IT software Quality Management - A Reverse Innovation approach for SME's for a Quality Management Practice

Karuna Devi Mishra* and Sudarsanam S. K.**

ABSTRACT

This paper brings out current software testing practices their benefits and integrates the best practices in each testing standard . .Choosing the right metrics at affordable cost is the biggest challenge for SME's there are whole lot of certification practices and the main challenge is to get one that has investment which is feasible for SME's and sustainable development which looks back to reverse innovation to go back and redesign for sustainability. And implementation by the small and medium companies. Not choosing to adopt one can bring adverse effects on software quality, therefore to achieve benefit of software testing under limited resources, it becomes necessary to identify the best software testing practices and create a mapping between various existing software methods and tools. This can be achieved by analyzing current testing practices and identifying the improvement potential. Testing plays a central role in quality assurance activities of many organizations. Finding one metric which is and cost effective is a key challenge for SME 's . It is observed that an efficient testing practice is vital to the quality of the developed product and to reduce the overall development expenses which is redefined as Reverse Innovation approach.

Key Words: IT, Reverse innovation, Metrices, SME's, software testing, Quality

INTRODUCTION

According to Vijay Govindrajan "It's not enough to innovate and bring in a product that does not sustain" looking at sustainability and practical implementation and feasibility cost wise for small organizations .Converting the non users as users will bring in big business opportunism same exits for the Quality management practices for SME's which cannot adopt expensive and cost centric accreditations, The whole concept of going back and looking at our own innovations for a sustainable innovative practice is what brings in the opportunity for a new integrated soft ware testing frame work which gets the best practices and integrates it into a simple SOP for the SMES at sustainable cost and does not burden the company Software is the only product which reaches the customers place with bugs and errors and may call for correction at customers location and is the only.

Testing plays a central role in quality assurance activities of many organizations. Finding one metric which is and cost effective is a key challenge for SME 's . It is observed that an efficient testing practice is vital to the quality of the developed product and to reduce the overall development expenses (IEEE, 1990). Galin (2004) explains that software quality has a direct relationship with software testing; hence testing is an important phase of the software development life cycle. According to Perry (1995) about 24% of the overall software budget and 32% of project management budget is allocated for testing. Due to extra pressure to finish projects on time project managers are likely to reduce the testing activities . This can bring adverse effects on software quality, therefore to achieve benefit of software testing under limited

Research Scholar VITBS Chennai

^{**} Professor, VITBS, Chennai

resources, it becomes necessary to identify the best software testing practices and create a mapping between various existing software methods and tools. This can be achieved by analyzing current testing practices and identifying the improvement potential.

Testing forms a critical tool that provides continuity throughout operations, forming the link between policy requirements, performance objectives, targets. Activities, Resources/Linkages, Methods/Tools, Measurements, Regulatory requirements applicable to the process, Risks associated with the process, Effectiveness and Efficiency, perhaps most importantly: customer requirements, both external and internal to ensure the quality and safety of their products, processes, and systems.



The Japanese 5 s approach to adopting a single frame work for Software Testing

The metrics should go beyond auditing and certification and help companies improve performance, gain efficiencies in testing, logistics, overcome market constraints, and reduce risk. (Frits Philips Institute).

The purpose of this Integrated model is to improve the whole test process. comparing each model we found that every model must have a maturity structure and the whole process involves comparison of the latest practices and the addition of few more areas which could be a complete approach for maturing at test process areas. Economics of software testing is to determine and predict the defects early by using predictable models and implying strategies and different test methodologies to identify those at early stages. Psychology of software testing is to destructively test the application by identifying as many exceptional or out of the box scenarios or sometimes called as the third vision. Meyers is of the view that Software Testing is a systematic activity but it also involves economics and human psychology.

The approach of this paper is to compare and bring out the integrated best processes combining the best practices of TMMI, TPI, ISO and IEEE test plans to formulate an integrated approach for Levels 2 and 3. The research focus is to describe and compare the most important Test Process Improvement models compare and give useful input for the development of the comprehensive test process frame work. Several models are studied on goals, structure, key process areas and its assessment procedure.



Steps to have an integrated test policy approach implementing the following steps "

- 1. Distribution of test policy to stake holders
- 2. Cleary define the scope of the test plan
 - a) Test Plan identifier
 - b) Version number
 - c) Configuration requirement
 - d) Deter
- 3. Testing definition
- 4. Test Process
- 5. Test Results evaluation
- 6. Bench marking with quality metrices
- 7. Test improvement process suggestion
- 8. Test policy definition
- 9. Feed back and reports of testing deficiencies
- 10. Determinants of software risks such as
- 11. A. Safety
- 12. Multiple interface
- 13. Impact on clients
- 14. Government regulations

- a) Test Goals
- b) Task of partial test
- 15. Debugging definition
 - a) Clearly bring out difference between testing and debugging
- 09. Key notes of test manager must include
 - a) Test plans
 - b) Test reports
- a) Report generation Sub areas
 - b.Tools used
 - ➢ Error logs and exit log
 - Corrective action
 - Suggestions to qualifier
 - > Time frame and deadlines for corrective action
- c) Test document
- d) Test result evaluation comparison
- e) Exit criteria
- F) Suspension and resumption requirement.
- g) Defect number

Distribution of test policy to stake holders:

Policy former and people who use the policy should be involving the benefits could be: good perception of test policy Engage the stake holder in process creation in the following areas

Engage the stake holders in process of its creation. Could be involved in

Test policy implementation in terms of application process supported by other organisation documents such as:

- a) Organisation policy
- b) Quality Plan
- c) Test process improvement plan



Test Policy and Strategy

Key areas	Sub Goals
Define Test Goals Study and explain business goals and needs of the organisation	Product Validation Defect Prevention during
Update whenever business goal changes	 Product Validation Extended standard Product quality Short test execution time
Test Policy	 Scope definition Testing definition Test process Test Results evaluation Assumed quality level and benchmarking Test Process improvement
Test Policy definition	 Test Goals Tasks of particular test
Debugging definition	 Keynotes of test manager Test cases Test Reports Test Documents Test result evaluation and comparison Exit criteria Defect numbers
Distribution of test policy to stake holders	Involve policy former and people who use the policy which will lead to good perception of test policy Engage stake holders in process of its creation along with Organisation policy, Quality plan, test process improvement plan etc.
Evaluation Metrics	Combined Check list to pass the Quality gates at each level leading to a complete product at the end. Rating schemes to be approved before the next stage is achieved.
Determinants of software risks	Software complexity Multiple interface Impact on client Government compliance

Proposed Model

Information integration theory was proposed by Norman H. Anderson to describe and model how a person integrates information from a number of sources in order to make an overall judgment.



Image Courtesy: Norman H. Anderson 's integration model

Proposed Integrated Model; based



Frame work implementation





CONCLUSION

The whole concept of testing is to bring out a great product and a simple Standard operating procedure that will help the SME'e to add more to the objective of giving a great product and more value addition and easier implementation without too much burden on procedures and cost .The whole concept of Reverse innovation works on the theory of workable solutions and sustainable practices to deliver a great product at affordable cost. A new integrated frame work will create a platform for SME's to follow a simple procedure to assure their software quality and bring in a Quality Culture into SME's without many hassles and cost effectiveness.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A Test Process for all Lifecycles; Technical Paper; Available online from *http://www. applabs.com/uploads/ app_whitepaper_test_process_for_all_lifecycles_1v011.pdf; 2008*
- [2] Amman P, Offutt J. Introduction to Software Testing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [3] Aranda J, Easterbrook S, Wilson G, editors. Requirements in the wild: How small companies do it; Proceedings of 15 th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE'07); pp. 39-48; 2007.
- [4] Batista J, De Figueiredo AD. SPI in a very small team: a case with CMM. Software Process: Improvement and Practice. 2000; 5(4): 243-50.
- [5] Bertolino A. Software Testing Research: Achievements, Challenges, Dreams. FOSE '07, Future of Software Engineering, Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society; pp.85-103 2007.
- [6] Black R. Critical Testing Processes: Plan, Prepare, Perform, Perfect: Addison-Wesley; 2003.
- [7] Black R. ISTQB Certification: Why You Need It and How to Get It. Testing Experience: The Magazine for Professional Testers, 1:26-30, 2008.
- [8] Blokland K. A universal management and monitoring process for testing. 2008 IEEE International Conference on Software Testing Verification and Validation Workshop, ICSTW'08, art. no. 4567025, pp. 315-321; 2008.
- [9] British Computer Society Specialist Interest Group in Software Testing. Software Component Testing Standard; BS7925-2; Available from http://www.testingstandards.co.uk /Component% 20Testing.pdf 1998.
- [10] Brooks F. The Mythical Man-Month. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley. 1975.
- [11] Broy M, Rombach D. Software Engineering: Wurzeln, Stand und Perspektiven. Informatik Spektrum, December 16; pp. 438-451; 2006.
- [12] Burnstein I, Suwanassart T, Carlson R, editors. Developing a Testing Maturity Model for software test process evaluation and improvement. International Test Conference; pp. 581-589; 1996.
- [13] Cater-Steel A. Process improvement in four small software companies. Proceedings of the 13 th Australian Software Engineering Conference (ASWEC); Los Alamitos, CA, USA; IEEE Computer Society; pp. 262–272; 2001.
- [14] Craig RD, Jaskiel SP. Systematic Software Testing. Boston: Artech House Publishers, 2002.
- [15] Edwards SH. Improving student performance by evaluating how well students test their own programs. J Educ Resour Comput, 3(3):1, 2003.
- [16] Ericson T, Subotic A, Ursing S. TIM A Test Improvement Model. Software Verification and Reliability, 7(4):229-246, 1998.
- [17] Farooq A, Dumke RR. Evaluation Approaches in Software Testing; Technical Report, FIN-05- 2008, Faculty of Computer Science, University of Magdeburg;
- Fayad ME, Laitinen M, Ward RP. Thinking objectively: software engineering in the small. Commun ACM, 43(3):115-118, 2000.
- [19] García J, De Amescua A, Velasco M, Sanz A. Ten factors that impede improvement of verification and validation processes in software intensive organizations. Software Process Improvement and Practice, 13(4):335-43, 2008.
- [20] Gelperin D, Hetzel B. The growth of software testing. Commun ACM, 31(6):687-695, 1988.
- [21] George B, Williams L. An initial investigation of test driven development in industry. SAC '03: Proceedings of the 2003 ACM symposium on Applied computing. New York, NY, USA: ACM; pp. 1135-1139; 2003.
- [22] Geras AM, Smith MR, Miller J. A survey of software testing practices in alberta. Canadian Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering, 29(3):183-91, 2004. 75.
- [23] Glass RL, Collard R, Bertolino A, Bach J, Kaner C. Software testing and industry needs. Software, IEEE, 23(4):55-7, 2006.
- [24] Graham D, Van Veenedaal E, Evans I, Black R. Foundations of Software Testing: ISTQB Certification: International Thomson Business Press, 2008.
- [25] Grindal M, Offutt J, Mellin J. On the Testing Maturity of Software Producing Organizations. Testing: Academic and Industrial Conference - Practice And Research Techniques, TAIC PART Proceedings; pp.171-180; 2006.
- [26] Grunbacher P, editor. A software assessment process for small software enterprises. EUROMICRO 97 'New Frontiers of Information Technology', Proceedings of the 23rd EUROMICRO Conference; pp. 123-128; 1997.
- [27] Hofstee E. Constructing a Good Dissertation: A Practical Guide to Finish a Masters, MBA or PHD on Schedule; Johannesburg: EPE, 2006.