

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE

ISSN : 0254-8755

available at http: www. serialsjournals. com

© Serials Publications Pvt. Ltd.

Volume 36 • Number 4 • 2018

Effect of Salicylic Acid on growth and yield of Onion (Allium cepa L.)

J. M. Gavit¹, S. D. Gaikwad² and D. N. Nandre³

Program Coordinator Krishi Vigyan Kendra Dhule Pin-424004, Maharashtra E-mail: jayramgavit123@gmail.com

Abstract: The field experiment was carried out at Scheme for Research on Onion Storage, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri during the *rabi* season of 2015-16 to study the effect of salicylic acid on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. N-2-4-1. The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized block design with two replications consisting two factors, Factor-A (03) with levels of concentration and water spray and Factor-B (07) with seven levels of time of applications.

The result indicated that, there was significant influence of foliar application of salicylic acid on growth parameters *viz*, plant height (68.28-76.45 cm), number of leaves per plant (13.15-15.30), neck thickness (0.84-1.07) and higher level of chlorophyll content (0.58-0.75 mg 100 g⁻¹ f.w) as compare to water spray. The foliar application of salicylic acid at lower concentration (100 mg/l) gives significantly maximum plant height, number of leaves per plant, total chlorophyll content, bulb diameter, average weight of bulb, total bulb yield, marketable bulb yield as compare to higher dose (150 mg/l) and water spray.

Three foliar sprays at 30, 45 and 60 DAT were beneficial for vegetative growth, yield, quality and storability of onion cv. N 2-4-1 than either single or two sprays of salicylic acid.

Key words: onion, vegetative growth, chlorophyll, salicylic acid, yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is being extensively cultivated all over the world. India is the 2nd largest producer of onion, in the world next only to China but the productivity of onion in India is very low. It occupies an area of 12.03 lakh ha, with production lakhtonnes. The export of onion during 2013-14 was 14.82 lakh MT with a value of Rs 316.961 crores (Anon. 2014). As far as onion production per hectare is concerned, improved and modern agronomic practices and application of Plant Growth Regulators (PGRs) might be useful in increasing onion production. In recent years, salicylic acid has been the focus of intense research due to its function as an endogenous signal mediating local and systemic plant defense responses against pathogens.

Salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic phytohormone that acts as a key regulator of the signaling network in plants under abiotic and biotic stresses. Salicylic acid exerts stimulatory effects on various physiological processes related to plant growth and development. The purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that exogenous application of SA affects positively the growth, quality and yield. Salicylic acid ($C_7H_6O_3$) discovered as one of the important phenolic compounds in plants (Chandra *et al.* 2007).

This substance naturally is produced in plants in very low amounts. Various physiological and biochemical effects of salicylic acid on plant systems have been documented (Raskin, 1992). Salicylic acid treatment increases or decreases chlorophyll content, depending on the genotype (Chandra and Bhatt 1998). Salicylic acid a natural molecule plays an important role in regulating a number of physiological processes in plants. Its exogenous application has promoted plant performance under biotic and abiotic stresses (Senaratna et al., 2000). Foliar spray of low concentration of salicylic acid promote and influence the growth, development, differentiation of cells, and tissues of plants and enhanced the plant's growth parameters (Helgi and Rolfe 2005).

Considering the above facts, the present investigation entitled "Effect of salicylic acid on onion (*Allium cepa* L.)" was planned to test the effect of salicylic acid as a foliar spray at different time of applications

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present trial entitled "Effect of salicylic acid on onion (*Allium cepa L.*)" was carried out at Scheme for Research on Onion Storage, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, during *rabi* season of 2015-16. The topography of the field was fairly leveled flat beds were prepared for onion transplanting, soil was medium black having moderate in moisture retention capacity. Climatically, this area is in semiarid, sub-tropical zone, with annual average rainfall 475 mm. The annual mean maximum and minimum temperature are 35.83° and 17.82°, respectively during the period of experimentation.

The onion seed was sown in raised beds in nursery for seedling preparation. Main field was prepared to fine tilth and flat beds of 3 X 2 m were prepared. At the time of land preparation experimental site was applied manure and fertilizers as recommended. The healthy seedlings of onion were transplanted on 12th January, 2015 with spacing of 15 x 10 cm.

Weeding was done manually throughout the growing season. The crop was harvested on 2^{nd} May, 2016. At harvest the growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves and neck thickness observed from ten randomly selected plants and observations recorded. After harvesting of bulb different size bulb, A grade (>60 mm), B grade (40-60 mm) and C grade (<40 mm) were selected and their percentage were computed on weight basis. Total bulb yield (q ha⁻¹) and marketable bulb (%) were calculated. The data recorded for each observation in this present investigation was analyzed statistically as per the procedure described by Panse and Sukhatme (1989).

Treatment details

Factor A – concentration of salicylic acid and water spray (03)

- 1 : Salicylic acid @ 100 mg/l
- 2 : Salicylic acid @ 150 mg/l
- 3 : Water spray

Factor B - Stages of application (07)

- 1 : 2nd spray at 30 days after transplanting(DAT)
- $2 : 2^{nd}$ spray at 45 DAT
- $3 : 2^{nd}$ spray at 60 DAT
- 4 : 2^{nd} spray at 30 DAT and 3^{rd} spray at 45 DAT
- 5 : 2^{nd} spray at 30 DAT and 3^{rd} spray at 60 DAT
- 6 2nd spray at 45 DAT and 3rd spray at 60 DAT
- 7 : 2^{nd} spray at 30 DAT and 3^{rd} spray at 45 DAT and 4^{th} spray at 60 DAT
- *Note:* 1st spray of salicylic acid @ 250 mg/l was given at 30 days after sowing at nursery stage common for all treatments, except water spray treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Growth parameters

4.1.1. Plant beight (cm)

It was revealed that the differences in mean plant height due to salicylic acid treatments were observed to be statistically significant and presented in Table 1.The balance of internal level of natural auxins due to salicylic acid is mainly responsible for maintaining physiological activities in the plant system and that significantly resulted into better growth and more plant height.

Among the conc. of salicylic acid, A_1 recorded maximum plant height (73.73 cm) which was significantly superior over A_2 and A_3 . The time of application effect was non-significant. The interactions effects were non-significant, however the combination $A_1B_7(T_7)$ recorded the maximum plant height (76.45 cm) and the combination $A_3B_2(T_{16})$ recorded minimum plant height (62.97 cm).

4.1.2. Number of leaves per plant

The data pertaining to number of leaves per plant as influenced by different salicylic acid treatments and time of application was recorded at harvest and presented in Table 1. It was revealed that the differences in mean number of leaves per plant due to salicylic acid treatments were observed to be statistically significant. The exogenous application of SA had effect on increased photosynthetic activity and cell division which enhances the number of leaves per plant (Gharib, 2006).

Among the conc. of salicylic acid, A_1 recorded maximum number of leaves per plant (14.61) which were significantly superior to A_2 and A_3 treatments. The time of application effects were non-significant. With respect to interactions effect were nonsignificant, however the combination A_1B_7 (T_7) recorded the maximum number of leaves per plant (15.30) and the combination A_3B_4 (T_{18}) recorded minimum number of leaves per plant (11.64). These findings are close relevant with the result of Jain and Srivastava (1981) in maize, Gutierrez–Coronado *et al.* (1998) in soyabean, Pankaj and Sharma (2003) in okra, Amin *et al.* (2007) in onion, Jeyakumar *et al.* (2008) in black gram, Pradhan *et al.* (2016) and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) in onion.

4.1.3. Neck thickness (cm)

It was revealed that the differences in mean neck thickness of plant due to salicylic acid treatments were observed to be statistically significant and results are presented in Table 1. Neck thickness of onion is important parameter since it is the neck which is ultimately going to be converted into bulb. Hence, more the neck thickness more will be size of bulb and yield (Shashikumar and Shashidhar, 2015). Neck thickness rapidly reduced 90 days after transplanting in onion due to maturity.

Among the conc. of salicylic acid, A_3 recorded minimum neck thickness (0.75 cm) which was significantly higher than A_1 and A_2 treatments. With respect to time of applications effect was nonsignificant. The interactions effect were nonsignificant, however the combination A_3B_5 (T_{19}) recorded minimum neck thickness (0.71 cm). The combination A_1B_7 (T_7) recorded the maximum neck thickness (1.07 cm). The result obtained are in close agreement with the observation recorded by Sakhabutdinova *et al.* (2003) in wheat, Pankaj and Sharma (2003) in okra, Amin *et al.* (2007), Pradhan *et al.* (2016), Prajapati *et al.* (2016) in onion.

4.4. Yield and yield contributing characters

The data pertaining to yield contributing characters *viz.*, polar and equatorial diameter, average weight of bulb (g) and grades of bulbs (%) was recorded during the present investigation and result data presented in Table 2.

4.2.5. Polar diameter (cm)

Among SA conc., the maximum polar diameter of bulb (4.82 cm) recorded in A₁ which was significantly higher than A₂ and A₃. Among the time of application effect was non-significant, however the maximum polar diameter of bulb (4.63 cm) was recorded in B_{γ} However, the minimum polar diameter of bulb (4.48 cm) was recorded in B_1 The interaction effect were significant, however the combination A_1B_7 (T₇) recorded the maximum polar diameter of bulb (5.02 cm) was at par with the combination $A_1B_4(T_4)$ (4.90 cm), $A_1B_3(T_3)$, (4.86 cm), $A_1B_5(T_5)$ (4.86 cm) A_1B_6 (T_{c}) (4.86 cm). Thus resulting higher values of polar diameter in onion bulbs similar findings reported by Ibrahim and Sanna (2005), Amin et al. (2007), Prajapati et al. (2016) and Pradhan et al. (2016) in onion and Bideshki Arvin (2010) in garlic.

4.2.6. Equatorial diameter (cm)

With respect to the concentrations of salicylic acid, the maximum equatorial diameter of bulb (5.62 cm) recorded in A_1 which was significantly superior to A_2 and A_3 treatments. Among the time of application effect was non-significant.

The interaction effect were significant, however the combination A_1B_7 (T_7) recorded the maximum equatorial diameter of bulb (5.85 cm) was at par with the combination A_1B_5 (T_5) (5.71 cm), A_1B_4 (T_4) (5.69 cm), A_1B_6 (T_6) (5.67 cm) and A_1B_3 (T_3) (5.65 cm). These findings are close agreement with Amin *et al.* (2007) in onion and Bideshki and Arvin (2010) in garlic and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) and Pradhan *et al.* (2016) in onion Meena *et al.* (2016) in garlic.

4.2.7. Average weight of bulb (g)

It was revealed that the differences in mean average weight of bulbs due to salicylic acid treatments were observed to be statistically significant.

Considering the conc. of salicylic acid the maximum average weight of bulb (81.74 g) recorded in A_1 which was significantly superior over A_2 and A_3 treatments. The interaction effects were significant, however the combination A_1B_7 recorded the maximum average weight of bulb (86.16 g) significantly. These results are in close agreement with Amin *et al.* (2007), Pradhan *et al.* (2016) and Prajapati *et al.* (2016) in onion.

4.2.8. Total bulb yield (kg plot¹)

The data regarding to total bulb yield per plot as influenced by different salicylic acid treatments and time of application and presented in Table 3. It is revealed that the differences in regard of total bulb yield due to various treatments of salicylic acid were observed to be statistically significant. Considering the concentration of salicylic acid effects was significant and the highest total bulb yield (27.95 kg plot⁻¹) was recorded in which was at par with $A_2(27.10)$ kg plot⁻¹). Among the time of application, the maximum total bulb yield kg plot⁻¹ (24.91 kg plot⁻¹) was recorded in B₁ which was significantly superior to all the remaining except B_2 (24.54 kg plot⁻¹) and B_7 (24.10 kg plot⁻¹) which was at par with it. With respect to interactions, the combination $A_1B_7(T_7)$ recorded the maximum total bulb yield (29.72 kg plot⁻¹) was at par with $A_1B_5(T_5)$ (28.88 kg plot⁻¹), $A_1B_3(T_3)$ (28.00 kg plot⁻¹), $A_1B_4(T_4)$ (27.98 kg plot⁻¹) and $A_1B_1(T_1)$ (27.95 kg plot⁻¹). These findings are closely related with Ibrahim and Sanaa (2005) in onion, Amin et al. (2007) in onion, Yildirim and A. Dursun (2009) in

leaves, neck thickness (cm)							
Treatment	Plant height (cm)		Number of		Neck		
			l	leaves		thickness (cm)	
Factor A							
A ₁		73.73	1	4.61	0.9	9	
A ₂		70.37		3.56	0.92		
A ₃		65.42		2.04	0.7	5	
Factor B							
B ₁		70.09	1	3.25	0.85		
B ₂		68.66	1	3.38	0.87		
B ₃		69.31	1	3.42	0.90		
B ₄		69.87	1	3.15	0.91		
B_5^4		70.57		3.58	0.87		
B ₆		70.08		3.52	0.88		
\mathbf{B}_{7}^{6}		70.29		3.53	0.92		
Interaction							
A ₁ B ₁		74.50	13.95		0.92		
A_1B_2		72.46		14.10		0.99	
A_1B_3		71.31		14.70		0.98	
$A_1 B_4$		73.32		14.10		0.99	
A_1B_5		73.72		14.85		1.02	
$A_1 B_6$		74.33		15.25		0.98	
$A_1 B_7$		76.45		15.30		1.07	
A ₂ B ₁		71.91		13.70		0.86	
A_2B_1 A_2B_2		70.55		13.85		0.84	
A ₂ B ₂		70.40	13.70		0.97		
A ₂ B ₄		69.97		13.70		0.98	
A_2B_5		71.09	1	3.65	0.89		
$\begin{array}{c} A_2B_4\\ A_2B_5\\ A_2B_6\\ A_2B_7\end{array}$		70.38	13.15		0.92		
$A_2 B_7$		68.28	13.20		0.96		
$A_{3}B_{1}$		63.87	12.10		0.78		
A_3B_2		62.97	1	2.20	0.79		
A ₂ B ₂		66.23	11.85		0.77		
A ₂ B ₄		66.31	11.64		0.76		
A ₃ B ₅		66.91	12.26		0.71		
$A_{3}B_{3}$ $A_{3}B_{4}$ $A_{3}B_{5}$ $A_{3}B_{6}$ $A_{3}B_{6}$		65.55 12.15			0.73		
$A_{3}B_{7}$	66.13		12.10		0.75		
	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	
Factor A	0.51	1.52	0.11	0.34	0.012	0.037	
Factor B	0.79	NS	0.18	NS	0.019	NS	
Interaction	1.37	NS	0.31	NS	0.033	NS	

 Table 1

 Effect of salicylic acid concentrations and time of applications on plant height (cm), number of leaves, neck thickness (cm)

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture

1107

Table 2

diameter (cm) and average weight of bulb (g)							
Treatment	Polar diameter		Equatorial diameter		Average weight of bulb(9)		
		(cm)		(cm)	0010	(2)	
Factor A							
\mathbf{A}_{1}		4.82		5.62	81.7		
Λ_2		4.56		5.32	77.8		
А ₃		4.23	2	4.91	73.0)7	
Factor B							
B ₁		4.48	1	5.22	76.6	58	
B ₂		4.50	!	5.24	76.8	38	
B ₃		4.51	1	5.25	76.9)9	
3 ₄		4.55	1	5.30		77.62	
35		4.52	1	5.29		12	
B ₆		4.55	!	5.32	77.8	36	
3 ₇		4.63	-	5.39	79.3	30	
Interaction							
A_1B_1		4.61	1	5.38	78.5	52	
A_1B_2		4.65		5.42	78.9		
A_1B_3		4.86		5.65	81.7		
$A_1 B_4$		4.90		5.69	82.3		
A_1B_5		4.86		5.09 82.32 5.71 82.48			
$A_1 B_6$		4.80 5.71 82.48 4.86 5.67 81.98					
A_1B_7		4.80 5.07 81.98 5.02 5.85 86.16					
A_2B_1		4.49		5.23		76.78	
A_2B_1		4.47	5.20		76.43		
A_2B_3		4.47		5.28		77.42	
A_2B_3 A_2B_4		4.55		5.31		77.66	
				5.30		77.55	
A_2B_5		4.52		5.43		79.15	
A_2B_6 A_2B_7		4.65			79.58		
$A_{3}B_{1}$		4.35 5.06 74.75 4.38 5.10 75.22					
$A_{3}B_{2}$		4.385.1075.224.124.8171.78					
$A_{3}B_{3}$			4.81			1.78 72.87	
$A_{3}B_{4}$		4.204.9172.874.184.8572.23					
A_3B_5 A_3B_6							
$r_{3}D_{6}$		4.16		4.87 4.85		72.44 72.17	
A_3B_7		4.21					
	S.E.+	<i>CD at 5 %</i>	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	<i>S.E.</i> +	<i>CD at 5 %</i>	
Factor A	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.43	1.27	
Factor B	0.03	NS	0.04	NS	0.66	NS	
Interaction	0.06	0.19	0.08	0.24	1.14	3.37	

Effect of salicylic acid concentrations and time of applications on polar diameter (cm), equatorial diameter (cm) and average weight of bulb (g)

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture

marketable bulb (%)							
Treatment	Total bulb yield		Total bulb yield		Marketable bulb		
		$(kg plot^{-1})$	(4	qha-1)	(%))	
Factor A							
A ₁		27.95	40	53.01	93.24		
A ₂		27.10	38	84.01	90.17		
A ₃		19.69	3	18.82	87.2	24	
Factor B							
B ₁		24.91	413.55		92.33		
B ₂		24.54	40	07.34	90.22		
B ₃		21.98	30	54.79	89.21		
3 ₄		23.19	38	84.98	89.49		
B ₅		23.07	38	82.95	89.11		
B ₆		22.09	30	366.71		91.28	
B ₇		24.10	40	00.00	89.86		
Interaction							
A ₁ B ₁		27.95	464.00		92.87		
A_1B_2		26.83	445.35		93.69		
A_1B_3		28.00	464.79		93.39		
A_1B_4		27.98		464.51		92.77	
A_1B_5		28.88		479.42		91.81	
$A_1 B_6$		25.89		429.75		93.48	
$A_1 B_7$		29.72		493.27		94.64	
A ₂ B ₁	27.10		449.78		93.53		
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{1}\\ \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{2}\\ \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{B}_{3}\end{array}$		27.25		452.34		92.58	
A ₂ B ₃		19.15		317.82		88.14	
A_B_	22.59		374.98		90.40		
A ₂ B ₅	21.57		358.13		88.12		
A B		21.60		358.56		90.38	
$A_{2}B_{4}$ $A_{2}B_{5}$ $A_{2}B_{6}$ $A_{2}B_{7}$		22.68		76.47	88.03		
$A_3 B_1$		19.69	326.87		90.59		
A_3B_2		19.54	324.31		84.39		
A_3B_3		18.78	311.76		86.11		
A ₃ B ₄		19.00	315.44		85.30		
A_3B_4 A_3B_5		18.75	311.29		87.41		
A_3B_6		18.78	311.81		89.97		
A_3B_7	19.90		330.27		86.92		
	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	S.E.+	CD at 5 %	
Factor A	0.29	0.85	4.82	14.18	0.50	1.47	
Factor B	0.44	1.30	7.36	21.67	0.76	NS	
Interaction	0.76	2.26	12.7	37.54	1.32	NS	

 Table 3

 Effect of salicylic acid concentrations and time of applications on total bulb yield and marketable bulb (%)

tomato, Bideshki and Arvin (2010) and Meena *et al.* (2016) in garlic, Prajapati *et al.* (2016) and Pradhan *et al.* (2016) in onion.

4.2.9. Marketable bulb (%)

The data regarding to marketable bulb (%) as influenced by different salicylic acid treatments and time of application are presented in Table 3.

Considering the concentrations of salicylic acid, effect was significant and the highest marketable bulb percentage (93.24 %) was recorded in A₁. Among the time of application, the highest marketable bulb percentage (92.33 %) was recorded in B₁ and lowest marketable bulb percentage (89.11 %) recorded in B₅. The interaction effect were non-significant, however the combination A₁B₇ (T₇) recorded the maximum marketable bulb percentage (94.64 %). The minimum marketable bulb percentage (84.39 %) recorded in A₃B₂ (T₁₆).

4. CONCLUSION

From the foregoing results and discussion, it could be concluded as:

- The foliar application of salicylic acid at lower concentration (100 mg/l) gives significantly maximum plant height, number of leaves per plant, total chlorophyll content, bulb diameter, average weight of bulb, total bulb yield, marketable bulb yield with significantly minimum purple blotch incidence and thrips incidence as compare to higher dose (150 mg/ l) and water spray.
- Foliar application of salicylic acid at 30 DAS during nursery stage and subsequently 2nd spray at 30 DAT, 3rd spray at 45 DAT and 4th spray at 60 DAT during crop growth stage gives more growth, quality and yield parameters than single spray.
- The combination A₁B₇ (T₇) (1st spray of 250 mg/l, 2nd spray SA @ 100 mg /lit at 30 DAT,

3rd spray SA @ 100 mg/lit at 45 DAT and 4th spray @ 100 mg/lit at 60 DAT) not only recorded maximum growth parameters but also recorded the highest total bulb yield of 493.27 q ha-¹ and marketable bulb percentage (94.64%) with minimum storage losses.

Summing the present investigation, it can be concluded that, foliar application of SA as 1st spray of 250 mg/l at 30 days after sowing in nursery stage, 2nd spray SA @ 100 mg /lit at 30 DAT and 3rd spray SA @ 100 mg /lit at 45 DAT and 4th spray SA @ 100 mg /lit at 60 DAT was found beneficial for obtaining maximum yield, quality and storability of onion.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, M. A., Murali, P.V. and Marimuthu, G. 2013. Impact of salicylic acid on growth, photosynthesis and compatible solute accumulation in Allium cepa L. subjected to drought stress. ISSN: 2249-8516. Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India.
- Amal, M., Shraiy, E. and Amira, M. H. 2009. Effect of acetylsalicylic acid, indole-3-buytric acid and gibberellic acid on plant growth and yield of pea (*Pisum Sativum* L.). Australian J. Basic and App. Sci. 3(4): 3514-3523.
- Amin, A. A., Rashad, E. M. and EL-Abagy H.M.H. 2007. Physiological effect of indole-3-butyric acid and salicylic acid on growth, yield and chemical constituents of onion plants. J. App. Sci. Res., 3(11): 1554-1563.
- Anonymous, 2014 Indian Horticulture Database, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- Anonymous, 2015. Annual Report of National Horticulture Research and Development Foundation, Nashik (Maharashtra)
- Barkosky, R. R. and Einhellig, F.A. 1993 Effects of salicylic acid on plant-water relationships. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **19**(2): 237 -47.
- Bideshki, A. and Arvin , M. 2010. Effect of salicylic acid (SA) and drought stress on growth, bulb yield and

allicin content of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) in field. *Pl. Ecophy.* Iran. ISSN 2(2):73-79.

- Chandra, A. and Bhatt, R. K. 1998. Biochemical physiological response to salicylic acid in relation to the systemic acquired resistance. *J. Photosynthetica*. 35: 255–258.
- Chandra, A., Anand, A. and Dubey, A. 2007. Effect of salicylic acid on morphological and biochemical attributes in cowpea. *J. Environ. Biol.* 28(2): 193-196
- Fariduddin, Q., Hayat, S. and Ahmad, A., 2003. Salicylic acid influences net photosynthetic rate, carboxylation efficiency, nitrate reductase activity and seed yield in *Brassica juncea*. *Photosynthetica* **41**(2): 281–284.
- Gharib, F.A., 2006. Effect of salicylic acid on the growth, metabolic activities and oil content of basil and marjoram. *Int. J. Agril. Bio.* 4: 485"492.
- Gutierrez–Coronado, M. A., Trejo-Lopez, C. and Larque-Saavedra, A. 1998. Effects of salicylic acid on growth of roots and shoots in soybean. *Pl. Physio. Biochem.* 36: 653–665.
- Helgi Opik S. and Rolfe A. 2005. The physiology of flowering plants. Cam-bridge Uni. Press. *Pl. Physil*: 191.
- Ibrahim, I. S. and Sanaa, A. M. Z. 2005. Effects of naphthalene acetic acid, salicylic acid and midine on growth and bulbing of onion plant. *Ann. Agril. Sci.* 50(2):357-366.
- Jain, A., Sbivastava, H. S. 1981. Effect of salicylic acid on nitrate reductase activity in maize seedlings. *Physiol. Plant.***51**: 339–342.
- Jeyakumar, P., Velu, G., Rajendran, C., Amutha, R., Savery, M.A. and Chidambaram, S. 2008. Varied responses of blackgram (*Vigna munga*) to certain foliar applied chemicals and plant growth regulators. *Legume Res. Int. J.* **31**: 105-109.
- Khodary, S.F., 2004. Effect of salicylic acid on the growth, photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism in salt stressed maize plants. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* **6**: 5–8.
- Meena B., Arvindakshan K., Singh P., Yadav I. and Patidar, D. K. 2016. Effect of soaking and foliar application

of salicylic acid and ethrel on growth, yield and biochemical traits of garlic (*Allium sativum* L) cv.G-282. *Int. J. Farm Sci.* **6**(4): 61-66.

- Pancheva, T.V., Popova, L.P. and Uzunova, A.M., 1996. Effect of salicylic acid on growth and photosynthesis in barley plants. J. Pl. Physiol. 149: 57–63.
- Pancheva, T.V., Popova, L.P., 1998 Effect of salicylic acid on the synthesis of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate carboxylase /oxygenase in barley leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 152: 381-386.
- Pankaj and Sharma H.K. 2003. Relative sensitivity of Meloidogyne incognita and Rotylenchulus reniformis to salicylic acid on okra. Indian J. Nematology. 33(2):120-123.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. 1989. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Pradhan M., and Monalisa., 2014. Effect of salicylic acid on growth, yield, disease pest reaction and quality of onion. http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in//1/ 94162.
- Pradhan, M., Tripathy P., Mandal P., Sahoo B. B, Pradhan R., Mishra S. P. and Mishra H. N. 2016. Effect of Salicylic Acid on Growth and Bulb Yield of Onion (*Allium Cepa L.*) Int. J. Bio-resource and Stress Manag. 7(4):960-963.
- Prajapati, S., Jain P.K. and Tiwari, A. 2016. Effects of Salicylic acid (SA) and Azospirillum on growth and bulb yield of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Agrifound Light Red. *Int. J. Agril. Environment and Biotechnology*, IJAEB: 9(3): 393-402.
- Raskin I. 1992. Role of salicylic acid in plants. Ann. Rev. Pl. Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 43: 439-463.
- Sakhabutdinova, A. R., Fatkhutdinova, D. R., Bezrukova, M.V. and Shakirova, FM 2003. Salicylic acid prevents the damaging action of stress factors on wheat plants. *Bulgarian J. Pl. Physiol.* (special issue): 314-319.
- Senaratna, T., Touchell, D. Bunn E. and Dixon K. 2000. Acetyl salicylic acid (Aspirin) and salicylic acid induce multiple stress tolerance in bean and tomato plants. Plant Growth Regul. **30**: 157-161.

- Shashikumar, H. M. and Shashidhar T.R. 2015 Effect of foliar application of growth regulators and biostimulant on growth and yield of onion var. Bhima Shakti. *Indian J. Farm Sci.* **29**(1): 50-52.
- Sivakumar, R., Padmanaban, G., Kulkarani, M.K., Mallika, V. and Srinivasan, P.S. 2002. Effect of foliar

application of growth regulators on biochemical attributes and grain yield in pearl millet. *Indian J. Pl. Physiol.* **7**:79-82.

Yildirim, E. and Dursun A. 2009. Effect of foliar salicylic acid applications on plant growth and yield of tomato under greenhouse conditions. Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey. Acta Hort. 807.