

An Unique Method for Controlling the Pitch Angle of Aircraft using Sliding Mode Control Methodology

V. Rajeswari¹ and L. Padma Suresh²

Abstract: The automatic flight control system of an aircraft is very complicated in nature with lot of logics and operational constraints. The study of the detailed automatic flight control and thrust control involves complicated logics for the control functions with closed loop control systems which can be realized in the software. The work is focussed on the redesign of automatic flight control system using robust control methods in MATLAB/Simulink environment. This paper aims at a complete study, analysis, design and performance monitoring of new generation autopilot system using Sliding Mode Control method and the results of simulation of the above analysis shows an optimal performance of the autopilot system.

Keywords: Autopilot, Flight control, FMS, SMC, Roll control.

1. INTRODUCTION

An aircraft can be capable of many very intensive tasks: helping the pilot focus on the overall status of the aircraft and flight. Autopilots can automate tasks such as maintaining the altitude, climbing or descending to an assigned altitude, turning to and maintaining an assigned heading, intercepting a course, guiding aircraft between the way points that make up a route programmed into an FMS and flying a precision or non-precision approach. The fast growth of aircraft designs from less capable airplane to the present day high performance military, commercial and general aviation aircraft required the development of many technologies. The automatic control system plays an important role in monitoring and controlling of many of the aircraft's subsystems for which an autopilot is designed that controls the principal axes of the aircraft leading to the safe landing of the aircraft during adverse weather conditions [1]. Generally the aircraft is free to rotate around the three axes which are perpendicular to each other. In this paper, an optimal and robust control method: the Sliding mode controller is developed for controlling the pitch axis of the aircraft. The performance of this controller is investigated by simulating in MATLAB environment.

2. MODELLING OF DYNAMIC EQUATIONS OF ROLL CONTROL SYSTEM

An aircraft is free to rotate around the three axes which are perpendicular to each other and intersect at the plane's centre of gravity. There are two dynamical equations of motion present for an aircraft, where lateral dynamic equations of motion represents the dynamics of aircraft with respect to the lateral axis and longitudinal dynamic equations of motion represents the dynamics of aircraft with respect to the longitudinal axis [2], [3]. Figure.1 shows the forces, moments and velocity components in the body –fixed co-ordinate where X_B , Y_B , Z_B denoted the aerodynamic force components. α represents the orientation of aircraft in the earth axis system and aileron deflection angle respectively. L, M, N denotes the aerodynamic moment components. p, q, r represents the angular rates of roll, pitch and yaw axis and u, v, w represents the

¹ Research Scholar, EEE Department, Noorul Islam University, E-mail: rajiviswanath28@gmail.com

² HOD, EEE Department, Noorul Islam University, E-mail: suresh_lps@yahoo.co.in

velocity components of roll, pitch and yaw axis. Figure 2 shows the angular orientation and velocities of gravity vector. During the modelling of roll control systems, few assumptions are considered.




Figure 1: Aerodynamic forces, moments and velocity components




Figure 2: Angular orientation and velocities of gravity vector

1. The aircraft is steady state cruise at constant altitude and velocity, thus the thrust and drag cancel out each other.
2. The changes in pitch angle do not change the speed of an aircraft under any circumstances.

Pitch control problem is a longitudinal problem and the work is developed to control the pitch angle of an aircraft in order to stabilize the system when an aircraft performs the pitching motion.

With respect to figure 1 & 2, the force and moment equations are given in equation (1) to (3).

$$x - mg \sin \theta = m(\dot{u} + qw - rv) \tag{1}$$

$$z + mg \cos \theta \cos \phi = m(\dot{w} + pv - qu) \tag{2}$$

$$M = I_{yy} \dot{q} + qr(I_{xx} - I_{zz}) + I_{xz} (p^2 - r^2) \tag{3}$$

The above equations are nonlinear and simplified by considering the aircraft to comprise two components: a mean motion that represents the equilibrium or trim conditions and a dynamic motion which accounts for the perturbations about the mean motion [4]. Thus every motion variable is considered to have two components.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U &= U_o + \Delta u, Q_o = Q_o + \Delta q, R = R_o + \Delta r, M = M_o + \Delta m, Y = Y_o + \Delta y, P = P_o + \Delta p, \\
 L &= L_o + \Delta l, V = V_o + \Delta v, \delta = \delta_o + \Delta \delta
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The reference flight condition is assumed to be symmetric and the propulsive forces are assumed to be constant.

$$v_o = q_o = u_o = r_o = j_o = Y_o = 0 \tag{5}$$

The complete linearized equations of motion are obtained as below where sideslip angle is used. is obtained as below:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - X_u \right) \Delta u - X_w \Delta w + (g \cos \theta_o) \Delta \theta = X \delta_e \cdot \Delta \delta_e \tag{6}$$

$$-Z_u \Delta u + \left[(1 - Z_w) \frac{d}{dt} - Z_w \right] \Delta w + \left[(u_o - Z_q) \frac{d}{dt} - g \sin \theta_o \right] \Delta \theta = Z \delta_e \cdot \Delta \delta_e \tag{7}$$

$$-M_u \Delta u - \left(\dot{M}_w \frac{d}{dt} + M_w \right) \Delta w + \left(\frac{d^2}{dt^2} - M_q \frac{d}{dt} \right) \Delta \theta = M \delta_e \cdot \Delta \delta_e \tag{8}$$

Using the equations (6), (7), (8) the state space model for the pitch control problem can be formulated.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \dot{u} \\ \Delta \dot{w} \\ \Delta \dot{q} \\ \Delta \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} X_u & X_w & 0 & 0 \\ Z_u & Z_w & u_o & 0 \\ M_u + \dot{M}_u + Z_u & M_u + \dot{M}_w Z_w & M_q + \dot{M}_w u_o & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta w \\ \Delta q \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} \\
 &+ \begin{bmatrix} X_{\delta_e} \\ Z_{\delta_e} \\ M_{\delta_e} + \dot{M}_w Z_{\delta_e} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [\Delta \delta_e]
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

In this work, the modelling is based on the data from the general aviation aircraft NAVION. The pitch control problem has the input as elevator deflection angle and output as change in the pitch angle of aircraft. The longitudinal directional stability derivative parameters for Navion[5] are taken from the table.1, which are the standard data of the general aviation aircraft Navion.

Table 1
Longitudinal Stability Derivatives

<i>Longitudinal</i>	<i>Components</i>		
<i>Derivatives</i>	<i>X-Force Derivatives</i>	<i>Z-Force Derivatives</i>	<i>Pitching moment</i>
Yawing velocities	$X_w = 0.254=0$	$Z_w = -2=0$	$M_w = -0.05 =-0.051$
Rolling velocities	$X_u = -0.04$	$Z_u = -0.37$	$M_u = 0$
Angle of attack	$X_{\pm} = 0 =0$	$Z_{\pm} = -355=0$	$M_{\pm} = -8.8=-0.898$
Pitching rate	$X_q = 0$	$Z_q = 0$	$M_q = -2.05$
Elevator Deflection	$X_c =0$	$Z_c =- 28.15$	$M_c = -11.88$

The data required for the state space representation are substituted from the table.1, to get the state space representation for the pitch control system as in equation (10).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\Delta u} \\ \dot{\Delta w} \\ \dot{\Delta q} \\ \dot{\Delta \theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.043 & 0.034 & 0 & 32.2 \\ -0.351 & -1.925 & 175.96 & 0 \\ 0.00193 & -0.0484 & -3.42 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta w \\ \Delta q \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -26.82 \\ -14.182 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} [\Delta \delta e] \quad (10)$$

3. DESIGN OF CONTROL METHODOLOGY

The work is emphasized to develop a pitch control scheme for the controlling the pitch angle [6, 7] of aircraft. For this, the Sliding Mode Control methodology was proposed and the performance of this control strategy was investigated in Matlab environment [8].

3.1. Design of Sliding Mode Control (SMC)

Sliding mode control is a non-linear method [9, 11] which alters the dynamics of the non-linear system by the application of a high frequency switching control. This is a variable structure control. The aim of this is to drive the system state from an initial condition $x(0)$ to the state space origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The j^{th} component U_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) of the state feedback control vector $U(x)$ has a discontinuity on the j^{th} switching surface which is a hyperplane ' M_j ' passing through the state origin.

Defining the hyper plane by

$$M_j = \{x: C_j x = 0\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (11)$$

Consider the system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad (12)$$

From equation.(12), the sliding mode satisfies the condition

$$S = Cx(t) = 0, t \geq t_s \quad (13)$$

$C = m \times n$ matrix, t_s is the time when sliding subspace is reached. Differentiating eqn. (11) with respect to time and substituting for eqn.(12), we get

$$C\dot{x}(t) = CAx(t) + CBu(t) = 0, t \geq t_s \quad (17) \quad CBu(t) = -CAx(t) \quad (14)$$

C is the hyperplane matrix so that $|CB| \neq 0$

The switching surface design is predicted based on the knowledge of the system behaviour in sliding mode and this behaviour depends on the parameters of the switching surface. So achieving the switching surface design requires analytically specifying the motion of the state trajectory in a sliding mode. Therefore the method of equivalent control is essential. Equivalent control constitutes a control input when exciting the system, produces the motion of the system on the sliding surface whenever the initial state is on the surface. So Eqn. (16) can be rearranged to get equivalent control as below

$$U_{eqt} = -(CB)^{-1} CAx(t) \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = [A - Bk]x(t) \quad (16)$$

Eqn. (16) gives the system equation for the closed loop system dynamics during sliding. The choice of ‘C’ determines the matrix ‘K’. The purpose of the control “U_{eqt}” is to drive the state in to the sliding subspace and maintain thereafter in it. The (n-m) dimensional switching surface imposes ‘m’ constraints on the plant dynamics in a sliding mode. So the plant dynamics should be converted to a regular form. The nominal linear system can then be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}_1(t) &= A_{11}y_1 + A_{12}y_2 \\ \dot{y}_2(t) &= A_{21}y_1 + A_{22}y_2 + B_2u(t) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This plays an important role in bringing the solution of the reachability problem and the sliding condition is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} C_1y_1(t) + C_2y_2(t) &= 0 \\ y_2(t) &= -\frac{C_1}{C_2}y_1(t) \\ y_2(t) &= -Fy_1(t) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$y_1(t) = [A_{11} - FA_{12}]y_1(t) \tag{19}$$

Eqn. (19) is the reduced order equivalent system.

(a) Design of Sliding hyperplane [9], [10]: To design the hyperplane, the quadratic performance $J = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_s}^{\infty} x^T Q x dt$ can be minimised, where $Q > 0$ is positive definite symmetric matrix and t_s is the time of attaining the sliding mode. The matrix ‘F’ is determined once the matrix Riccati equation is solved.

(b) Design of feedback control law: Once the sliding surface has been selected, then the reachability problem can be solved. This involves the selection of a state feedback control function which will drive the state ‘x’ in to the sliding subspace and thereafter maintain in it. This control law has two components:

1. A linear control law (U_l) to stabilize the nominal linear system.
2. Discontinuous component (U_n)

And the control law,

$$U(t) = U_l(t) + U_n(t) \tag{20}$$

Where,
$$U_l(t) = U_{eq}(t) = -(CB)^{-1} CAx(t) = -(CB)^{-1}[CA - \phi C]x(t) \tag{21}$$

The non-linear component is defined to be

$$U_n(t) = \rho \frac{s}{\|s\|} = \rho \frac{cx(t)}{\|Cx(t)\|}, \rho > 0 \tag{22}$$

‘C’ is the symmetric positive definite matrix satisfying the Lyapunov equation,

$C\phi + \phi^T C = -I$, ϕ , is any stable design matrix. The control law is

$$U(t) = -(CB)^{-1}[CA - \phi C]x(t) + \rho \frac{Cx(t)}{\|Cx(t)\|} \tag{23}$$

4. SIMULATION AND RESULTS

A Control system for the roll axis is simulated using SMC and the results of simulation are analysed and presented. To investigate the performance of the control strategy, the time domain specifications are analysed. The control law in SMC has the value, $U(t) = [-5.358 \ 0.0179 \ 1.243]$

The figure 4 shows the response of the roll control system using Sliding mode controller.




Figure 4: Response of system using SMC

Table 2
Performance characteristics of SMC

<i>Performance Characteristics of SMC</i>	
Rise time (tr)	0.0156 secs
Settling time (ts)	0.0276 secs
% Overshoot (%Mp)	0.03 %

Table 2 shows the performance characteristics of SMC controller. By analysing the performance characteristics in table 2, it is clear that the time domain specifications are very less and are also within the limits specified earlier. This shows that the sliding mode controller has the fastest response and gave an optimal performance in controlling the pitch angle of the aircraft.

5. CONCLUSION

The work emphasizes the design of an autopilot for controlling the pitch axis of aircraft which was done using SMC on the MATLAB environment. The controller was designed and the responses were analysed and verified in time domain. From the result of simulation it is observed that the sliding mode controller gives an optimal performance in controlling the pitch axis of the aircraft efficiently by handling the effect of disturbances in the system.

References

- [1] R. C. Nelson, (1998), *Flight Stability and Automatic Control*, McGraw Hill, Second Edition.
- [2] Donald Mclean, (1990), *Automatic Flight Control Systems*, Prentice Hall, International Series in Systems and Control Engineering.
- [3] www.nasa.gov (01.03.2011).
- [4] E.Seckel and J.J. Moris, (1971), *The Stability Derivatives of the Navion Aircraft Estimated By Various Methods and Derived from Flight Test Data*, Federal Aviation Administration, Systems Research and Development Service.
- [5] Ryan C. Struett, June (2012), *Empennage Sizing and Aircraft Stability using MATLAB*, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.
- [6] Wahid. N, Hassan. N, Rahmat M.F, Mansor, *Application of Intelligent Controllers in Feedback Control Loop for Aircraft Pitch Control*, *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 2011.
- [7] Vishnu G.Nair, Dileep M.V, V.I. George, *Aircraft Yaw Control System using LQR and Fuzzy Logic Controller*, *International Journal of Computer Applications*, Vol.45, May 2012.
- [8] C. Edwards, Sarah K. Spurgeon, 'A Sliding Mode Control MATLAB Toolbox'.
- [9] C. Edwards, Sarah K. Spurgeon, 'Sliding Mode Control Theory and Applications', Taylor & Francis.
- [10] John Y. Hung, Weibing Gao, James C. Hung, 'Variable Structure Control: A Survey', *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, Vol 40, No. 1, February 1993.
- [11] Satyabrata Sahoo, Gayadhar Panda, *Analysis and Comparison of Speed Control of DC motor using Sliding Mode Control and Linear Quadratic regulator*, *International Journal of Research in Electrical Engineering*, ISSN. 2349-2503, Vol. 2, Issue 1, 2015.

