

Effect of Various Red Seaweed Extracts on Controlling Sheath Blight in Rice Caused by *Rhizoctonia Solanikuhn*

T. Suthin Raj^{1*}, K. Hane Graff¹, A. Arumukapraavin¹ and H. Ann Suji²

Abstract: Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is an important staple food crop for majority of the world. Many biotic stresses hamper rice production and specifically, fungal diseases cause huge economic losses. Among different fungal diseases of rice, sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn (*Thanetoporouscucumeris* (Frank) Donk) is emerging as a very destructive disease and it is an important one responsible for losses in grain yield. The present study focused on the effect of various red seaweed extracts on controlling sheath blight in rice caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* under invitro condition. The artificial inoculation was carried out by grain inoculation and covered with polythene bags. It was found to be the best in plant infection. Mean per cent infection recorded was 61.4 per cent and followed by the grain inoculation method which recorded 42.4 per cent. Five red seaweed extracts were tested against *R.solani*. Extracts of *Gelidium pusillum* at a high concentration (20%) was found to be the best in the reduction of spore germination (17.00 per cent). Various red seaweed extracts were selected and evaluated for the antimicrobial activity by two methods, such as paper disc and agar well method. The leaf extracts of *G. pusillum* at a highest concentration (20%) was found to be the best in both paper disc method and agar well methods (47.60 and 48.00 per cent inhibition zone respectively). The GC-MS analysis of seaweed extract was done to determine the chemical compound responsible for the antimicrobial activity. Heptane which was closely related to 2,6,6-trimethyl may be responsible for the inhibition of the growth of *R. solani*. Various treatments were given to the plant for further study. Application of *G. pusillum*, (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 30, 50 and 70 DAT) (T_1) recorded significantly less sheath blight incidence of 82, 80 and 86 per cent increase over control at 30, 50 and 70 days after transplanting than other treatments. The rice plants were treated with different red seaweed algae and the biometric observations and yield parameters were also recorded on 70 DAT. Among all the treatments, application of *G. pusillum*(T_1) was found to significantly increase the mean plant height (112 cm) mean number of productive tillers (10 nos.), mean 1000g weight (21g), straw yield (7.30 ton/ha) and grain yield (31 g/plant) as compared to all the other treatments. Green house study was conducted to test the induction of various defense enzymes on rice plants with different application of IDM formulation. In this, the T_1 proved that the induction of various defense enzyme in the treated plants. In the present study, it was proved that the red seaweed extracts may be useful for the control of sheath blight diseases in rice plant.

Keywords: Red Seaweeds, *Rhizoctoniasolani*, Antifungal Compounds, Rice.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryzasativa*L.) is an important staple food crop for majority of the world. Many biotic stresses hamper rice production and specifically, fungal diseases cause huge economic losses. Rice is cultivated in about 4.19 Million Hectares with the production of 89.09 Million tonnes with the productivity of 2125 kg/ha. Among the rice

producing states of India, Tamil Nadu ranks sixth in production (5.67 Million tonnes) and second in productivity of 3070 kg/ha and area 44 Million hectares production is 106.19 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2010).

Among different fungal diseases of rice, sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn (*Thanetoporouscucumeris* (Frank) Donk) is emerging

¹ Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Chidambaram.

² Centre for Advance Studies in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Chidambaram. (T.N.) India.

* E-mail: suthinagri@gmail.com

as a very destructive disease and it is an important one responsible for losses in grain yield. Many methods of plant disease control are presently being used to control the rice sheath blight disease, such as physical, chemical and cultural methods. The organic control of soil borne plant pathogens is a potential alternative to the use of chemical pesticide (Rathore *et al.*, 2009). Seaweeds provide a rich source of structurally diverse and biologically active secondary metabolites (Jeffrey Norrie *et al.*, 2014; Suthin raj *et al.*, 2016).

The functions of these secondary metabolites are defense mechanism against herbivores, fouling organisms and pathogens (Ammirato, 1986; Suthin raj *et al.*, 2016). Application of seaweed extracts is proved to be better to decrease the foliar fungal diseases which ultimately increase its fertility and help the growth of plants (Jayaraj *et al.*, 2008; Stirk and Van Staden, 1997).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Symptom of the Disease

The symptom of the disease include greenish grey, elliptical or oval shaped spots with yellow margins mostly found on the leaf sheaths and primary leaf blades (Damicone *et al.*, 1993).

Collection of Seed Materials

Fresh rice seed samples (var-ADT 36) were collected from seed farm, Department of Agronomy, Annamalai University, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu.

Isolation of Pathogen

The diseased rice plants showing the typical symptoms of sheath blight disease were collected from 20 conventional ricegrowing areas of Nagappattinam districts. The pathogens were isolated on potato dextrose agar (Peeled potato - 250g, dextrose - 20g, agar - 15 g, distilled water - 1000ml and pH - 6.0/6.5) medium (Ainsworth, 1961) from the diseased specimen showing the typical symptoms. The infected portion of the sheath was cut into small bits, surface sterilized in 0.1 per cent mercuric chloride solution for 30 sec., washed in repeated changes of sterile distilled water and plated onto PDA medium in sterilized Petri dishes.

The plates were incubated for room temperature ($28 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for five days and were observed the fungal growth. Totally 20 isolates were maintained and they were designated as Rs 1 to Rs 20. The per cent disease index (PDI) was calculated as given by McKinney (1923).

$$PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of numerical ratings}}{\text{Total number of tillers observed}} \times \frac{100}{\text{Maximum category value}}$$

Methods of Inoculation of Pathogen

Four methods were attempted on rice variety ADT-36 under pot culture. Each pot was filled with FYM and fertilizer. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted in pots. Three replications were maintained for each treatment. The methods are as follows

1. Grain inoculation method

Here the infected seeds were kept in between the flag leaf sheath and in emerged sheath.

2. Sheath inoculum method

Rice sheath were collected, cut into small pieces (4 cm), transferred to open mouthed bottles and closed with a cotton wool plug. The desired quantity of water was added. The bottles were sterilized at 15 psi for 2 hr for three successive days. The medium was used to grow *Rhizoctonia solani* pathogen. From 20 days old culture of the pathogen grown in PDA, six discs of nine mm were taken and inoculated into each bottle. The bottles were then incubated at room temperature ($28^\circ \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for 14 days and the inoculum thus prepared was used for subsequent studies.

In this method, infected sheath were cut into small pieces and then kept in between the flag leaf sheath and in emerged sheath.

3. Agar method

The grown up fungus on potato dextrose agar at room temperature were taken out in small bits with the help of a sterilized inoculum needle and inserted in a small hole in each tiller.

Table 1
Isolation of the pathogen from different locality of Nagapattinam district and the per cent disease incidence

S. No.	Locality	Crop stage	Variety	Disease incidence (%)
1.	Rs1 – Papakovil	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	14 ^c
2.	Rs 2 –Orathur	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	14 ^c
3.	Rs 3 – Kudineyveli	Panicle initiation	ADT-43	11 ^e
4.	Rs 4 – Nariyankudi	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	18 ^a
5.	Rs 5 – Sikalpattu	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	15 ^b
6.	Rs 6 –Agalamkannu	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	13 ^d
7.	Rs 7 – Aalankudi	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	10 ^e
8.	Rs 8 – Pirinchumulai	Grain filling	ADT-43	8 ^g
9.	Rs 9 – Karuveli	Grain filling	ADT-36	6 ^h
10.	Rs 10 – Sikkal	Panicle initiation	ADT-43	8 ^g
11.	Rs 11 – Poravacharry	Panicle initiation	ADT-43	8 ^g
12.	Rs 12 – Thanilapaddi	Panicle initiation	ADT-43	4 ⁱ
13.	Rs 13 – Aaimalai	Grain filling	ADT-43	6 ^h
14.	Rs 14 – Valivalam	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	12 ^d
15.	Rs 15 – Thrukkuvali	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	10 ^e
16.	Rs 16 – Thavur	Grain filling	ADT-43	4 ⁱ
17.	Rs 17 – Thirukadaiyur	Grain filling	ADT-43	4 ⁱ
18.	Rs 18 – Paalayur	Grain filling	ADT-43	7 ^g
19.	Rs 19 – Kizhavenmani	Panicle initiation	ADT-36	9 ^f
20.	Rs 20 – Keelaiyur	Grain filling	ADT-43	4 ⁱ

Evaluation of Red Seaweeds Against *R. solani* in Vitro

The efficacy of the various brown seaweeds listed in Table 2 was tested against *R. solani*

Sl. No.	Scientific name	Anti-microbial property	Common name	Collected from
1.	<i>Gelidium pusillum</i>	Elatase	Red seaweed	Pondicherry
2.	<i>Liagora ceranoides</i>	Hexane	Red seaweed	Pamban
3.	<i>Gracilaria corticata</i>	Protein	Red seaweed	velankanni
4.	<i>Hynea panosa</i>	Hexane	Red seaweed	Kanyakumari
5.	<i>Jania rubens</i>	Acetone	Red seaweed	Pamban

4. Spore suspension method

R. solani grown for ten days at room temperature on potato dextrose agar media was scraped off from the surface and mixed in sterilized distilled water to obtain spore suspension. One drop of spore suspension was placed by sterilized plastic dropping bottle inside the flag leaf sheath enclosing the unemerged panicle.

The inoculated plants were incubated in a humid chamber for 48 h and subsequently moved to a greenhouse maintained at 28°C, 70-90% relative

humidity under a light intensity of 85 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{S}^{-1}$ and 12 h photoperiod. The incidence was recorded after 30, 50 and 70 days after transplanting.

Preparation of crude seaweeds extracts (Vallianayagam *et al.*, 2009)

1 kg of live, healthy and matured samples of Brown seaweeds was collected from the coastal areas of Pamban and Kanyakumari. The samples are thoroughly washed with seawater and then washed with tap water to remove all the extraneous

particles and epiphytes. The samples are air dried under shade and chopped and pulverized after drying. Each 50 g powdered sample was separately extracted for 7 days for thrice in 500 ml of 1:1(v/v) chloroform: methanol using 1 litre Erlenmeyer conical flask under dark condition. The extractants were pooled and concentrated by using vacuum flask evaporator under reduced pressure at 45°C and weighed stored at 0°C.

Evaluation of Seaweed Extracts Against *R. solani*

Spore germination assay (Macko et al., 1977)

A drop of different concentration (5, 10, 15 and 20 per cent) of Seaweed extracts were individually placed in a cavity slide and the drop of spore suspension of *R. solani* (1×10^6 spore ml⁻¹) is also added to the marine products and mixed thoroughly. The prepared cavity slides were incubated in a moist chamber. The spore germination was observed and recorded after 48 h and the per cent germination was calculated.

Paper disc assay (Saha et al., 1995)

Spore suspension of the fungi was prepared from a ten days old culture with sterile distilled water. Various concentrations like 5, 10, 15 and 20 per cent of Seaweed extracts were made and twenty ml of PDA medium was seeded with three ml of sclerotial suspension (1×10^6 sclerotia/ml) of the fungus and solidified. Sterile filter paper discs (10 mm) were dipped separately in known concentration of seaweeds and placed equidistantly over the seeded medium. Three replications were maintained. The plates were incubated at 28±2°C for 48 hr. The inhibition zone of the fungal growth around the treated paper discs was measured and recorded. The paper disc dipped in sterile distilled water served as control.

Agar well method (Thongson et al., 2004)

Seaweed extracts like 5, 10, 15 and 20 per cent individually (10 ml) were added to the sterilized potato dextrose agar medium and thoroughly mixed just before plating. Twenty ml of these mixtures individually were immediately poured into sterilized Petri plates and were allowed to solidify.

A 9 mm of PDA disc was removed by using cork borer to form wells; 1 ml of spore suspension was poured into the well. All these were carried out under aseptic conditions. The plates were incubated at 28±2°C for 10 days. Potato dextrose agar medium without natural product served as the control. The radial growth of the colony was measured. The percent inhibition of the growth was calculated.

Analysis of Antifungal Compound Through Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCeMS) (NIST Version. 2.0, 2005)

Based on the growth inhibition studies, Seaweed extract was selected and chemical constituents were determined with a GC Clarus 500 Perkin Elmer Gas chromatography equipped with a mass detector. Turbo mass gold containing a Elite-1 (100% Dimethyl Poly Siloxane), 30 m × 0.25 mm ID employed were the following: Carrier gas, helium (1 mL/min); oven temperature program 110°C (2 min) to 280°C (9 min); injector temperature (250°C); total GC time (36 min). The water extract was injected into the chromatograph in 2.0 ML aliquots. The major constituents were identified with the aid of a computer-driven algorithm and then by matching the mass spectrum of the analysis with that of a library (NIST Version. 2.0, year 2005). Software used for gas chromatography mass spectroscopy (GCeMS) was Turbo mass-5.1. This work was carried out in SGS India Pvt. Ltd, Chennai.

Efficacy of Red Seaweed Algae Against Sheath Blight Disease of Rice in Pot Culture

The pot culture study was conducted with 8 treatments and three replications at the Department of Plant Pathology, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar at kuruvai (June to September) (Trial-I) and late Samba (November to April) (Trial-II) seasons of the year 2014. The brown seaweeds (5 per cent concentration) and chemical fungicide hexaconazole 5 SC (0.2 percent) were tested against sheath blight disease. *R. solani* was inoculated thoroughly over the plant canopy by one gram rice hull/rice grain, placed on basal leaves and covered with polythene bags on the 20th day after transplanting. The inoculated plants were incubated in a humid chamber for 48h and subsequently

moved to a greenhouse maintained at 22-28°C, 70-90% relative humidity, under a light intensity of $85 \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, 12 h photoperiod and subsequently transferred to pot culture yard. The cultivar ADT 36 was raised and the packages of practices were followed as per the Crop Production Guide (2014).

Treatment details

- T1 - *G. pusillum* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)
- T2 - *L.ceranoides* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)
- T3 - *G. corticata* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)
- T4 - *H.panosa* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)
- T5 - *J. Rubens* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)
- T6- ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)
- T7 - Healthy control
- T8 - Inoculated control.

Induced Systemic Resistance

Twenty days after transplanting, the plants were challenge inoculated with a conidial suspension of *R. solani* with a spore load of $1 \times 10^6 \text{ ml}^{-1}$. The samples of the above treated plants were collected at different time intervals (1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 days) after pathogen inoculation. Three replications were maintained in each treatment. Fresh plant samples were used for analysis.

The plant tissues collected from plants were immediately homogenized with liquid nitrogen. One gram of powdered sample was extracted with 2 ml of sodium phosphate buffer, 0.1 M (pH 7.0) at 4°C. The homogenate was centrifuged for 20 min at 10,000 rpm. Plighting extract prepared from leaves was used for the estimation of peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO) and L-phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL).

Peroxidase (PO) (Hammerschmidt et al., 1982)

Peroxidase activity was assayed spectrophotometrically (Hartee, 1955). The reaction mixture consisted of 1.5 ml of 0.05 M pyrogallol, 0.5 ml of

enzyme extract and 0.5 ml of 1 per cent H_2O_2 which was incubated at room temperature ($28 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$). The change in absorbance at 420 nm was recorded at 30 sec. interval for 3 min and the boiled enzyme preparation served as blank. The enzyme activity was expressed as change in the absorbance of the reaction mixture $\text{min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ on fresh weight basis.

Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) (Mayer et al., 1965)

The reaction mixture consisted of 1.5 ml of 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) and 200 ml of the enzyme extract. To start the reaction, 200 ml of 0.01 M catechol was added and the activity was expressed as changes in absorbance at $495 \mu \text{m min}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$ fresh weight of tissue.

Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) (Ross and Sederoff, 1992)

The assay mixture containing 100 μl of enzyme, 500 μl of 50 mM TrisHCl (pH 8.8) and 600 μl of 1 mM L-phenylalanine was incubated for 60 min. The reaction was arrested by adding 2 N HCl. Later, 1.5 ml of toluene was added and vortexed for 30 sec. The centrifuged (1000 rpm, 5 min) toluene fraction containing trans-cinnamic acid was separated. The toluene phase was measured at 290 nm against the blank of toluene. Standard curve was drawn with graded amounts of cinnamic acid in toluene as described earlier. The enzyme activity was expressed as $\mu\text{moles of cinnamic acid min}^{-1} \text{g}$ fresh tissue⁻¹.

β -1, 3-glucanase (Pan et al., 1991)

Crude enzyme extract of 62.5 μl was added to 62.5 μl of 4 per cent laminar in and incubated at 40°C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped by adding 375 μl of dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) and heated for 5 min on boiling water bath (DNS prepared by adding 300 ml of 4.5 per cent NaOH to 880 ml containing 8.8 g of DNS and 22.5 g potassium sodium tartarate). The resulting coloured solutions were diluted with distilled water, vortexed and the absorbance was read at 500 μm . The crude extract preparation mixed with laminar in at zero time incubation served as blank. The enzyme activity was expressed as mg equivalents of glucose $\text{min}^{-1} \text{g}$ fresh weight⁻¹.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using ANOVA and significance at 5% level was tested with Duncans multiple range test (DMRT), using SAS/STAT software.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Methods of Inoculation

Among the five methods of artificial inoculation, grain inoculation and covered with polythene bags was found to be the best in plant infection. Its recorded mean per cent infection was 61.4 per cent and followed by the grain inoculation method which recorded 42.4 per cent. Grain inoculation and covered with polythene bags method of inoculation was applied for artificial inoculation in the present study.

In Vitro Evaluation of Various Red Seaweed Algae Against *R.solani*

Spore germination

Among the five red seaweed extracts tested against *R.solani*, extracts of *Gelidium pusillum* at a high concentration (20%) was found to be the best in the reduction of spore germination (17.00 per cent). It was followed by a high concentration (20%) of *Liagora ceranoides* (19.33 per cent). The rate of reduction was corroborated with its concentration in case of all the tested red seaweed extracts. *Gelidium pusillum* and *Liagora ceranoides* significantly reduced spore germination than other red seaweed products in all the concentrations.

Paper Disc Method and Agar Well Method

Various red seaweed extracts were selected and evaluated for the antimicrobial activity by two methods, such as paper disc and agar well method. The leaf extracts of *Gelidium pusillum* at a highest concentration (20%) was found to be the maximally reduced in both paper disc method and agar well methods and recorded 47.60 and 48.00 per cent inhibition zone respectively. It was followed by a highest concentration (20%) of *Gelidium pusillum*, which recorded 43.22 and 44.12 per cent inhibition zone in paper disc method and agar well method respectively. The result of the experiments revealed the superiority of *Gelidium pusillum*. Hence the same was used for further studies.

Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCeMS) Analysis

On the basis of performance of marine products in the preceding *in vitro* studies, *Gelidium pusillum* (Red seaweed) was tested to determine the nature of chemical compound (s) present in the seaweed extract. The results revealed that 15 compounds were present in *Gelidium pusillum*. The molecular weights, name of the compound, chemical formula, retention time and peak area percentage were given in figure 1. Among these, heptane which was closely related to 2,6,6-trimethyl may be responsible for the inhibition of the growth of *R. solani*.

Effect of Red Seaweed Algae on Sheath Blight Incidence Under Greenhouse Condition

Among the treatments, application of *Gelidium pusillum*, (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 30, 50 and 70 DAT) (T_1) recorded significantly less

Table 1
Infection of rice plants by different methods of inoculation with *R. solani*

S. No.	Methods	Days after inoculation (per cent infected leaf sheaths)					Mean
		5	7	9	11	13	
1.	Grain inoculation method	0.00	15.00	45.00	70.0	82.0	42.4 ^b
2.	Sheath inoculum method	0.00	0.00	8.00	32.00	62.00	20.4 ^c
3.	Agar method	0.00	5.00	10.00	19.0	25.0	11.8 ^d
4.	Spore suspension method	0.00	5.00	18.00	30.0	42.0	19.0 ^c
5.	Grain inoculation + covered with polythene bags	0.00	32.00	75.00	100.0	100.0	61.4 ^a

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$)

Library Search Report

Data Path : D:\msdchem\1\DATA\20150502\
 Data File : cg15008144_01.D
 Acq On : 1 May 2015 23:26
 Operator :
 Sample : cg15008144_01
 Misc :
 ALS Vial : 35 Sample Multiplier: 1

Search Libraries: D:\Database\NIST05a.L Minimum Quality: 0
 D:\Database\NIST05a.L Minimum Quality: 0
 D:\Database\NIST05a.L

Unknown Spectrum: Apex
 Integration Events: ChemStation Integrator - events3.e

Pk#	RT	Area%	Library/ID	Ref#	CAS#	Qual
1	2.779	4.96	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			2,4-Dimethyl-1-heptene	11187	019549-87-2	93
			2,4-Dimethyl-1-heptene	11183	019549-87-2	68
			2-Nonene, (E)-	11156	006434-78-2	46
2	5.142	2.45	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Undecane, 2,5-dimethyl-	46135	017301-22-3	50
			Heptane, 3,3,5-trimethyl-	18545	007154-80-5	47
			Heptane, 3,3,5-trimethyl-	18544	007154-80-5	47
3	5.213	2.78	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Undecane, 4,6-dimethyl-	46121	017312-82-2	50
			Undecane, 4-methyl-	36439	002980-69-0	47
			Undecane, 2,8-dimethyl-	46108	017301-25-6	47
4	6.338	2.87	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Ethanone, 1-cyclopentyl-	6347	006004-60-0	43
			Pentane, 3-methylene-	1463	000760-21-4	30
			3-Heptene, 4-methyl-	6499	004485-16-9	30
5	6.419	3.13	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Octane, 1,1'-oxybis-	86867	000629-82-3	35
			Isotridecanol-	57271	027458-92-0	35
			Cyclooctane, methyl-	11174	001502-38-1	35
6	9.947	2.99	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			1-Heptene, 3-methyl-	6489	004810-09-7	35
			2-Undecanethiol, 2-methyl-	58386	010059-13-9	35
			1.alpha.,2.beta.,3.alpha.,4.beta.-	11274	002532-67-4	27
			Tetramethylcyclopentane			
7	10.079	4.62	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Cyclohexane, 1,1-dimethyl-2-propyl	25982	081983-71-3	30
			1.alpha.,2.beta.,3.alpha.,4.beta.-	11274	002532-67-4	22
			Tetramethylcyclopentane			
			Ethanone, 1-cyclopropyl-	1409	000765-43-5	22
8	10.200	3.38	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			3-Ethyl-6-trifluoroacetoxyoctane	94341	1000215-97-3	43
			2-Bromopropionic acid, 6-ethyl-3-o	119419	1000293-39-4	38
			ctyl ester			
			2-Undecene, 4,5-dimethyl-, [R*,R*-	44653	055170-92-8	27
			(E)]-			
9	13.252	3.91	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Phenol, 3,5-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)	61446	001138-52-9	81
			Phenol, 2,4-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)	61438	000096-76-4	81
			Phenol, 2,4-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)	61449	000096-76-4	76
10	16.689	5.36	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Nonadecane	104271	000629-92-5	64

Figure 1: Components identified in the red sea weed (*Gelidium pusillum*)

Cont. Figure 1

			Tetradecane	55972	000629-59-4	58
			Nonadecane	104272	000629-92-5	58
11	19.254	33.16	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 2,6,6-trime	16398	000473-55-2	64
			thyl-			
			Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 2,6,6-trime	16447	004795-86-2	50
			thyl-, [1R-(1.alpha.,2.beta.,5.alp			
			ha.)]-			
			Bicyclo[3.1.1]heptane, 2,6,6-trime	16444	006876-13-7	38
			thyl-, (1.alpha.,2.beta.,5.alpha.)			
12	19.365	6.83	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			2-Hexadecene, 3,7,11,15-tetramethy	112118	014237-73-1	52
			l-, [R-[R*,R*-(E)]]-			
			1R,2c,3t,4t-Tetramethyl-cyclohexan	17437	1000144-07-3	30
			3-Butenamide	1510	028446-58-4	27
13	19.720	6.99	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			6,11-Undecadiene, 1-acetoxy-3,7-di	93440	1000150-66-0	35
			methyl-			
			(R)-(-)-14-Methyl-8-hexadecyn-1-ol	93525	064566-18-3	32
			8-Hexadecyne	73060	019781-86-3	32
14	20.065	12.10	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			1,4-Eicosadiene	110849	1000131-16-3	49
			3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-	122418	102608-53-7	43
			1-ol			
			Bicyclo[10.8.0]eicosane, cis-	110854	1000155-82-2	42
15	24.667	4.45	D:\Database\NIST05a.L			
			Ethyl isopropyl dimethylphosphoram	53728	099520-56-6	18
			idate			
			Ethanol, 2-bromo-	9920	000540-51-2	14
			2-Propen-1-amine, 2-bromo-N-methyl	22478	028952-70-7	14

Miscellaneous.M Sat May 02 00:09:16 2015

Table 2
Evaluation of various red seaweed algae against *R. solani* under *in vitro* condition

S. No.	Seaweed	Inhibition zone (mm)														
		Spore germination (%)					Paper disc method					Agar well method				
		5%	10%	15%	20%	Mean	5%	10%	15%	20%	Mean	5%	10%	15%	20%	Mean
1.	<i>Gelidium pusillum</i>	37.50	33.20	26.40	17.00	28.52 ^a	36.60	39.20	43.00	47.6	41.60 ^a	31.50	34.20	39.50	48.00	38.30 ^a
2.	<i>Liagora ceranoides</i>	38.50	35.13	28.77	19.33	30.43 ^b	33.80	37.12	40.11	43.22	38.56 ^b	29.20	31.36	36.150	44.12	35.20 ^b
3.	<i>Gracilaria corticata</i>	39.80	37.12	31.16	21.81	32.47 ^c	31.50	33.47	37.12	40.15	35.56 ^c	26.80	28.17	33.28	41.16	32.35 ^c
4.	<i>Hynea panosa</i>	42.50	39.14	33.31	23.16	34.52 ^d	28.40	30.47	33.19	37.36	32.35 ^d	20.40	24.11	30.22	37.83	28.14 ^d
5.	<i>Jania rubens</i>	48.90	43.17	36.19	25.19	38.36 ^e	29.90	26.23	30.17	32.23	27.38 ^e	18.20	20.81	27.31	32.12	27.38 ^e
6.	Control	96.00	96.00	96.00	96.00	96.00 ^f	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 ^f	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 ^f

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$).

GCMS Chromatogram




Figure 2: GC-MS analysis of sea weeds extract

sheath blight incidence of 82, 80 and 86 per cent increase over control at 30, 50 and 70 days after transplanting than other treatments. It was followed by T_6 which recorded 79, 76 and 83 per cent increase over control at 30, 50 and 70 days respectively.

Effect of Red Seaweed Algae on Growth and Yield Attributes Under Greenhouse Condition

The rice plants were treated with different red seaweed algae and the biometric observations and yield parameters were also recorded on 70 DAT. All the treatments were effective to promote the growth of the plant. Especially, among them the application of *Gelidium pusillum* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 30, 50 and 70 DAT) (T_1) was found to significantly increase the mean plant height (112 cm) mean number of productive tillers (10 nos.), mean 1000g weight (21g), straw yield (7.30 ton/ha) and grain yield (31 g/plant) as compared to all the other treatments. This was followed by treatment T_6 which gave good biometric observations and yield parameters recording mean plant height (110 cm) mean number of productive tillers (9 nos.), mean

1000g weight (20 g), straw yield (6.88 ton/ha) and grain yield (29 g/plant) respectively, which were statically on par with each other.

Induction of Defense Enzymes

Green house study was conducted to test the induction of defense enzyme on rice plants with different application of IDM formulation

β -1, 3-glucanase

β -1,3-glucanase activity was observed in the leaf samples of rice at different day intervals. Among the various treatment, the plants treated with *G.pusillum* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) followed by challenge inoculated with *R. solani* (T_1) recorded a maximum induction of β -1,3-glucanase activity 223.8 μ g of Glucose released/min/g of fresh tissue on 5th day after pathogen inoculation. It was followed by the plants treated with application of Seed treatment with Hexaconazole(2g/kg) + spraying (0.2percent) 20,35 and 50 DAT (T_6) recorded 217.3 μ g of Glucose released/ min/g of fresh tissue on 5th day after pathogen inoculation. The enzyme activity was

Table 3
Effect of red seaweed algae on Sheath blight incidence under greenhouse condition

Treatments	Sheath blight incidence on 30 th DAT	% Increase over control	Sheath blight incidence on 50 th DAT	% Increase over control	Sheath blight incidence on 70 th DAT	% Increase over control
T ₁ - Application of <i>G.pusillum</i> (ST @10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	3.5 ^a	82	7.8 ^a	80	9.2 ^a	86
T ₂ - Application of <i>L.ceranoides</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	4.2 ^b	78	10.5 ^b	73	13.8 ^b	80
T ₃ - Application of <i>G.corticata</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	4.8 ^c	75	10.8 ^d	73	14.5 ^c	79
T ₄ - Application of <i>H.panosa</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	4.9 ^d	74	11.5 ^d	71	16.8 ^d	75
T ₅ - Application of <i>J.rubens</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) compost	5.2 ^e	73	12.4 ^e	69	18.0 ^e	74
T ₆ - ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	3.6 ^a	79	9.6 ^b	76	11.5 ^a	83
T ₇ - Inoculated control	19.5 ^g		40.20 ^g		69.5 ^g	
T ₈ -Healthy control	7.50 ^j		8.70 ^j		9.20 ^j	

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$).

Table 4
Effect of red seaweed algae on growth and yield attributes under greenhouse condition

Treatments	Mean plant height (cm)	Mean no. of productive tillers	Mean 1000 g weight	Straw yield (ton/ha.)	Grain yield (g/plant)
T ₁ - Application of <i>G.pusillum</i> (ST @10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	111 ^b	12 ^a	22 ^c	7.32 ^b	32 ^b
T ₂ - Application of <i>L.ceranoides</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	107 ^c	8 ^c	18 ^d	6.86 ^c	28 ^d
T ₃ - Application of <i>G.corticata</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	105 ^c	7 ^d	15 ^d	6.15 ^c	27 ^d
T ₄ - Application of <i>H.panosa</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	103 ^d	5 ^d	13 ^e	5.83 ^c	25 ^d
T ₅ - Application of <i>J.rubens</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) compost	101 ^c	3 ^e	11 ^e	4.89 ^c	23 ^e
T ₆ - ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	109 ^c	10 ^b	21 ^d	7.01 ^c	30 ^c
T ₇ - Inoculated control	79 ^e	4 ^g	10 ^f	2.03 ^g	16 ^f
T ₈ -Healthy control	94 ^d	5 ^f	14 ^e	4.55 ^f	18 ^e

*Values in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$).

significantly increased up to 5th day from the pathogen inoculation and then declined slowly in all the treatments.

Peroxidase (PO)

The activity of PO was observed in leaf sample of rice at different days interval. Among the various

treatment, the plants treated with *G.pusillum* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) followed by challenge inoculated with *R. solani* (T₁) recorded maximum induction of Peroxidase activity (58.71 changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue) at 7th day after pathogen inoculation. It was followed by the plants treated with Seed

Table 5
 β -1,3 glucanase activity* in rice plants treated with different red seaweed algae under greenhouse condition

Treatments	β -1,3 glucanase activity * in plants Time interval (days)				
	0	1	3	5	7
T ₁ - Application of <i>G.pusillum</i> (ST @10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	44.3	87.2	154.3	221.3	161.3
T ₂ - Application of <i>L.ceranoides</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	41.3	83.9	150.9	213.2	157.6
T ₃ - Application of <i>G.corticata</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	39.4	80.5	147.6	209.5	155.3
T ₄ - Application of <i>H.panosa</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	37.3	77.3	145.3	207.3	153.8
T ₅ - Application of <i>J.rubens</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) compost	35.2	75.9	143.2	205.7	152.7
T ₆ -ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	43.2	85.3	152.7	216.5	159.8
T ₇ - Inoculated control	17.7	17.5	17.3	18.1	17.4
T ₈ -Healthy control	17.6	21.3	25.6	29.6	18.6

CD for Treatment: 0.06. CD for time interval (Day's): 0.08.

CD for interaction between Treatment \times Time interval (Days): 0.17.

*mg of glucose released/min/g of fresh tissue

**In a row under each treatment, value in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$)

Table 6
Peroxidase activity* in rice plants treated with different red seaweed algae under greenhouse condition

Treatments	PO activity * in plants Time interval (days)				
	1	3	5	7	9
T ₁ - Application of <i>G.pusillum</i> (ST @10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	7.00	16.33	35.14	58.71	44.66
T ₂ - Application of <i>L.ceranoides</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	6.58	15.43	34.65	55.27	41.62
T ₃ - Application of <i>G.corticata</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	6.03	15.08	33.51	54.89	40.35
T ₄ - Application of <i>H.panosa</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	5.98	14.78	32.49	53.81	39.87
T ₅ - Application of <i>J.rubens</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)compost	5.08	14.33	31.43	52.89	39.12
T ₆ -ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	6.96	15.96	34.96	57.36	43.23
T ₇ - Inoculated control	3.21	7.34	9.31	12.19	9.86
T ₈ -Healthy control	4.32	9.62	28.31	39.26	30.81

CD for Treatment: 0.06. CD for time interval (Day's): 0.08.

CD for interaction between Treatment \times Time interval (Days): 0.17.

*Changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue

**In a row under each treatment, value in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$).

Table 7
Polyphenol oxidase activity* in rice plants treated with different red seaweed algae under greenhouse condition

Treatments	PPO activity * in plants Time interval (days)				
	1	3	5	7	9
T ₁ - Application of <i>G.pusillum</i> (ST @10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.26	0.89	2.86	3.14	2.82
T ₂ - Application of <i>L.ceranoides</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.21	0.70	2.72	2.96	2.71
T ₃ - Application of <i>G.corticatas</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.19	0.67	2.69	2.91	2.66
T ₄ - Application of <i>H.panosa</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.15	0.61	2.61	2.87	2.61
T ₅ - Application of <i>J.rubens</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10 % at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) compost	0.13	0.55	2.56	2.81	2.57
T ₆ -ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.23	0.76	2.78	3.03	2.76
T ₇ - Inoculated control	0.10	0.17	0.55	0.80	0.66
T ₈ -Healthy control	0.15	0.21	0.83	1.12	0.91

CD for Treatment: 0.05. CD for time interval (Day's): 0.07.

CD for interaction between Treatment × Time interval (Days): 0.16.

*Changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue

**In a row under each treatment, value in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$).

treatment with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 percent) 20,35 and 50 DAT (T₆) 56.63 changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue respectively at the 7th day after pathogen inoculation. The enzyme activity was significantly increased up to 7th day from the pathogen inoculation and then declined slowly in all the treatments.

Polyphenol oxidase (PPO)

Application of *G.pusillum* (seed treatment, prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) followed by challenge inoculated with *R. solani* (T₁) recorded maximum induction of PPO activity (3.12 changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue) at 7th day, which decreased further. Without inoculation of pathogen and brown seaweed algae combination, a minimum poly phenol activity was recorded when compared to all other treatments. In all the treatments, enzyme activity increased up to 7th day and there after declined.

Phenylalanine ammonialyase (PAL)

PAL activity was found to increase significantly in plants treated with *G.pusillum* (seed treatment,

prophylactic spraying at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) followed by challenge inoculated with *R. solani* (T₁). Maximum induction of PAL activity (5.01 changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue) at 7th day there after it decreased.

It was followed by the application of Seed treatment with Hexaconazole(2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 percent) 20,35 and 50 DAT (T₆) recorded a maximum induction at the 7th day of 4.90 changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue respectively. The enzyme activity was significantly increased up to 7th day from the pathogen inoculation and then declined slowly in all the treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the authorities of Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India for their financial support and would like to acknowledge the co-operation of Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University for the successful completion of the research work.

Table 8

Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity*in rice plants treated with different red seaweed algae under greenhouse condition

Treatments	PAL activity * in plants Time interval (days)				
	1	3	5	7	9
T ₁ - Application of <i>G.pusillum</i> (ST @10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.46	1.02	2.41	5.02	4.42
T ₂ - Application of <i>L.ceranoides</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.42	0.97	2.33	4.97	4.36
T ₃ - Application of <i>G.corticata</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.40	0.92	2.29	4.91	4.32
T ₄ - Application of <i>H.panosa</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.38	0.89	2.27	4.87	4.29
T ₅ - Application of <i>J.rubens</i> (ST @ 10g/kg + prophylactic spray @ 10% at 20, 35 and 50 DAT) compost	0.35	0.80	2.21	4.81	4.22
T ₆ - ST with Hexaconazole (2g/kg) + spraying (0.2 per cent) 20, 35 and 50 DAT)	0.44	0.99	2.35	4.98	4.38
T ₇ - Inoculated control	0.23	0.35	0.80	1.19	0.88
T ₈ -Healthy control	0.25	0.38	1.03	1.80	1.28

CD for Treatment: 0.05. CD for time interval (Day's): 0.06.

CD for interaction between Treatment × Time interval (Days): 0.15.

*Changes in absorbance/min/g of fresh tissue

**In a row under each treatment, value in the column followed by common letters do not differ significantly by DMRT ($P = 0.05$).

References

- Ainsworth, G.C. (1961), Dictionary of fungi. Common wealth mycological institute, Kew, Surrey, England. 547.
- Ammirato, P. (1986), Morphogenesis and clonal propagation. In: Plant tissue culture and its agricultural application. (Eds): Withers L, Alderson P. Butterworth, London. 21-47.
- Crop production guide. (2014), India.
- Damicone, J.P., Patel, M.V., and Moore, W.F. (1993), Density of sclerotia of *Rhizoctonia solani* and incidence of sheath blight in Mississippi. *Plant Dis.* 77: 257-260.
- Flora, G and Maria Victoria Rani, S. (2012), An approach towards control of blast by foliar application of seaweed concentrates. *Science Research Reporter* 2(3): 213-217.
- Hammerschmidt, R., Nuckles, E.M and Kuc, J. (1982), Association of enhanced peroxidase activity with induced systemic resistance of cucumber to *Colletotrichum lagenarium*. *Physiological Plant Pathology* 20: 73-80.
- Jayaraj, J., Wan, A., Rahman, M and Punja, Z.K. (2008), Seaweed extract reduces foliar fungal disease on carrot. *Crop Protection* 27: 1360-1366.
- Jeffrey Norrie, Gustavo Hernandez, Rosalba Mireya, Fernando and Maria Alberto. (2014), Effect of liquid seaweed extracts on growth of tomato seedlings (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.). *Journal of Applied Phycology* 26(1): 619-628.
- Macko, V., Woodbury, W. and Stahmannu, M.A. (1977), The effect of peroxidase on the germination and growth of mycelium of *Puccinia graminis* s. *sp. tritici*. *Phytopathology* 58: 1250-1252.
- Mayer, A.M., Harel, E and Shaul, R.b. 1965), Assay of catechol oxidase, a critical comparison of methods. *Phytochemistry* 5: 783-789.
- Mckinney, H.H. (1923), A new system of growing grading plant diseases. *J. Agric. Res.* 26: 195-218.
- Pan, S., Ye, Q. and Kuc, J. (1991), Association of b-1,3 glucanase activity and isoform pattern with systemic resistance to blue mold in tobacco induced by stem injection with *Peronospora tabacina* (or) leaf inoculation with tobacco mosaic virus. *Physio. Mol. Pl. Pathol.* 39: 25-39.
- Rathore S.S., Chaudhary, D.R., Boricha, N.G., Ghosh, A., Bhatt, B.P., Zodapes, S.T and Patolia, J.S. (2009), Effect of seaweed extract on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of Soybean (*Glycine max*) under rainfed conditions, *South African Journal of Botany* 75: 351-355.
- Ross, W.W. and Sederoff, R.R. (1992), Phenylalanine ammonia lyase from loblolly pine: purification of the enzyme and isolation of complementary DNA clone. *Plant Physiol.* 98: 380-386.
- Saha, B.P., Saha, K., Mukherjee, P.K, Mandal, S.C. and Pal, M. (1995), Antibacterial activity of leucaslavandulaefoliarees, *Indian Drugs* 32: 402-404.

- Stirk, W.A. and Van Staden, J. 1997. Comparison of cytokinin and auxin-like activity in some commercially used seaweed extracts. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 8: 503-508.
- Suthin raj, T., K. Hane Graff and H., Ann Suji. (2016), Bio Chemical Characterization of a Brown Seaweed Algae and its Efficacy on Control of Rice Sheath Blight Caused by *Rhizoctonia Solani* Kuhn. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture* 34(2):429-439.
- Thongson, C., Davidson, P.M., Mahakarrchanakul, W., Weiss, J. (2004), Antimicrobial activity of ultrasound - assisted solvent - extracted species. *Letters Appl. Microbial.* 39: 401-406.
- Vallinayagam, K., Arumugam, R., Kannan, R.R., Thirumaran, G and Anantharaman, P. (2009), Antibacterial activity of some selected seaweeds from pudumadam coastal region. *Glob. J. Pharmacol.* 3: 50-52.