	3.94	15.5236	0.240	0.0165	0.00027225000	0.06501
2	49	3.64	13.2496	0.235	0.0115	0.00013225000	0.04186
3	48	2.64	6.9696	0.232	0.0085	0.00007225000	0.02244
4	46.8	1.44	2.0736	0.229	0.0055	0.00003025000	0.00792
5	46	0.64	0.4096	0.225	0.0015	0.00000225000	0.00096
6	45	-0.36	0.1296	0.223	0.0005	0.00000025000	0.00018
7	45	-0.36	0.1296	0.219	0.0045	0.00002025000	0.00162
8	43	-2.36	5.5696	0.214	0.0095	0.00009025000	0.02242
9	41.5	-3.86	14.8996	0.21	0.0135	0.00018225000	0.05211
10	40	-5.36	28.7296	0.208	0.0155	0.00024025000	0.08308
Σ	453.6	0.00	87.684	2.235	-5.6E-17	0.00104250000	0.2976

Regression equation of specific fuel consumption vs. oxygen consumption.

X is oxygen, Y is specific fuel. Equation of Y on X

$$(Y - \hat{y}) = b_{yx}(X - \hat{x}) \quad \hat{y} = 0.2235, \quad \hat{x} = 45.36$$

$$Y - 0.2235 = b_{yx}(X - 45.36) \tag{I.20}$$

Where $b_{yx} = r \frac{\sigma_y}{\sigma_x} = \frac{\sum xy}{\sum x^2} = \frac{0.2976}{87.684} = 0.003394$,

Putting it in (1)

$$Y - 0.2235 = 0.003394(X - 45.36)$$

$$\text{On Solving } Y = 0.003394 X + 0.069548 \tag{I.21}$$

Or **Specific fuel consumption = 0.003394 (oxygen consumption) + 0.069548** Testing of model - developed by regression analysis

The calculated values, (by reregression equation) observed values, variation and % variation of specific fuel based on oxygen consumption, are given in table 7.

Table 7
The Calculated Values, Observed Values, Variation, % Variation of Specific Fuel based on Oxygen Consumption using Regression Analysis

S. No.	X	Y _{observed}	Y _{calculated}	Variation	% Variation
1	49.3	0.240	Y=0.003394(49.3)+0.069548= 0.236872	- 0.003128	- 1.320%
2	49.0	0.235	Y=0.003394(49)+0.069548 = 0.235854	+0.00854	+0.3621%
3	48.0	0.232	Y = 0.003394(48) +0.069548 = 0.23246	+0.00046	+0.19788%
4	46.8	0.229	Y 0.003394(46.8) +0.069548 = 0.22838	- 0.006128	- 0.2683%
5	46.0	0.225	Y = 0.003394(46) +0.069548 = 0.22567	+0.000672	+ 0.29777%
6	45.0	0.223	Y = 0.003394(45) +0.069548 = 0.222278	-0.000722	-0.324818%
7	45.0	0.219	Y = 0.003394 (45) +0.069548 = 0.222278	0.0003278	+0.01474%
8	43.0	0.214	Y = 0.003394 (43) +0.069548 = 0.21549	+0.00149	+0.69144%
9	41.5	0.21	Y = 0.003394(41.5) +0.069548 = 0.210399	+0.000399	+0.189639%
10	40	0.208	Y = 0.003394(40) +0.069548 = 0.205308	-0.002692	-1.310%

(2) Results: The average variation= **+0.0003717**, the average % variation= **-0.14695%**

The variation of observed values and calculated values of specific fuel, based on oxygen

consumption, as per regression analysis are more clearly presented in figure 8 where blue line represents its observed values and red line the calculated values-




Figure 8: The Observed and Calculated Values of Spec. Fuel based on Oxygen Consumption, Table 5

The prediction of specific fuel consumption based on oxygen consumption is given in table 8.

Table 8
The Prediction of Specific Fuel Consumption based on Oxygen Consumption

Sr. Predictor No.	Regression coefficient	Regression Equation
1 Specific fuel consumption	$b_{yx} = 0.003394$	$Y = 0.003394X + 0.069548$ Specific fuel = 0.003394 (oxygen consumption) + 0.069548

(3) Conclusion: From figure 8 and tables, it is evident that the variation between calculated values and observed values of Spec. fuel based on oxygen consumption is -0.14695%. It is within acceptable range of $\pm 5\%$, hence the regression analysis and regression equations, are acceptable.

9. THE FINAL RESULTS

The result are summarized below-

(a) The comparison of experimental and modeled oxygen consumption are given in table 9.

Table 9
The Comparison of Experimental and Modeled Oxygen Consumption

Sr. No.	Output parameter	6.9% oxygen enrichment			7.5% - 8.5 %, oxygen enrichment		
		Experimental	Modeled (Mat lab)	% variation	Experimental	Modeled (mat lab)	% variation
1	Average oxygen consumption.m ³	37.8	37.78552	0.0383	45.36	45.3185	0.0914

(b) The comparison of specific fuel (lit/kg) and energy (kwh/kg/) consumption on experimental investigations, (using effective technique) are given in table 10

Table 10
The Comparison of Specific Fuel (lit/kg) and Energy (kwh/kg/) Consumption based on Experimental Investigations Only

Sr. No.	Output parameter	Operating under existing conditions	Operating furnace by 6.9% oxygen enrichment of combustion volume	Operating furnace by 7.5% - 8.5 %, oxygen enrichment of combustion volume	Absolute reduction	Percentage reduction
	Specific Fuel	0.415	0.2707	0.2235	0.1915	53.855
	Specific Energy	4.110	2.68120	2.2137	1.8962	53.863

(c) The comparison of specific fuel (lit/kg) and energy (kwh/kg/) consumption based on experimental investigations (using effective technique) and regression-modeling are given in table 11

Table 11
The Comparison of Specific Fuel (lit/kg) and Energy (kwh/kg/) Consumption based on Experimental Investigations and Regression Modeling

Sr. No.	Output parameter	Operating under existing conditions	Operating furnace by 6.9% oxygen enrichment of combustion volume	Operating furnace by 7.5% - 8.5 %, oxygen enrichment of combustion volume	Regression Modeling 7.5%-8.5% oxygen enrichment of combustion volume	% variation Experimental and /Regression modeling
	Specific Fuel	0.415	0.2707	0.2235	0.22349	0.004474
	Specific Energy	4.110	2.68120	2.2137	2.21360	0.0045173

(d) The graphical representation of effect of oxygen enrichment of combustion volume on fuel conservation is shown in figure 9 and on energy conservation in figure 11




Figure 9: Effect of Oxygen Enrichment of Combustion Volume on Specific Fuel Conservation




Figure 10: Effect of Oxygen Enrichment of Combustion Volume on Specific Energy Conservation

CONCLUSIONS

On basis of above experimental investigations, regression, computational modeling and analysis, the following conclusions are drawn-

- (1) The oxygen enrichment and reducing of combustion volume significantly reduces the specific fuel/energy consumption. The minimum specific fuel consumption was 0.415 liter/kg, without oxygen enrichment which reduce to 0.260 liter/kg with 6.9% and then to 0.208 liter/kg with 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment.

- (2) The oxygen consumption/heat has been optimized using computational technique (Mat lab). The average and percentage variations between experimentally investigated and modeled value for 6.9% oxygen enrichment are +0.0549256 and +1.1077304, and for 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment are -0.0185 and +0.022359% respectively. These variations are within acceptable range of $\pm 5\%$ hence are acceptable. Computational technique (Mat lab) is capable of modeling the rotary furnace parameters with sufficient accuracy

- (3) The statistical techniques (regression analysis) have been made for analysis of effect of 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment oxygen enrichment of combustion volume on specific fuel consumption. The average and percentage variations between experimentally investigated and calculated values of fuel consumption for 7.5-8.5% oxygen enrichment are +0.0003717 and -0.14695percentage respectively. Statistical technique (regressions analysis) is also capable of modeling the efficient and effective techniques with sufficient accuracy.
- (4) The oxygen enrichment and reducing combustion volume has proven to be an efficient and effective technique for fuel/energy conservation in iron foundries.

Recommendations: The LDO fired rotary furnace, under these operating parameters is recommended for ferrous foundries for fuel/energy conservation.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. K. Jain, Ranjit Singh, "Optimization of Rotary Furnace Parameters" Proceedings of International Conference on Optimization Techniques in Field of Engineering. & Technology", Sep 22-23, Hotel Holiday Inn, Agra.
- [2] R. K. Jain, Ranjit Singh "Modeling & Optimization, of Critical Parameters of Rotary Furnace using Excel Solver". *Indian Foundry Journal*, Vol. 54, No. 3, Pp 29-32, 2008.
- [3] R. K. Jain, B. D. Gupta, and Ranjit Singh - "Optimization and Simulation of Energy (Fuel) Consumption of L.D.O. fired Rotary Furnace Using Back Propagation Algorithm" *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering*. (ISSN 0974-5823). Vol. 2, No 2, Pp. 177-184, 2009.
- [4] R. K. Jain, B. D. Gupta, Ranjit Singh - "Energy Considerations in Indian Ferrous Foundries - An over View" *Indian Foundry Journal*, Vol. 54, No. 9, Pp31-34, 2008.
- [5] R. K. Jain, B. D. Gupta Ranjit Singh "Modeling, Optimization and Simulation of Energy (Fuel) Consumption of LDO Fired Rotary Furnace using Artificial Neural Network" *Indian Foundry Journal*, Vol. 56, No. 3, Pp 37-41, 2010.
- [6] B. M. Singh, "Multivariate Statistical Analysis" Textbook, Published by South Asian Publisher's PVT. LTD. New Delhi-014(2002), pp. 72-79.
- [7] S. P. Gupta- "Statistical Methods" Textbook, Published by Sultan Chand & Sons New Delhi-014(2004), Pp 196-198, 277-286.
- [8] M. B. Parappagoudar, D. K. Pratihari and G. L. Dutta., 2007 - "Linear and Non Linear Statistical Modeling of Green sand Mould System". *International Journal of Cast Metals Research*, Vol. 20, Issue1, Pp 1- 13. 2007.
- [9] Bharat Jhunjhunwala., 2008- "Business Statistics" Text Book, Published by S. Chand & Co Ltd. New Delhi-055 (2008), pp 7.1-7.70, 8.1-8.49.
- [10] D. C. Montgomery- "Introduction to Statistical Quality Control" 6th edition, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 2008 New York.

This document was created with Win2PDF available at <http://www.win2pdf.com>.
The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.
This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.