CHEMICAL MANAGEMENT OF FOLIAR FUNGAL DISEASES OF BLACKGRAM (Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper) IN KARNATAKA

GURUPADA BALOL^{*}, GANAJAXI MATH, SUMA MOGALI, BASAMMA KUMBAR, C. CHANNAKESHAVA AND IRAMMA, V. GOUDAR.

AICRP on MULLARP, Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad -580 005, India *E-mail: gurupadbalol@gmail.com

Abstract: In the present study fungicides such as Tebuconazole (250 EC) @ 1 ml /l, Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l, Propiconazole (25 EC)@ 1 ml /l and Hexaconazole 5 EC) @1 ml /l were evaluated for their bio-efficacy against cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram. Seed treatment with *Trichoderma* 10 g/kg followed by foliar spray of above chemicals and seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg followed by foliar spray of above chemicals were evaluated as individual treatments. Among the treatments, seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg followed by foliar spray of blackgram with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l was found to be the most effective in managing the cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram with PDI of 4.85, 11.07 and 6.72 respectively. Untreated control recorded the maximum PDI of 30.20, 65.50 and 51.40 for the above diseases respectively. Highest seed yield of 8.79 q/ha was observed with seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l with maximum B: C ratio of 2.29. Thus, seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l found to be best for the management of multiple foliar fungal diseases such as cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram.

Keywords: Blackgram, Powdery mildew, Anthracnose and Fungicides.

INTRODUCTION

Black gram (*Vignamungo* (L.) Hepper) is one of the most important pulse crops of Fabaceae. It is an ancient and well known leguminous crop of Asia, due to its nutritional quality and the suitability to cropping system. Rich in easily digestible protein (24%), contains vitamin B1 (0.42 mg), B2 (0.37), Niacin (2.0 mg), iron (8.7 mg), calcium (185 mg), P (345 mg) and Fat (1.2%) per 100 g dry seed. It is consumed as dhal in South Asia and consumed as food products like fried snacks, desserts and bean sprouts. The lower productivity of black gram is mainly attributed to low genetic yield potentiality, indeterminate growth habit,

canopy architecture, low partitioning efficiency, cultivation in marginal land and due to biotic and abiotic stresses. Among biotic stresses powdery mildew, cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose are the major fungal foliar diseases of black gram in our location.

Powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC is considered as a major one. The loss inflicted is proportional to the disease intensity and varies considerably depending on the stage of plant growth. The disease has world-wide importance, occurring wherever it is grown, particularly in the Indian sub-continent and southeast-Asian countries (Butler, 1918). In India the disease is

present in almost all states of the country and becomes severe in dry season causing 9.0- 50.0 per cent yield loss (Pandey *et al.*, 2009). Powdery mildew of urdbean causes both qualitative and quantitative loss of grains. The reduction in photosynthetic activity and physiological changes are considerable, which lead to potential reduction in yield (40-90%) depending on stage and time at which the disease appears. However, disease intensity depends upon the cultivar, growing period and environmental conditions.

Symptoms of *Cercospora canescens* on *Vigna radiata* produced definite spots on leaves, which are at first brown, later turning grey or dirty grey with narrow reddish brown margin on both the surfaces (Munjal *et al.*, 1960). The causal organism *Cercospora canescens* is a shy sporulating pathogen (Jamadar, 1988) and is soil and air borne in nature. Although the disease has became very severe now-a-days, not much work is carried out on leaf spot disease of greengram. In view, of this, there is a need for systematic work which includes survey for knowing the disease severity.

The diseases can be managed by spraying fungicides. Many systemic and non-systemic fungicides are reported for the management of multiple foliar fungal diseases such as cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram. The information on the efficacy of different new fungicides and combi products against cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram is insufficient. Hence there is a need to evaluate new fungicides against cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken with the objective to evaluate the efficacy of new fungicides against cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad (Karnataka) during *kharif* 2018-19 and 2019-20. Commercial fungicides Tebuconazole (250 EC) @ 1 ml /l, Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l, Propiconazole (25 EC)@ 1 ml /l and Hexaconazole 5 EC) @1 ml /l were evaluated for their bio-efficacy against cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram. Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg followed by foliar spray of above chemicals and seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/ kg followed by foliar spray of above chemicals were evaluated as individual treatments in the present study. The field experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 9 treatments and three replications. Blackgram variety 'DBGV 5' was sown at 30 cm x 10cm spacing. Recommended dose of fertilizers was. Thinning and gap filling operations were carried out ten days after sowing to maintain uniform plant population. First spraying was done immediately on appearance of powdery mildew disease and subsequent second spray was given at an interval of 15 days. The plot without fungicidal spray was treated as untreated control plot.

The severity of the powdery mildew was recorded during both the seasons using 0-5 scale and severity of **cecospora leaf spot and anthracnose were recorded using 0-9 scale** (Mayee and Datar, 1986) and per cent disease Index (PDI) was calculated.

- (a) Disease severity of powdery mildew: 0-5 scale will be used for scoring the disease.
- 0= No infection
- 1= 0.1 to 10 % leaf area is infected
- 2= 10.1 to 25.0 % leaf area is infected
- 3= 25.1 to 50.0 % leaf area is infected
- 4= 50.1 to 75.0 % leaf area is infected
- 5= 75.1 to 100.0 % leaf area is infected
- b) **Disease severity of cecospora leaf spot and anthracnose:** 0-9 **scale** will be used for scoring the disease.
- 0 = No symptoms on leaf
- 1 = Few small necrotic spots covering 1% or less of the leaf area

3= Few small necrotic spots covering 1-5% of the leaf area

5= Spots coalescing, covering 6-20% of the leaf area

7= Spots enlarging, coalescing to cover 21-50% of the leaf area

9= Spots enlarging, coalescing to cover \geq 51 % of the leaf area

 $Percent \ disease \ index(PDI) = \frac{Sum \ of \ the \ individual \ disease \ ratings}{Number \ of \ leaves \ observed \times Maximum \ disease \ grade} \times 100$

The yield was recorded from each plot and computed to yield kg/ha, gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio were also calculated according to standard procedures. The data were subjected to statistical analysis after using transformations such as arc sine transformation for Per cent Disease Index.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Disease severity (PDI): Pooled data of experiments conducted at MARS, UAS, Dharwad for two years during 2018-19 and 2019-20 Kharif revealed that, all the chemicals were found effective in reducing the severity of the diseases (Table. 1) and their by increasing the blackgram yield. Among the treatments, seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g / 1 was found to be the most effective inmanaging the cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram with PDI of 4.85, 11.07 and 6.72 respectively and which was followed by Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l which recorded PDI of 7.61, 12.75 and 9.90 respectively. Untreated control recorded the maximum PDI of 30.20, 65.50 and 51.40 for the above diseases respectively. The results are in agreement with several workers who reported powdery mildew management in various crops through fungicides (Begum, 1989, Upadhyay and Gupta, 1994, Kapoor and Sugha, 1995, Malani et al., 1998, Naik and Nagaraja, 2000, Moshe 2001, Saxena and Moly Saxena, 2002, Gupta and Amit Kumar 2008, Divyjyothi, 2012 Channaveeresh, 2013 and Ashwini et al., 2016. Madhuri and Karunasagar, 2020. Similarly, the efficacy of different fungicides and botanicals was studied under field condition against green gram anthracnose and found that among different treatment, the least disease incidence was observed in propiconazole followed by hexaconazole and carbendazim. But, in case of botanicals, the least disease incidence was noticed in azadirachtin (Laxman, 2006).

Triazole fungicides interfere with the biosynthesis of fungal sterols and inhibit

ergosterol biosynthesis (Rawal, 1993). Ergosterol is essential to the structure of cell wall and its absence causes irreparable damage to the cell wall thus fungus dies. Apart from this also interfere in conidia and haustoria formation. These changes in a sterol content and saturation of the polar fatty acids leading to alterations in membrane fluidity and behaviour of membrane bound enzymes (Nene and Thapliyal, 1993).

Seed yield and Net returns

Highest seed yield of 8.79 q/ha was observed with seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l with maximum B: C ratio of 2.29 and followed by Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l (8.12 q/ha) with B: C ratio of 2.12 when compared to least seed yield of 4.61 q/ha in untreated control with least B:C of 1.34 (Table. 2).

COCLUSION

Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/ kg followed by foliar spray with Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l found to be best for the management of multiple foliar fungal diseases such as cercospora leaf spot, anthracnose and powdery mildew of blackgram with maximum net returns and B: C ratio.

References

- Ashwani, K. Basandrai., Daisy Basandrai and Sharma, B.K. (2016). Fungicidal management of web blight of urdbean caused by Rhizoctonia solani . *Legume Research-An International Journal*. 39:1038-1042
- Begum, S. N. (1989). Evaluation of fungicides for controlling powdery mildew of field pea. *Bangladesh. Journal of Plant Pathology*. 5(2): 93-95.
- Butler, E. J. (1918). Fungi and diseases in plants. Thacker Spink and Co. Calcutta, 547.
- Channaveeresh, T.S. (2013). Studies on integrated management of powdery mildew of blackgram caused by Erysiphe polygoni DC.., *M. Sc (Agri) Thesis*, Univ. Agri. Sci., Dharwad (India).
- Divyjyothi, U. (2012). Epidemiology and management of powdery mildew of greengram caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC. *M. Sc* (*Agri*) *Thesis*, Univ. Agri. Sci., Dharwad (India).

- Gupta, S. K. and Amit Kumar. (2008). Management of *Erysiphe pisi* through strobilurin and EBI fungicides. *Indian Phytopathology*. **61**(2) : 184-191.
- Jamadar, M. M., 1988, Studies on leaf spot of greengram (Vigna radiata L.) Wilczek caused by Cercospora canescens Ell. and Mart., M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agri. Sci., Dharwad.
- Kapoor, A. S. and Sugha, S. K. (1995). Efficacy of different fungicides in controlling powdery mildew (*E. pisi*) of pea (*Pisum sativum*) in field. *Indian Journal of* Agricultural Sciences. **65**(10): 771-773.
- Laxman R. Mahanta IC, Dhal A, Mohanty AK and Mohapatra SS. Manjunath B, Neetha J, Nagaraju and Ramappa HK. Nene YL and Thapliyal PN. Wheeler BEJ. 2006. Studies on leaf spot of greengram caused by (Schw.) Andrus and Moore. M Sc (Agri) Thesis, University of Agriculture Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India
- Madhuri, V and Karuna Sagar, G. (2020). Management of foliar diseases in blackgram, Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry; 9(1): 101-103
- Malani, S. S., Khare, N., Lakpale, N. and Rajiv Kumar. (1998). Efficacy of some fungicides against powdery mildew of grasspea (*Lathyrus sativus L.*). Annals of Plant Protection. Sciences. 6 (2): 131-135.
- Mayee, C.D. and Datar, V.V. (1986). "*Phytopathometry*" Technical Bulletin-I, Marathawada Agricultural University, Parbhani, India, pp. 146.
- Moshe Reuveni. (2001). Improved control of powdery mildew (Sphaerotheca pannosa) of nectarines in

Israel using strobilurin and polyoxin B fungicides mixtures with sulfur; and early bloom applications. *Crop Protection.* **20:** 663-668

- Munjal, R. L., Lall, G. and Chona, B., 1960, Some cercospora species from India IV., *India Phytopathol*, 13:144-145.
- Naik, K. S. and Nagaraja, A. (2000). Chemical control of powdery mildew of okra. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*. 28(1): 41-42.
- Nene, Y. L. and Thapliyal, P. N. (1993). Fungicides in Plant Disease Control. Third Edition, Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India, pp. 311-348.
- Pandey, S., Sharma, M., Kumari, S., Gaur, P. M., Chen, W., Kaur, L., Macleod, W., Basandrai, A. K., Basandrai, D., Bakar, A., Sandhu, J. S., Tripathi, H. S. and Gowda, C. L. (2009). Integrated foliar diseases management of legumes. Indian Society of Pulses Research and Development. IIPR, Kanpur, India.143-161.
- Rawal, R. D. (1993). Management of powdery mildew of grape through the use of systemic fungicids. *Advanced Biological Research*. pp. 121-127.
- Saxena, D. R. and Moly Saxena. (2002). Optimal fungicidal spray to control powdery mildew of rainy season green gram. *Journal of Plant Pathology*. **18**(2) : 68-73.
- Upadhyay, A. L. and Gupta, R. P., (1994). Fungicidal evaluation against powdery mildew and rust of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Annals of Agricultural Research. 15(1): 114-116.

m
50
ack
blå
of b]
0
Ň
ğ
E
n
e and powdery
de
Δ
0
p
ğ
0
SC
ŭ
ac
nthracno
nt
a
ot,
đ
Ę,
leaf sp
11
520
bC
05
rc
Ű
ų
5
n
ň
ē
ag
an
Z
5
e 1:
þľ
Tabl

Tr.		CLS (PDI)	(]		Anthracnose (PDI	(IDI) asc		(IDI) MA	(10		Yield q/ha	ћа	
No.	1 rearment aetaits	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled	2018	2019	Pooled
Т	Seed treatment with <i>Trichoderma</i> 10 g/kg+ foliar spray of T. J. M. 250 EC) @ 1 ml /1	13.98	10.77	12.38	17.83	20.04	18.94	14.57	13.48	14.03	7 15	о ц	د ب ا
		(06.12)		(10.02)	(24.70)	(10.02)	(10.02)	(07-77)	(00.17)	(00.22)			10.0
7	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar	11.53	10.00	10.77	15.65	17.51 (24.75)	16.58	14.19	12.99	13.59	777	20	7 03
	spray of of reducoriazore 200 EC) @ IIII / I	(00.71)		(01.21)	(07.67)	(0/.77)	(±1.14)	(±1.22)	(±1.12)	(±0.12)		+	· · · ·
3	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg+foliar spray of Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /1	9.50 (17.96)	5.72 (13.84)	7.61 (16.02)	11.46 (19.78)	14.03 (22.01)	12.75 (20.93)	11.20 (19.53)	8.60 (17.06)	9.90 (18.35)	8.80	7.38	8.12
4	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar sprav of Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /1	5.35 (13.38)	4.35 (12.04)	4.85 (12.72)	9.52 (17.96)	12.62 (20.82)	11.07 (19.44)	7.50 (15.84)	5.93 (14.1)	6.72 (15.03)	9.54	8.03	8.79
ы	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 o/ko+foliar snrav of	19.26	15.59	17.43	20.43	25.51	79.07	16.92	15.60	16.26			
	Propiconazole (25 EC) $@$ 1 ml /l	(26.05)	_	(24.69)	(26.88)	(30.35)	(28.65)	(24.29)	(23.27)	(23.79)	7.28	5.51	6.40
9	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar	15.58	14.45	15.01	18.58	22.60	20.59	15.17	14.09	14.63			
	spray of of Propiconazole (25 EC)@ 1 ml /1	(23.26)	(22.35)	(22.81)	(25.53)	(28.4)	(27)	(22.91)	(22.06)	(22.5)	7.62	6.21	6.92
	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg+foliar spray of	18.35	18.58	18.46	21.32	27.69	24.50	20.06	19.13	19.59			
	Hexaconazole 5 EC) @1 ml /1	(25.37)	(25.54)	(25.46)	(27.51)	(31.77)	(29.69)	(26.61)	(25.95)	(26.29)	7.01	5.18	6.09
8	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar	18.70	17.06	17.88	22.56	26.17	24.37	17.69	17.23	17.46			
	spray of Hexaconazole (5 EC) $@$ 1 ml /1	(25.63)	(24.41)	(25.03)	(28.36)	(30.79)	(29.59)	(24.87)	(24.53)	(24.71)	7.49	6.13	6.81
6		30.48	29.92	30.20	60.32	70.67	65.50	73.51	29.30	51.40			
	Control	(33.53)	(33.18)	(33.35)	(51.02)	(57.24)	(54.05)	(59.14)	(32.79)	(45.83)	4.87	4.34	4.61
	S.Em ±	0.76	1.10	0.71	0.97	1.59	0.71	0.91	1.49	0.76	0.21	0.25	0.19
	CD at 5%	2.28	3.29	2.14	2.91	4.79	2.15	2.74	4.49	2.30	0.62	0.77	0.56
	CV	5.74	8.93	5.58	6.18	9.13	4.31	6.00	11.56	5.43	5.55	7.34	4.77

н
gra
lackg
þ
w in
ilde
mi
ery
мd
l po
and
se
cnc
hra
ant
oot,
uf s]
lea
ora
dso
Cerc
of (
ent
eme
lag
mar
OL
io 1
) rai
B:C
st (]
Ü
efit
Ben
able 2:
Tab

Tr. No.	Treatment details	Yield q/ ha pooled	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Gross returns (Rs/ha)	Net returns (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio
1	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg+ foliar spray of Tebuconazole (250 EC) $@$ 1 ml /l	6.51	24385	42325.83	17941	1.74
2	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar spray of of Tebuconazole 250 EC) @ 1ml /1	7.03	24435	45705.83	21271	1.87
3	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg+foliar spray of Tebuconazole + Trifloxy strobin @ 0.5 g /1	8.12	24865	52780.00	27915	2.12
4	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar spray of of Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin @ 0.5 g /l	8.79	24915	57113.33	32198	2.29
D	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg+foliar spray of Propiconazole (25 EC)@ 1 ml /l	6.40	23455	41567.50	18113	1.77
9	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar spray of of Propiconazole (25 EC)@ 1 ml /l	6.92	23505	44969.17	21464	1.91
7	Seed treatment with Trichoderma 10 g/kg+foliar spray of Hexaconazole 5 EC) @1 ml /1	6.09	22960	39606.67	16647	1.73
8	Seed treatment with Carbendazim (50 WP) 2g/kg +foliar spray of Hexaconazole (5 EC) @ 1 ml /l	6.81	23010	44275.83	21266	1.92
6	Control	4.61	22325	29943.33	7618	1.34
	S.Em ±	0.19				
	CD at 5%	0.56				
	cv	4.77				