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#### Abstract

Chae et al. [4] (resp. Navalagi G. B. [14]) have studied the concept of NAcontinuous (resp. completely $\alpha$-irresolute) functions. Now, the aim of this paper we note that NA-continuous functions and completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions are the same definitions. Also, we investigate several new properties of completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions are obtained. It is shown that, if $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions of a space $X$ into an $\alpha$-Hausdorff space Y , then the set $\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: f_{1}(\mathrm{x})=f_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ is $\delta$-closed in X .


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Njastad O. [15] defined an $\alpha$-set in a space as a set $S$ such that $S \subset \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{S})))$. Maheshwari S. N. [11] defined a feebly open set as a set $S$ such that there exists an open set U such that $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{S} \subset \mathrm{sCl}(\mathrm{U})$, where $\mathrm{sCl}(\mathrm{U})$ denotes the semi-closure operator. It was shown in [7] that $\alpha$-sets and feebly open sets are the same sets in any space. Recently, Chae et al. [4] (resp. Navalagi G. B.[14]) have studied the concept of NAcontinuous (resp. completely $\alpha$-irresolute) functions. Now, in the present paper we note that NA-continuous functions and completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions are the same definitions. It is known in Chae et al. (1986) that the type of NA-continuous functions is stronger than the class of super-continuous functions due to Munshi [13], and weaker than the class of strongly continuous functions due to Arya S. P.[1].

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate further properties of completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper, spaces always mean topological spaces on which no separation axiom is assumed unless explicitly stated. Let $S$ be a subset of a
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space X . The closure of S and the interior of S are denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{S})$ and $\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{S})$, respectively. A subset S is said to be $\alpha$-open [15] (resp. $\theta$-open [19]) if $\mathrm{S} \subset$ $\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{S})))($ resp. if for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{S}$, there exists an open set U in X such that $\mathrm{x} \in$ $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{U}) \subset \mathrm{S}[17])$. It is well-known that for a space $(\mathrm{X}, \tau), \mathrm{X}$ can be retopologized by the family $\tau^{\alpha}$ of all $\alpha$-open sets of $\mathrm{X}[10]$ and also the family $\tau^{\theta}$ of all $\theta$-open set of $\mathrm{X}[19]$, that is, $\tau^{\theta}$ (called $\theta$-topology) and $\tau^{\alpha}$ (called an $\alpha$-topology) are topologies on X , and it is obvious that $\tau^{\theta} \subset \tau \subset \tau^{\alpha}$.

A subset S of a space X is called regular open (resp. regular closed ) set if $\mathrm{S}=$ Int $(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{S}))($ resp. $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{S}))$. A subset S of a space X is called $\delta$-open [19] for each $x \in S$, there exists an open set $U$ in $X$ such that $x \in U \subset \operatorname{Int}(C l(U)) \subset S$. The family of all $\alpha$-open (resp. regular open, $\theta$-open and $\delta$-open) sets of X is denoted by $\alpha \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{X})($ resp. $\mathrm{RO}(\mathrm{X}), \theta \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\delta \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{X})$ ). The complement of an $\alpha$-open (resp. regular open, $\theta$-open and $\delta$-open) sets of X is called $\alpha$-closed (resp. regular closed, $\theta$-closed and $\delta$-closed) set.

A function $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is said to be $\alpha$-strongly $\theta$-continuous [5] if for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$ and each $\alpha$-open set H of Y containing $f(\mathrm{x})$, there exists an open set U of X containing x such that $f(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{U})) \subset \mathrm{H}$. A function $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is said to be strongly $\alpha-$ irresolute[6] (resp. NA-continuous [4]) if and only if for each $\alpha$-open (resp. feebly open) subset H of $\mathrm{Y}, f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is open (resp. $\delta$-open) in X . A space X is said to be an extremely disconnected [18, p.32] if the closure of each open set of $X$ is open in $X$. A space X is said to be semi-regular if the family of regularly open sets forms a base for the topology of X . A subset S of a space X is said to be N-closed [16] relative to $X$ if each cover $\left\{G_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ of $S$ by open sets of $X$, there exists a finite subset $I_{0}$ of $I$ such that $S \subset \cup\left\{\operatorname{Int}\left(C l\left(G_{i}\right)\right): i \in I_{0}\right\}$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

DEFINITION 3.1[14]: A function $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is said to be completely $\alpha$-irresolute if the inverse image of each $\alpha$-open set of Y is regular open in X .

THEOREM 3.1: Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a function. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be any basis for $\sigma^{\alpha}$ in Y . Then $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions if and only if for each $\mathrm{B} \in \mathcal{B}, f^{-1}(\mathrm{~B})$ is a regular open subset of X .

LEMMA 3.1[20]: Let $\mathrm{R} \in \mathrm{RO}(\mathrm{A})$ and $\mathrm{A} \in \mathrm{RO}(\mathrm{X})$, then $\mathrm{R} \in \mathrm{RO}(\mathrm{X})$.
THEOREM 3.2: Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be any function. If for each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$, there exists a regular open set R containing x such that $f \mid \mathrm{R}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, then $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

PROOF: Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$ and let H be any $\alpha$-open subset containing $f(\mathrm{x})$. Then, there exists a regular open set R containing x such that $f \mid \mathrm{R}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Therefore, by [14, Theorem 3.3], there exists a regular open set W in R containing x such that $\left.f\right|_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{W}) \subset \mathrm{H}$. Since R is regular open. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, W is regular open in X and hence $f(\mathrm{~W}) \subset \mathrm{H}$. Thus, $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

LEMMA 3.2: If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, then $f^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular closed for any nowhere dense subset V of Y .

PROOF: Let V be any nowhere dense in Y . Then $\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{V}))=\mathrm{X} \backslash \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{X} \mid \mathrm{V})$. Thus, we have $\mathrm{X}=\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(\operatorname{Int}((\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{V})))$, for $\operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{V}))=\phi$. Thus, $\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{V}$ is $\alpha$-open in Y . Hence $f^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular closed in X since $f^{-1}(\mathrm{Y} \backslash \mathrm{V})=\mathrm{X} \backslash f^{-1}(\mathrm{~V})$ is regular open and $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

THEOREM 3.3: (Restricting the range)
If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function and $f(\mathrm{X})$ is taken with the subspsace topology, then $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow f(\mathrm{X})$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

PROOF: $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function implies $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is regular open, where H is some $\alpha$-open subset of Y . Now $f^{-1}[\mathrm{H} \cap f(\mathrm{X})]=f^{-1}(\mathrm{H}) \cap f^{-1}[f$ $(\mathrm{X})]=f^{-1}(\mathrm{H}) \cap \mathrm{X}=f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is regular open. Therefore, $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow f(\mathrm{X})$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

THEOREM 3.4: Let X be an extremely disconnected. If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, then it is $\alpha$-strongly $\theta$-continuous function.

PROOF: Suppose that X is an extremely disconnected and $f$ is completely $\alpha$ irresolute function. Let H be any $\alpha$-open set of Y. Since $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is regular open in X . But X is an extremely disconnected. Then, by [3, Lemma 2.18], $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is $\theta$-open. Thus, by [5, Theorem 2], $f$ is $\alpha$ strongly $\theta$-continuous.

DEFINITION 3.2: A space $X$ is said to be $r$-disconnected if there exists two regular open sets R and W such that $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{R} \cup \mathrm{W}$ and $\mathrm{R} \cap \mathrm{W}=\phi$, otherwise X is called r-connected.

THEOREM 3.5: If X is r -connected space and $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$ irresolute surjection, then Y is $\alpha$-connected.

PROOF: Suppose $Y$ is not $\alpha$-connected. Then, there exist non empty $\alpha$-open sets $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in Y such that $\mathrm{H}_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}_{2}=\phi$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1} \cup \mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{Y}$ and since $f$ is completely
$\alpha$-irresolute functions, then we have $f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=\phi$ and $f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \cup f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=$ X. Since $f$ is surjection, then $f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \neq \phi$ and $f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \in \mathrm{RO}(\mathrm{X})$, for $\mathrm{j}=1$, 2. This indicated that X is not r -connected. This is a contradiction.

COROLLARY 3.1: Let $A$ be $r$-connected subset of a topological space $X$, and let $f$ be a completely a-irresolute function of X into a topological space Y . Then $f(\mathrm{~A})$ is $\alpha$-connected.

THEOREM 3.6: For a topological space X to be $r$-disconnected it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a surjection completely $\alpha$-irresolute function of X onto a discrete space containing more than one point.

PROOF: The condition is sufficient by Theorem 3.5.
Conversely, if X is r -disconnected, there exist two non empty disjoint regular open subsets R and W whose union is X , and the function $f$ of X onto a discrete space of two elements $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$, defined by $f(\mathrm{~A})=\{\mathrm{a}\}$ and $f(\mathrm{~B})=\{\mathrm{b}\}$, is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

THEOREM 3.7: Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a strongly $\alpha$-irresolute function from a semiregular space X into Y . Then $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute

PROOF: Let $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}$ and H be an $\alpha$-open set containing $f(\mathrm{x})$. Then, $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ is open in X since $f$ is strongly $\alpha$-irresolute. Therefore, there is an open subset U of x such that $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{U} \in \operatorname{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{U})) \subset f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$, since X is semi-regular. Hence $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

REMARK 3.1: Every open set in a $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-space can be written as the union of regular open sets.

COROLLARY 3.2: Let X be a $\mathrm{T}_{3}$-topological space and let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be strongly $\alpha$-irresolute, then $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

PROOF: Every regular (or $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ) space is semi-regular.
DEFINITION 3.3: A space $X$ is said to be $\alpha$-Hausdorff [6](resp. $\mathrm{rT}_{2}$ [2]) if for any $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, there exist $\alpha$-open(resp. regular open) sets G and H such that x $\in \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{G} \cap \mathrm{H}=\phi$.

THEOREM 3.8: Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be injective and completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. If Y is $\alpha$-Hausdorff space, then X is $\mathrm{rT}_{2}$.

PROOF: Let x and y be any two distinct points of X . Since $f$ is injective, $f(\mathrm{x})$ $\neq f(\mathrm{y})$. Now, Y being an $\alpha$-Hausdorff space, there exist two disjoint $\alpha$-open sets G
and H such that $f(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{G}, f(\mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{H}$. Since $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, it follows that $f^{-1}(\mathrm{G})$ and $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})$ are disjoint regular open sets containing x and y , respectively. Hence X is $\mathrm{rT}_{2}$.

Recall that a space (X, $\tau$ ), X is called $\alpha$-compact [8] if every $\alpha$-open cover of X has a finite subcover.

DEFINITION 3.4: For a space ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ), let A be a subset of X . Then A is said to be $\alpha$-compact relative to $\mathrm{X}[8]$ if every cover of A by $\alpha$-open sets of X has a finite subcover.

THEOREM 3.9: If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function and F is N closed subspace relative to X , then $f(\mathrm{~F})$ is $\alpha$-compact relative to Y .

PROOF: Let $\left\{H_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ be a cover of $f(\mathrm{~F})$ by $\alpha$-open sets in Y. For each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{F}$, there exists an $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{I}$ such that $f(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{x})}$. Since $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, there exists a regular open set $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}$ of x such that $f\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{x})}$. The family $\left\{R_{x}: x \in F\right\}$ is a regular open cover of $F$. For some finite subset $F_{0}$ of $F$, we have $F \subset$ $\cup\left\{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{F}_{0}\right\}$ and hence $f(\mathrm{~F}) \subset \cup\left\{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{X})}: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{F}_{0}\right\}$. This shows that $f(\mathrm{~F})$ is $\alpha$-compact relative to Y .

THEOREM 3.10: Let $g: X \rightarrow Y_{1} \times Y_{2}$ be completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, where $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ are any topological spaces. Let $f_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ defined as follows:

For $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}, g(\mathrm{x})=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right), f_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2$. Then $f_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, for $\mathrm{i}=1,2$.

PROOF: Let $x$ be any point in $X$ and $H_{1}$ be any $\alpha$-open set of $Y_{1}$ containing
$f_{1}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}_{1}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ is $\alpha$-open in $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$, which contain ( $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$ ).
Since $g$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Therefore, by [14, Theorem 3.3], there exists a regular open set R containing x such that $g(\mathrm{R}) \subset \mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$. Then $f_{1}(\mathrm{R})$ $\times f_{2}(\mathrm{R}) \subset \mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$. Therefore, $f_{1}(\mathrm{R}) \subset \mathrm{H}_{1}$. Hence $f_{1}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Similar statement for $f_{2}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.

THEOREM 3.11: If $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, $g: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is continuous and Y is Hausdorff, then the set $\{\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}: f(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y})\}$ is $\delta$-closed in X .

PROOF: Let $\mathrm{A}=\{\mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{X}: f(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{y})\}$ and $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{A}$. Then $f(\mathrm{x}) \neq \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$. Since Y is Hausdorff, there exist open ( $\alpha$-open) sets $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in Y such that $f(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{H}_{1}$, $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}_{2}=\phi$. Since $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Therefore, by [4, Theorem 2.1], there exists a regular open set R containing x such that $f(\mathrm{R}) \subset$ $\mathrm{H}_{1}$. Since $g$ is continuous, there exists an open set U in X containing x such that g
( U ) $\subset \mathrm{H}_{2}$. Now, put $\mathrm{R}^{*}=\mathrm{R} \cap \mathrm{U}$, then by [4, Lemma 2.6], $\mathrm{R}^{*}$ is regular open set in the subspace R and hence it is regular open in X containing x and $f\left(\mathrm{R}^{*}\right) \cap \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{R}^{*}\right)$ $\subset \mathrm{H}_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}_{2}=\phi$. Therefore, we obtain $\mathrm{R}^{*} \cap \mathrm{~A}=\phi$. This shows that A is $\delta$-closed in X .

THEOREM 3.11: If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions of a space X into an $\alpha$-Hausdorff space Y , then the set $\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: f_{1}(\mathrm{x})=f_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$ is $\delta$-closed in X .

PROOF: Let $\mathrm{A}=\left\{\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X}: f_{1}(\mathrm{x})=f_{2}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$. If $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{A}$, then we have $f_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \neq f_{2}$ (x). Since Y is $\alpha$-Hausdorff, there exist $\alpha$-open sets $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in Y such that $f_{1}(\mathrm{x})$ $\in \mathrm{H}_{1}, f_{2}(\mathrm{x}) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}_{2}=\phi$. Since $f_{\mathrm{j}}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions, there exists a regular open set $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}$ in X containing x such that $f_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}$, where $\mathrm{j}=1$, 2. Put $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}_{1} \cap \mathrm{R}_{2}$, then R is a regular open set in X containing x and $f_{1}(\mathrm{R}) \cap f_{2}(\mathrm{R})$ $\subset \mathrm{R}_{1} \cap \mathrm{R}_{2}=\phi$. This implies that $\mathrm{R} \cap \mathrm{A}=\phi$ and hence A is $\delta$-closed in X .

LEMMA 3.2[12]: Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be topological spaces with topologies $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, respectively. Let $\tau_{\delta 1}$ and $\tau_{\delta 2}$ denote the topologies generated by regularly open sets of $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2}$, respectively. If $\tau$ denote the product topology of $\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\tau_{\delta}$ denote the topology generated by the regularly open sets of $X_{1} \times X_{2}$, then $\tau_{\delta 1} \times \tau_{\delta 2}=\tau_{\delta}$.

THEOREM 3.13: If Y is an $\alpha$-Hausdorff space and $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ is completely $\alpha$ irresolute function, then the set $\mathrm{A}=\left\{\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right): f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)=f\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)\right\}$ is $\delta$-closed in the product space $X \times X$.

PROOF: If $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{X} \times(\mathrm{X} \backslash \mathrm{A})$, then we have $f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \neq f\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$. Since Y is $\alpha-$ Hausdorff, there exist $\alpha$-open sets $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in Y such that $f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \in \mathrm{H}_{1}, f\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1} \cap \mathrm{H}_{2}=\phi$. Since $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Therefore, by [4, Theorem 2.1], there exists a $\delta$-open set $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}$ containing $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ such that $f\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}$, where $\mathrm{j}=1,2$.

Put $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}_{1} \times \mathrm{U}_{2}$, then by Lemma 3.2, that U is a $\delta$-open set in $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}$ containing $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{U}=\phi$. This shows that A is $\delta$-closed in the product space $\mathrm{X} \times \mathrm{X}$.

THEOREM 3.14: If $f_{\mathrm{i}}: \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, for $\mathrm{i}=1$, 2. Let $f: \mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ be a function defined as follows:
$f\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}\right)=\left(f_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)\right)$. Then $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function.
PROOF: Let $\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \subset \mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$, where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is $\alpha$-open in $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$, for $\mathrm{i}=1$, 2 , then $f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=f_{1}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \times f_{2}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, since $f_{\mathrm{i}}$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function, for $\mathrm{i}=1$, 2. By, Definition 3.1 and Theorem 3.10 of [9], $f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} \times \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ is regular open in $\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{2}$. Now if H is any $\alpha$-open subset of $\mathrm{Y}_{1} \times \mathrm{Y}_{2}$, then $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})=f^{-1}\left(\cup \mathrm{H}_{\alpha}\right)$, where $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ is of the form $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha 1} \times \mathrm{H}_{\alpha 2}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, $f^{-1}(\mathrm{H})=\cup f^{-1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}\right)$ is $\delta$-open in $\mathrm{X}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}_{2}$, which completes the proof.

THEOREM 3.15: Let $f: \mathrm{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ be a completely $\alpha$-irresolute function on X into an $\alpha$-Hausdorff space Y. If M is an $\alpha$-compact subset of Y , then $f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})$ is a $\delta$ closed subset of X.

PROOF: Suppose $f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})$ is not $\delta$-closed in X . Then, there exists an $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{IntCl}$ $\left(f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})\right)$, but $\mathrm{x} \notin f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})$, it follows that $f(\mathrm{x}) \neq \mathrm{m}$. Now for each $\mathrm{m} \in \mathrm{M}$, there exist $\alpha$-open sets $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{m}}(f(\mathrm{x}))$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$ containing $f(\mathrm{x})$ and m , respectively such that $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{m}}(f(\mathrm{x})) \cap \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})=\phi$ because Y is $\alpha$-Hausdorff. By construction, $\mathrm{M} \subset \bigcup_{m \in M} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m})$, and since $M$ is $\alpha$-compact. Therefore, there exists a finite subfamily $\left\{H\left(m_{i}\right): i=1\right.$, $2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}\}$ such that $\mathrm{M} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Let $\mathrm{H}^{*}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{W}^{*}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m_{i}}(f(\mathrm{x}))$. Then $\mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{H}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{*} \cap \mathrm{~W}^{*}=\phi$. Since each $\mathrm{W}_{m_{i}}(f(\mathrm{x}))$ is an $\alpha$-open set of $f(\mathrm{x})$, it follows that $\mathrm{W}^{*}$ is an $\alpha$-open set of $f(\mathrm{x})$. Since $f$ is completely $\alpha$-irresolute function. Therefore, by [4, Theorem 3.3], there exists a regular open set $U$ containing x such that $f(\mathrm{U}) \subset \mathrm{W}^{*}$. But $\mathrm{x} \in \operatorname{IntCl}\left(f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})\right)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{U} \cap f^{-1}(\mathrm{M}) \neq \phi$. Hence there exists $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{U} \cap f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})$, and $\operatorname{so} f(\mathrm{z}) \in f(\mathrm{U}) \cap \mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{W}^{*} \cap \mathrm{M} \subset \mathrm{W}^{*} \cap \mathrm{H}^{*}=\phi$, which is contradiction. Hence $f^{-1}(\mathrm{M})$ is $\delta$-closed.

Since every compactness implies $\alpha$-compactness, we obtain from Theorem 3.15 the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.3: For completely $\alpha$-irresolute functions into $\alpha$-Hausdorff spaces, the inverse image of each compact set is $\delta$-closed.
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