Photochemical Synthesis of Phenylene Diamine Using Sodium Nitroprusside

Arvind Kartik

Labhpur College, West Bengal, INDIA

ABSTRACT: A newer, faster, inexpensive and convenient quantitative method for the determination of pphenylene diamine using photochemical exchange reaction of sodium nitroprusside has been investigated. Sodium nitroprusside is a photolabile complex and it undergoes photochemical ligand exchange reactions rapidly. Some recent efforts have been made to utilise such reactions for the estimation of some nitrogen containing anions and electron rich organic molecules. The progress of the reaction is observed spectrophotometrically. The effects of different parameters like pH, change of concentration of sodium nitroprusside, concentration of ligands, light intensity etc. on percentage error was investigated. The efforts were made to minimise the percentage error and some optimum conditions were obtained. Such reaction can be used for the determination of p-phenylene diamine in the range of mill moles to micromoles, hence it is important to know whether such estimations can be done successfully and that to with the desired accuracy. Keywords: Photochemical exchange reaction, p-phenylene diamine, sodium nitroprusside, quantitative,

percentage error, optimum conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Photochemistry of biological reactions is a rapidly developing subject and helps in understanding of phenomena like Photosynthesis, Phototaxis, Photoporiodism, Photodynamic action, vision and mutagenic photo effect of light. Photochemistry plays a pivotal role in a number of chemical and biological processes. Photosensitized reactions are widely used in many technical and biological areas.

Photosynthesis is such an important photochemical reaction controlled by nature, which still exists as a challenge to the photochemists. Generally photochemistry is the chemistry of excited electronic states of molecules. An electronic excitation is simply regarded as a process, whereby an electron is removed from an orbital with certain bounding characteristics and reinserted in another orbital with different characteristics and these excited states are generated by excitation of compounds, atoms or molecules using appropriate wavelengths in the ultraviolet or visible region of the spectrum. It is apparent that the absorption or emission of radiation to/from these states is the concern of spectroscopists as well as the photochemists. Photodegradative treatment of waste water by U.V./TiO₂ process was investigated by Liu *et al.*¹. Photodegradation and adsorption of 1,4-dioxane on TiO₂ was carried by Yamazaki et al.². The photoexcitation kinetics of octacyano complexes of Mo(IV) and W(IV) with ethylene diamine were studied by Ali and Kaur³, while kinetic studies of octacyano complexes of Mo(IV) and W(IV) with ethanol amine was studied by Ali and Majid⁴.

A comparison between TiO_a and Fenton plus photo-Fenton in a solar pilot plant was reported by Maldonado et al.5. Connik and Gray6 investigated photooxidation of Platinum (II) diaminedithiolates, whereas, photosubstitution and photoredox behavior of cyanometallates and reaction models were examined by Sfasicka and Wasielewska⁷. The effect of inorganic anions on the TiO₂ based photocatalytic oxidation of aqueous ammonia and nitrite was reported by Zhu et al.8. Otsuka and Udea9 used TiO₂-TiO₂ composite powder for the photocatalytic bleaching of methylene blue. Nemono et al. 10 studied photodecomposition of ammonia to dinitrogen and dihydrogen on Pt/TiO_o nanoparticles in an aqueous

200 Arvind Kartik

solution. Yu & Chuang¹¹ studied the adsorbed species and photogenerated electrons during photocatalytic oxidation of ethanol on ${\rm TiO}_2$ whereas photocatalytic transformation of acid orange 20 and ${\rm Cr(VI)}$ in aqueous ${\rm TiO}_2$ suspensions was studied by Papadam $et~al.^{12}$.

& Maggard¹³ Zhang investigated photocatalytically active hydrated forms of amorphous titania, TiO2 center dot nH2O. Photodegration of Rhodamine B in aqueous solution via SiO₂ - TiO₂ nano-spheres was reported by Wilhelm and Stephanwhile¹⁴. Photocatalytic degradation of acetaldehyde over TiO, pellets was carried out by Horikoshi et al. 15. Wachter et al. 16 observed the photochemical reactivity of a dye precursor 4-chloro-1, 2-phenylenediamine they also studied the mutagenic effects of this precursor. The photodegradation of aryl methane and azo dyes over TiO₂/In₂O₃ nano composite films reported Skorb *et al.* ¹⁷.

Sun et al. 18 reported the photocatalytic activity of titanium cobalt oxides in the degradation of methyl orange. Chen et al. 19 showed the activities of different metal oxide as photocatalyst on no reduction and cooxidation. High photocatalytic activity of Fe-doped TiO, nano tubes was observed by Deng et al. 20. Asilturk et al. 21 reported the effect of Fe3+ ion doped TiO, on the photodegradation of malachite green dye under UV-visible irradiation. Photochemical and spectroscopic properties of solutions of Pt(II) complex with bis(salicylidene) ethylenediamine were observed by Shagisultanova and Ardashova²². Photocatalytic hydrogen production from aqueous solutions of alcohol using visible light responsive TiO, thin films was reported by Fukumoto et al. 23. Srinivas et al. 24 observed photooxidation of beta picoline to nicotinic acid over vanadia/titania catalyst . Zita et al. 25 investigated the correlation between photooxidation and photo reduction of dyes over TiO₉ films. The photocatalytic properties of TiO₉ nano tubes coated with gold was given by Malwadkar et al. 26.

EXPERIMENTAL

A 100 mL stock solution of p-phenylene diamine (M/100) and 100 mL stock solution of sodium nitroprusside [SNP] (M/100) were prepared by dissolving 0.01081g of p-phenylene diamine and 0.2979g of sodium nitroprusside in doubly distilled water. 20 mL of stock sodium nitroprusside

solution was diluted to 100 mL to form M/500 concentration and then it was divided into five equal parts (20 mL each). In each beaker the solution of (M/100) p-phenylene diamine was mixed as 0.4 mL, 0.8 mL, 1.2 mL, 1.6 mL and 2.0 mL and all the beakers were exposed to a 200 watt tungsten lamp for 15 minutes. A change in colour of solution was observed from light red to light blue. An aliquot of 5.0 mL solution was taken out from each reaction mixture and change in optical density was observed spectrophotometrically at $\lambda_{max} = 380 \text{ nm. A graph was plotted between optical}$ density and known concentration of p-phenylene diamine i.e. [1.96 x 10⁻⁴ M, 2.91 x 10⁻⁴ M, 3.84 x $10^{-4} \text{ M}, 5.66 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, 7.42 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}, 8.23 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$]. A straight line was obtained, which was used later on as a calibration curve. 1.0 mL sample solution of known p-phenylene diamine concentration was mixed in 20 mL of sodium nitroprusside (M/500) and it was exposed to tungsten lamp under identical conditions. The optical density was measured spectrophotometrically and the concentration of sample solution was determined by the calibration curve. From this determined concentration the percentage error was calculated for p-phenylene diamine sample solution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of pH

The photochemical reaction of sodium nitroprusside in presence of p-phenylene diamine may be affected by the variation in pH value and as such the estimation of p-phenylene diamine may also be affected accordingly. Therefore the effect of pH on quantitative estimation of pphenylene diamine was studied at different pH range. The results are reported in Table 1. It was observed that the minimum error in the estimation of p-phenylene diamine is found at pH = 7.0 i.e. only 1.7% which is within permissible limit. Below pH = 7.0, p-phenylene diamine exists as form of protonated cation and above pH = 7.0 value, the % error increases indicating that complex formation between p-phenylene diamine and sodium nitroprusside partially completes. It seems that the cationic form of p-phenylene diamine acts as good ligand than its anionic form.

Effect of p-Phenylene Diamine Concentration

The effect of the concentration of p-phenylene diamine on the estimation was also observed by

Table 1 Effect of pH

$[SNP] = 2.8 \ x \ 10^{-3} \ M$	[p-phenylene diamine] = $6.6 \times 10^{-3} M$
Light intensity = 14.0 mWcm ⁻²	$\lambda_{max} = 380 \ nm$
pH	Error (%)
2.5	8.6
3.0	8.2
3.5	7.7
4.0	6.4
4.5	4.8
5.0	4.0
5.5	3.2
6.0	2.8
6.5	2.5
7.0	1.7
7.5	2.6
8.0	3.2
8.5	4.1
9.0	5.3
9.5	6.1

taking different concentration of p-phenylene diamine and keeping all other factors identical. The results are reported in Table 2.

It was observed that the minimum error in the estimation of p-phenylene diamine is found at pphenylenendiamine concentration $6.6 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{M}$ i.e. only 1.7% which is within permissible limit. As the concentration of p-phenylene diamine increases the complex formation tendency increases and hence the percentage error found in estimation of p-phenylene diamine is minimum but as the concentration is increased higher than 6.6 x 10⁻³M there may be possibility of some larger units (2 or more than 2 molecules) of p-phenylene diamine bind together through intermolecular hydrogen bonding. Such a unit will not participate in complex formation due to its larger size and lower nucleophilicity, therefore limited complex formation takes place resulting into increase in error at higher concentration of p-phenylene diamine.

Effect of Sodium Nitroprusside Concentration

The effect of variation of concentration of sodium nitroprusside on the quantitative estimation of pphenylene diamine and percentage error was observed by taking different concentration of

Table 2
Effect of p-Phenylene Diamine Concentration

[SNP] = 2.8 x 10 ⁻³ M Light intensity = 14.0 mWcm ^{*2} [p-phenylene diamine] x 10 ³ M	pH = 7.0 $\lambda max = 380 \ nm$ Error (%)
2.0	10.5
2.5	9.8
3.3	8.4
4.0	6.9
4.5	5.7
5.0	4.6
5.4	3.5
5.7	2.8
6.0	2.2
6.6	1.7
7.0	2.0
7.6	2.4
8.5	4.9
10.0	8.4
12.5	11.0

sodium nitroprusside and keeping all other factors identical. The results are reported in Table 3.

It was found that the minimum error in the estimation of p-phenylene diamine is found at sodium nitroprusside concentration 2.8 x 10⁻³ M i.e. only 1.7 %, which is within permissible, limit. As the concentration of sodium nitroprusside increases the complex formation tendency increases, it reaches maximum at sodium nitroprusside concentration 2.8 x 10⁻³M but if the concentration is further increased it will start acting like a internal filter and it will not permit the desired light intensity to reach sodium nitroprusside molecule in the bulk of the solution, as a consequence only limited number of sodium nitroprusside molecule will be excited to participate in the complex formation resulting into increase in percentage error.

Effect of Light Intensity

The effect of light intensity on the percentage error in the estimation of p phenylene diamine while its photochemical reaction with sodium nitroprusside has been observed by varying the distance between the exposed surface of the reaction mixture and tungsten lamp (light source). The results are tabulated in Table 4.

It is observed that the minimum error in the estimation of p-phenylene diamine is found at light

202 Arvind Kartik

Table 3
Effect of Sodium Nitroprusside Concentration

[p-phenylene diamine] = $6.6 \times 10^{-3} M$ Light Intensity = 14.0 mWcm^{-2} [SNP] $\times 10^{3} M$	$pH = 7.0$ $\lambda max = 380 \ nm$ $Error \%$
1.5	6.3
1.8	5.4
2.0	4.7
2.2	3.5
2.5	2.3
2.8	1.7
3.3	2.5
4.0	3.2
5.0	4.4
6.6	5.2
10.0	9.2
12.5	11.2

intensity = 14.0 mWcm⁻² i.e. only 1.7 % which is within permissible limit. As the light intensity was increased the number of photons striking per unit area per second will also increase. As a result, the complex formation became little bit easier on increasing light intensity, on further increasing the light intensity beyond 15.0 mWcm⁻² the error remains almost constant indicating that the desired light intensity for maximum (complete) formation of complex requires this much intensity and any increase will not increase the amount of complex formed. This will result into a constant error above this intensity.

Table 4
Effect of Light Intensity

[p-phenylene diamine] = $6.6 \times 10^{-3} M$ [SNP] = $2.8 \times 10^{-3} M$ Light Intensity (mWcm ²)	$pH = 7.0$ $\lambda_{max} = 380 \text{ nm}$ $Error (\%)$
6.0	7.2
7.0	6.1
8.0	5.9
9.0	4.1
10.0	3.2
11.0	2.7
12.0	2.3
13.0	2.0
14.0	1.7
15.0	1.7
16.0	1.7

CONCLUSIONS

The photochemical reaction between sodium nitroprusside and p-phenylene diamine was carried out. It was observed that if the estimation of p-phenylene diamine is carried out under these given conditions the percentage error observed is minimum (1.7%) and within permissible limit.

The optimum conditions are given below:

- 1. pH = 7.0
- 2. [Sodium Nitroprusside] = 2.8×10^{-3} M
- 3. [p-phenylene diamine] = $6.6 \times 10^{-3} M$
- 4. Light Intensity = 14.0 mWcm⁻²

References

- C. Liu, Y. H. Hsieh, P. F. Lai, C. H. Li and C. L. Kao, Dyes and Pigments., 68, 191, (2006).
- [2] S. Yamazaki, N. Yamabe and S. Nagano, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 185A, 150, (2007).
- [3] S. I. Ali and Harpal Kaur, J. Trans. Met. Chem., 16, 450, (1991).
- [4] S. I. Ali and Kowsar Majid, J. Trans. Met. Chem. 32, 53, (1997).
- [5] M. I. Maldonado, P. C. Passarinho and I. Oller, J. Photochem. Photobiol. 185A, 354, (2007).
- [6] W. B. Connick and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 119, 11620, (1997).
- [7] Z. Sfasicka and E. Wasielewska, Coord. Chem. Revs., 159, 271, (1997).
- [8] X. D. Zhu, M. A. Nanny and E. C. Butter, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 185A, 289, (2007).
- [9] S. Otsuka and M. Udea, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 168 A, 1, (2006).
- [10] J. Nemono, N. Gokan and H. Veno, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 185A, 295, (2007).
- [11] Z. Q. Yu, S. S. C. Chuang, J. Catal, 246, 118, (2007).
- [12] T. Papadam, N. P. Xekoukoulotakis and I. Poulios, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 186, 298, (2007).
- [13] Z. Y. Zhang and P. A. Maggard, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 186, 8, (2007).
- [14] P. Wilhelm and D. Stephan, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 185, 19, (2007).
- [15] S. Horikoshi, M. Kajitani and N. Horikoshi, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 193A, 271, (2008).
- [16] P. Wachter, M. Zistler and C. Schreiner, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 197A, 25, (2008).
- [17] E. V. Skorb, E. A. Vrtinovich and A. L. Kula, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, 193A, 97, (2008).
- [18] C. G. Sun, L. Tao and L. Fan, Catal. Lett., 129, 26, (2009).
- [19] J. C. Chen, G. C. Fang and S. S. ShiInt., J. Environ. Pollut., 37, 86, (2009).

- [20] L. X. Deng, S. R. Wang and D. Y. Liu, Catal. Lett., 129, 513, (2009).
- [21] M. Ariturk, F. Sayilkan and E. Arpac, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 203A, 64, (2009).
- [22] G. A. Shagisultanova and L. P. Ardashova, Russ. $J.\ Coord.\ Chem.,\ 25,\ 788,\ (1999).$
- [23] S. Fakumoto, M. Kitano and M. Takeuchi, *Catal. Lett.*, 127, 39, (2009).
- [24] D. Shrinivas, W. F. Hoderich and S. Kujath, J. Catal., 259, 165, (2008).
- [25] J. Zita, J. Krysa and A. Mills, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 203A, 119, (2009).
- [26] S. S. Malwadkar, R. S. Gholap and S. V. Awate, J. Photochem. Photobiol., 203A, 24, (2009).