

Manifestations of Langmuir Solitons in Satellites of Dipole-forbidden Spectral Lines of Helium, Lithium, and of the Corresponding lons

E. OKS

Physics Department, 206 Allison Lab, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

ABSTRACT: We show that in the case of Langmuir solitons in plasmas, the peak intensity of the satellites of dipoleforbidden spectral lines of helium, lithium, and of the corresponding ions can be significantly enhanced – by orders of magnitude – compared to the case of non-solitonic Langmuir waves. This distinctive feature of satellites under Langmuir solitons allows distinguishing them from non-solitonic Langmuir waves and seems to be the best way to diagnose Langmuir solitons in plasmas.

In paper [1] there was calculated analytically the shape of satellites of dipole-forbidden lines in a spectrum *spatially-integrated* through a Langmuir soliton (or through a sequence of Langmuir solitons separated by a distance L). The Langmuir solitons have the following form in space [2]:

$$F(x, t) = E(x) \cos \omega_n t, \qquad E(x) = E_0 / ch(x/\lambda), \qquad \lambda << L.$$
(1)

Here

$$\omega_{\rm p} = \omega_{\rm pe} - 3T_{\rm e}/(2m_{\rm e}\omega_{\rm pe}a^2), \qquad (2)$$

where ω_{pe} is the plasma electron frequency. For diagnosing solitons it is necessary not only to find experimentally an electric field oscillating at the frequency ~ ω_{pe} , but also to make sure that the spatial distribution of the amplitude corresponds to the formfactor E(x) from (1).

Under any quasimonochromatic electric field, dipole-forbidden spectral lines of helium, lithium, and of the corresponding ions can exhibit satellites – see Appendix J. In cases of a relatively large separation between the forbidden and allowed lines, or a relatively weak amplitudes, the intensities of the far (+) and near (–) satellites are $S_{+} = a_{+}E^{2}(x)$, where a_{+} does not depend on x.

The spatially-integrated profile of the satellites, calculated with the allowance for the quadratic shift of their frequencies $bE^2(x)$, where b does not depend on x, has the form:

$$S + (\Delta \omega) = (1/L) \int_{L/2}^{L/2} dx \ a \pm E^2(x) \ \delta[f(x)], \quad f(x) = \Delta \omega + \omega_p - bE^2(x).$$
(3)

After calculating the integral in Eq. (7.3), in paper [1] it was obtained:

$$S_{+}(\Delta\omega) = (\lambda/L)[a_{+}/(2|b|)]/[1 - (\Delta\omega \pm \omega_{p})/(bE_{0}^{2})]^{1/2}.$$
(4)

The profiles $S_{\pm}(\Delta\omega)$, formally calculated by Eq. (4), have singularities at $\Delta\omega \pm \omega_p = bE_0^2$. From the physical point of view, for obtaining a finite result at $\Delta\omega \pm \omega_p = bE_0^2$, it is necessary to replace the δ -function in Eq. (3) by a real profile, e.g., by the Lorentz profile representing the dynamical Stark broadening by electrons and by some ions:

E. Oks

$$\delta[f(\mathbf{x}_0)] \to (1/\pi)\gamma/\{\gamma^2 + [f(\mathbf{x}_0)]^2\},\tag{5}$$

where x_0 is the root of the equation f(x) = 0. Using the Taylor expansion of f(x) at $x = x_0$ and taking into account the first derivative df/dx vanishes at $x = x_0$, the right side of Eq. (5) can be approximated as follows:

$$(1/\pi)\gamma/\{\gamma^2 + [d^2f(x_0)/dx^2]^2(x-x_0)^4\}.$$
(6)

Then using the integral

$$(1/\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \, \gamma / (\gamma^2 + z^4) = 1/(2\gamma)^{1/2}, \tag{7}$$

we find

$$S_{\text{soliton}}^{\text{max}} = S_{\pm}(\Delta \omega = \pm \omega_p - bE_0^2) = [\lambda a_{\pm}/(2^{1/2}\pi Lb^{1/2})]E_0^{-2}/\gamma^{1/2},$$
(8)

where $S_{soliton}^{max}$ is the peak intensity of the satellites in the case of solitons.

In the case of non-solitonic Langmuir waves, the peak intensity of the satellites is (see, e.g., book [3]):

$$S_{\text{nonsoliton}}^{\text{max}} = a_{\pm} E_0^2 / (\pi \gamma).$$
(9)

So, for the ratio of the peak intensity of the satellite under solitons to the peak intensity of the same satellite under nonsolitons we get

$$S_{\text{soliton}}^{\text{max}} / S_{\text{nonsoliton}}^{\text{max}} = [\lambda / (2^{1/2} \text{L})] [\gamma / (b \text{E}_0^2)]^{1/2} >> 1$$
(10)

for the typical situation where $\gamma >> bE_0^2$, i.e., where the dynamical Stark width γ is much greater than the quadratic shift bE_0^2 of the satellites.

Thus, in the case of Langmuir solitons, the peak intensity of the satellites can be significantly enhanced – by orders of magnitude – compared to the case of non-solitonic Langmuir waves. This distinctive feature of satellites under Langmuir solitons allows distinguishing them from non-solitonic Langmuir waves and seems to be the best way to diagnose Langmuir solitons in plasmas.

Finally we note that for a more general case, where both the dynamical Stark broadening and the Doppler broadening are taken into account, the δ -function in Eq. (3) should be substituted by the Voigt profile resulting in

$$S_{\text{soliton}}^{\text{max}}/S_{\text{nonsoliton}}^{\text{max}} \sim \Delta \omega_{\text{Voigt}}/(bE_0^2)]^{1/2} >> 1,$$
(11)

where $\Delta \omega_{Voigt}$ is the halfwidth of the Voight profile. Again, in the typical situation where

 $\Delta \omega_{\text{Voigt}} >> bE_0^2$, the peak intensity of the satellites is significantly enhanced – by orders of magnitude – compared to the case of non-solitonic Langmuir waves.

Finally we mention that Hannachi *et al.* [4] performed simulations for finding the effect of Langmuir solitons on the hydrogen Ly_{α} line. The effect was an additional broadening. However, even at the low electron density $N_e = 10^{14}$ cm⁻³, the effect was very small compared to the Stark broadening by plasma microfields. Moreover, the additional broadening rapidly diminished with the increase of N_e , so that there would be practically no additional broadening at $N_e > 10^{15}$ cm⁻³. Therefore, it seems that the results by Hannachi *et al.* [4] could not be useful for the experimental diagnostics of Langmuir solitons.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Oks, Sov. Phys. Doklady 29 (1984) 224.
- [2] B.B. Kadomtsev, Collective Phenomena in Plasma (Pergamon: Oxford) 1982.
- [3] E. Oks, Plasma Spectroscopy: The Influence of Microwave and Laser Fields (Springer: Berlin, New York) 1995.
- [4] I. Hannachi, R. Stamm, J. Rosato, and Y. Marandet, Europhys. Lett. 114 (2016) 23002.