

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE

ISSN: 0254-8755

available at http://www.serialsjournal.com

© Serials Publications Pvt. Ltd.

Volume 35 • Number 1 • 2017

Marginal Lands Productivity and Fertility Increased by Different Agroforestry Systems in Semi-arid Tropics of Telangana State, India

M.A. Aariff Khan* and A. Krishna

*Principal Scientist (Soil Science), AICRP on Agroforestry, Professor Jayashankar Telangana. State Agricultural University, Hyderabad-500030, TS. E-mail: abdulaariffkhan61@gmail.com

Abstract: In Melia azedarach based agri-silvi system the grain and straw yield (2100 and 3571 kg ha⁻¹) of rainfed foxtail millet significantly influenced by 75% RD N + 25 % N Poultry manure. Same trend continued with nutrient content and uptake of NPK in grain and straw (1.30, 0.236, 0.49 and 0.63, 0.133, 2.52%; 25.14, 5.00, 10.24 and 22.47, 4.24, 90.12 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Regarding soil parameters, the conjoint use of 75% RD N + 25% N Poultry manure showed significant effect on OC (0.59%) and available NPK (150.0, 24.95, 210.0 kg ha⁻¹) followed by 100% RDF (0.55% and 147.0, 24.00, 216.0 kg ha⁻¹). In silvi-medicinal system, there was no significant effect of manures either alone or combination seed yield of Terminelia bellarica. The results further showed that manures alone and combined showed significant effect on fresh yield attributing parameters of Aloe vera over control. The highest fresh number of leaves recorded in application of Neemcake treatment (35800) followed by FYM 5 + Vermicompost 2t ha⁻¹ (34000) and FYM 10 t ha⁻¹, 32000. In case of fresh leaf and juice content the highest recorded in FYM + VC (8.8 t ha⁻¹ and 76.3%) > Neemcake (8.5 t ha⁻¹ and 75%). In mango based agri-horti system the Cowpea + Curryleaf + Moringa in *kharif* season followed by safflower in *rahi* produced significantly higher net returns of Rs. 66,290 ha⁻¹ with B:C ratio 3.27 followed by black gram + curry leaf + moringa system in *kharif* and safflower in rabi produced higher net returns of Rs. 61572 ha⁻¹ with B:C ratio 3.04. Regarding soil parameters, there is significant effect on OC and available N by legume crops than non legumes with nutrient management treatments. The highest content was found with cowpea (0.60% and 169.7 kg ha^{-1} followed by horsegram (0.60% and 165.0 kg ha $^{-1}$) and black gram (0.50% and 158.0 kg ha⁻¹). In Melia dubia based silvi-pasture system, there was significant effect by type of fodder *i.e.* maize and sorghum and Nutrient management treatments. Between two fodders the highest fresh forage biomass recorded by maize (4952 kg ha⁻¹) than sorghum (4476 kg ha⁻¹). In case of nutrient management practices the fresh biomass significantly influenced by 50% RD N + 50% N FYM (5387 kg ha⁻¹) > 75% RD N + 25 % N Poultry manure (5200 kg ha⁻¹) > 100% RDF (4987 kg ha⁻¹). Regarding quality parameters the

M. A. Aariff Khan and A. Krishna

crude protein and fiber significantly influenced by type of fodder. The highest being in fodder maize (5.16 and 27.41%) compared to sorghum (4.64 and 32.86%). Similarly the quality was also significantly affected by nutrient management treatment 50% RD N + 50% N FYM (5.28 and 4.64%) in maize. Whereas, in sorghum the significant effect of crude fiber observed in FYM 10t ha⁻¹ (32.57%). Pertaining to soil parameters the OC and available N and P significantly affected by type of fodders and nutrient management over farmers practice *i.e.* FYM 10 t ha⁻¹. The highest OC content recorded in fodder maize (0.52%) than sorghum (0.46%). Significant effect of available N and P found with fodder sorghum (152.0 and 51.00 kg ha⁻¹) than maize (109 and 22.42 kg ha⁻¹).

Key words: Marginal lands, agroforestry systems, nutrient management, organics, inorganics.

INTRODUCTION

To meet the ever growing population there is a need to increase food grain production in India. The percapita availability of land for agriculture is decreasing year by year. Therefore, the area not utilized under waste, marginal and degraded lands has to be brought under cultivation by many ways. Generally the productivity and fertility of such lands are low, hence not suitable for normal agriculture. But with land use management the productivity and fertility of such soils increased by adaptation of different agroforestry (Pathak et al. 1996). Among them the adapting agroforestry is the best way to increase the productivity and fertility effectively in marginal and degraded lands. Off late agroforestry is an appropriate and efficient land use systems for dry lands, site improvement and also for optimization of productivity of agricultural crops as well as forest crops (Dagar and Singh, 2001). The ever growing demands of the increasing population for food, fodder, fuel, fiber, timber, etc., requires emphasis on checking land degradation for which agroforestry practices are considered a most vital technology and a potential farming system for minimizing the land degradation (Sharma, 2014).

There is a great risk of growing food grains in marginal, degraded wastelands unless proper management is taken. Basically the fertility and nutrient status of marginal lands is very poor. Even under such situation, there is lot of scope to increase the productivity and sustainability in semi-arid tropics of Telangana State by adapting different agroforestry models. Among the systems, the important are agri-silvi, agri-horti, silvi-pastoral, horti-pastoral, silvi-medicinal, block plantations, boundary plantations (Roy, 2016). Keeping in view of above facts an attempt was made through field experiments to find out the effect of organic manures, biofertilizers along with chemical fertilizer combination on yield, nutrient content and available nutrients of different intercrops in agri-silvi, agri-horti, silvi-medicinal and silvi-pastoral systems.

Off late, Melia dubia and Melia azedarach is a fast growing tree suitable in different type of soils considered as a multipurpose tree because of its multi directional and wide uses in agriculture, agroforestry and industry (Prasad et al. 2011 and Dhyani et al. 2013). Mango is one of the very important commercial fruit crop in semi-arid areas of Telangana State. Both Curry leaf and Moringa are suitable filler plants easy to maintain in mango rows at early stage to get quick returns. Terminelia bellarica a medicinal tree and Aloe vera a herbal crop is suitable easy to take up in marginal lands. Keeping in mind about the food security and improvement of soil fertility, the food grain and fodder crops were given due importance such as millets, legumes, cereal fodders under rainfed conditions in agri-silvi, agri-horti, silvi-pastoral systems respectively. All the inter crops selected in different agroforestry systems were low input short duration crops with multiuses suitable

in semi-arid area (Agricultural Statistics, 2012). Similarly medicinal trees and herbal crops are also gaining importance and performing well in even under poor fertility status of marginal lands.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments on nutrient management was conducted in different agroforestry systems finger millet in Melia azedarach based agri-silvi, legumes and non legumes in mango based agri-horti, fodder maize and sorghum in Melia dubia based silvi-pastoral and Aloe vera in medicinal tree Terminelia bellarica based silvi-medicinal system at Agroforestry research block, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar campus, Hyderabad, T.S. Three agroforestry experiments were laid out in randomized block design, replicated thrice with seven treatment combinations applied to inter crops and one experiment in split plot design with four replications and six treatment combinations. The sources of organic manures were FYM, vermicompost, poultry manure, Neemcake, biofertilizers as Azospirillum, PSB and inorganic fertilizers as urea, single super phosphate, muriate of potash.

The experimental soil was red sandy loam texture, neutral, non-saline and medium in organic carbon, low to medium in available NPK. The soil parameters and plant nutrient contents were analysed by adapting standard procedures (AOAC, 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I. Agri-silvi Culture System-*Melia Azedarach* + Foxtail Millet (*Kharif*, 2015)

Among different combinations and alone treatments the best treatments effect were highlighted. In *Melia azedarach* (3 years age) based agri-silvi system the rainfed foxtail millet significantly influenced the grain and straw yield (2100 and 3571 kg ha⁻¹) by the nutrient management practice *i.e.* 75% RD N + 25 % N Poultry manure (Table 1). This might be due to more availability of nutrients and better soil conditions by the combined application of both organics and inorganics (Aariff Khan and Krishna, 2016). The B:C ratio was highest in 75% RD N + Azospirillum + PSB 5 kg ha⁻¹ (1.84) followed by 75% RD N + 25% N poultry manure (1.72). The highest B:C ratio in biofertilizer combination treatment is due to low cost of inputs used. Pertaining to nutrient content in both grain and straw, the highest content of NPK (Table 2) recorded in 75% RD N + 25% N Poultry manure (1.30, 0.236, 0.49 and 0.63, 0.133, 2.52%). Correspondingly the same treatment registered (Table 3) higher NPK uptake (25.14, 5.00, 10.24 and 22.47, 4.24, 90.12). This is attributed to better crop growth and removal of nutrients from soil might be due to increased efficiency of chemical fertilizers in the presence of organics (Pallavi et al. 2015).

Regarding soil parameters, there was no significant effect by different treatments on pH and EC (Table 4). But there is significant effect on OC

Table 1Yield of foxtail millet as influenced by nutrientmanagement in Melia azedarach based agri-silviculture system (Age of trees 3 years) Kharif, 2015

| | | ield ha ⁻¹) | B:C R <i>atio</i> |
|---|-------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Treatment | Grain | Straw | |
| T ₁ FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ with trees | 1610 | 2255 | 1.13 |
| $T_2 100\%$ RDF (40-20-20 NPK kg ha ⁻¹) | 1995 | 3491 | 1.48 |
| T ₃ 75%N + 25% N FYM | 1861 | 2976 | 1.61 |
| $T_{4}75\%$ RD N + 25% N | 1775 | 2612 | 1.52 |
| Vermicompost | | | |
| $T_{5}75\%$ RD N + 25% N Poultry manure | 2100 | 3571 | 1.72 |
| $T_6^{}75\% RD N + Azospirillum + PSB$ each 5 kg ha ⁻¹ | 1795 | 2692 | 1.84 |
| T ₇ Sole crop without trees | 2081 | 3507 | 1.59 |
| Sem+ | 99 | 138 | _ |
| CD (P = 0.05) | 305 | 424 | _ |

M. A. Aariff Khan and A. Krishna

| | | Grain (% | (0) | | Straw | | | |
|--|------|----------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Treatment | N | Р | K | N | Р | K | | |
| T ₁ FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ | 1.02 | 0.225 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.125 | 2.05 | | |
| T ₂ 100% RDF | 1.28 | 0.236 | 0.46 | 0.60 | 0.133 | 2.36 | | |
| $T_{3}75\%N + 25\%N FYM$ | 1.25 | 0.232 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.130 | 2.40 | | |
| $T_{4}^{75\%}$ RD N + 25% N VC | 1.10 | 0.228 | 0.42 | 0.55 | 0.129 | 2.20 | | |
| $T_575\%$ RD N + 25% N PM | 1.30 | 0.236 | 0.49 | 0.63 | 0.133 | 2.52 | | |
| $T_6^75\%$ RD N + <i>Azospirillum</i> + PSB each 5 kg ha ⁻¹ | 1.18 | 0.230 | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.127 | 2.35 | | |
| T_7 Sole crop without trees | 1.28 | 0.234 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 0.130 | 2.42 | | |
| Sem + | 0.03 | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.029 | 0.0004 | 0.051 | | |
| CD (P = 0.05) | 0.09 | 0.007 | 0.049 | 0.090 | NS | 0.157 | | |

 Table 2

 Nutrient content (%) of foxtail millet as influenced by nutrient management in Melia azedarach based agri-silvi system

Table 3

Nutrient uptake of foxtail millet as influenced by nutrient management in Melia azedarach based agri-silvi system

| | | | Uptake | (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|--------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|--------|--|
| | | Grain | 1 | | Stran | , | 1 | Total plant uptake (kg ha ⁻¹) | | |
| Treatment | Ν | Р | Κ | Ν | Р | K | Ν | Р | K | |
| T ₁ FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ with trees | 17.58 | 3.63 | 6.14 | 10.90 | 2.81 | 46.16 | 28.48 | 6.44 | 52.30 | |
| T ₂ 100% RDF(40–20–20 NPK kg ha ⁻¹) | 23.44 | 4.70 | 8.99 | 20.85 | 4.65 | 82.45 | 44.29 | 9.35 | 91.44 | |
| $T_{3}75\%$ N + 25% N FYM | 20.05 | 4.31 | 7.46 | 17.80 | 3.87 | 71.49 | 37.85 | 8.18 | 78.95 | |
| $T_{4}75\%$ RD N + 25% N VC | 20.64 | 4.04 | 7.44 | 14.41 | 3.67 | 57.56 | 35.05 | 7.71 | 65.00 | |
| $T_{5}75\%$ RD N + 25% N PM | 25.14 | 5.00 | 10.24 | 22.47 | 4.24 | 90.12 | 47.61 | 9.24 | 100.36 | |
| $T_{6}^{-75\%}$ RD N + Azospirillum + PSB each 5 kg ha ⁻¹ | 19.94 | 4.13 | 7.93 | 14.23 | 3.41 | 63.40 | 34.17 | 7.54 | 71.33 | |
| T7 Sole crop without tree 100% RDF | 25.02 | 4.87 | 9.38 | 20.67 | 4.55 | 84.97 | 45.69 | 9.42 | 94.35 | |
| Sem+ | 1.21 | 0.211 | 0.53 | 0.95 | 0.25 | 4.41 | _ | _ | _ | |
| CD (P = 0.05) | 3.73 | 0.651 | 1.62 | 2.94 | 0.77 | 13.58 | _ | - | _ | |

and available NPK content. The conjoint use of 75% RD N + 25% N Poultry manure showed significant effect on OC (0.59%) and available NPK (150.0, 24.95, 210.0 kg ha⁻¹) followed by 100% RDF (0.55% and 147.0, 24.00, 216.0 kg ha⁻¹). The higher availability of nutrients may be attributed due to addition of mineral fertilizer NPK along with organic sources reduced the C:N ratio and thus increased the rate of decomposition resulting the faster

availability of nutrients from organic manures (Nandal and Ravikumar, 2010).

II. Silvi-medicinal System (2014-15)

In *Terminelia bellarica* tree based medicinal plant system, the results revealed that (Table 5) the establishment, survival and growth performance of *Aloe vera* in alleys of *Terminelia bellarica* (10 years age)

| | | | | Availab | le Nutrient (| (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|--|------|-------------------------|--------|---------|---------------|------------------------|
| Treatment | pН | EC (dSm ⁻¹) | OC (%) | Ν | Р | K |
| T ₁ FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ | 6.85 | 0.019 | 0.42 | 134 | 19.75 | 209 |
| T ₂ 100% RDF | 6.92 | 0.022 | 0.55 | 147 | 24.00 | 216 |
| $T_{3}75\%$ N + 25% N FYM | 6.78 | 0.029 | 0.50 | 140 | 22.95 | 212 |
| $T_{4}75\%$ RD N + 25% N VC | 6.90 | 0.018 | 0.46 | 139 | 21.78 | 207 |
| $T_{5}75\%$ RD N + 25% N PM | 7.03 | 0.028 | 0.59 | 150 | 24.95 | 219 |
| $T_675\%$ RD N + Azospirillum + PSB 5 each kg ha ⁻¹ | 6.88 | 0.032 | 0.49 | 139 | 23.00 | 212 |
| T ₉ Sole crop without trees | 6.79 | 0.025 | 0.51 | 143 | 24.15 | 217 |
| Sem + | 0.07 | 0.004 | 0.03 | 1.7 | 0.86 | 1.6 |
| CD (P = 0.05) | NS | NS | 0.09 | 5.2 | 2.65 | 5.0 |
| Initial soil | 6.97 | 0.024 | 0.38 | 139 | 18.80 | 211 |

 Table 4

 Soil properties and available nutrients of foxtail millet as influenced by nutrient management in Melia azedarach in agri-silvi system

Table 5

Seed yield of *Terminelia bellarica* and yield attributing parameters of fresh leaf *Aloe vera* yield as influenced by different manures in silvi-medicinal system, Age of trees 10 years

| 1 FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ 2 Vermi compost 4 t ha ⁻¹ 3 Neem cake 2 t ha ⁻¹ 4 FYM 5 + Neemcake 1 t ha ⁻¹ 5 FYM 5+ Vermicompost 2 t ha ⁻¹ 6 VC 2 + N C 1 t ha ⁻¹ | | Aloe vera parameters | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Treatment | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | No. of fresh leaves (thousands ha ⁻¹) | Fresh leaf weight (t ha ⁻¹) | Juice (%) | | | |
| T1 FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ | 2850 | 32.0 | 8.1 | 73.2 | | | |
| T2 Vermi compost 4 t ha ⁻¹ | 3150 | 29.6 | 7.4 | 74.8 | | | |
| T3 Neem cake 2 t ha ⁻¹ | 3024 | 35.8 | 8.5 | 75.5 | | | |
| T4 FYM 5 + Neemcake 1 t ha^{-1} | 3085 | 27.6 | 7.9 | 75.2 | | | |
| T5 FYM 5+ Vermicompost 2 t ha ⁻¹ | 3275 | 34.0 | 8.8 | 76.3 | | | |
| T6 VC 2 + N C 1 t ha^{-1} | 3010 | 28.5 | 7.1 | 75.0 | | | |
| T7 Control (No Manure) | 2805 | 26.0 | 6.0 | 72.0 | | | |
| Mean | 3028 | 30.5 | 7.69 | 74.6 | | | |
| Sem + | 111.5 | 1.58 | 0.49 | 0.75 | | | |
| CD (P = 0.05) | NS | 4.9 | 1.52 | 2.32 | | | |

grown in marginal lands was good. There was no significant effect of manures either alone or combination seed yield of *Terminelia bellarica*. This may be assumed that the grown up trees are having well spread deeper root system resulting better mining of nutrients and moisture from sub soil (Karikalan *et al.* 2002; Dutta and Thakur, 2004). The results further showed that manures alone and combined showed significant effect on fresh yield attributing parameters of *Aloe vera* over control. The highest fresh number of leaves recorded in application of Neem cake treatment (35800) followed by FYM + Vermicompost 5+2t ha⁻¹ (34000) and FYM 10 t ha⁻¹, 32000 (Nagarajaiah, *et al.* 2012). In case of fresh leaf and juice content the highest recorded FYM + VC (8.8 t ha⁻¹ and 76.3%) > Neemcake (8.5 t ha⁻¹ and 75%). Similar results found in different agroforestry systems by Thakur *et al.* (2014) and Suvera *et al.* (2015).

III. Agri-horti System

Mango/Curryleaf/Moringa + Kharif and Rabi (2014-15)

In mango based agri-horti system the filler plants such as curryleaf and moringa for planted in mango (3 years age) to get quick returns from local market. After planting the mango plants attain to harvest for first time, and an average 20 fruits plant⁻¹ recorded which accounts 375 kg ha⁻¹. Eight crops both legumes, non legumes such as millets, oil seeds, flower crop, were grown in *kharif* under rainfed condition followed by safflower as sole crop in *rabi* in entire system. *Kharif* crops yield was recorded on equivalent basis. The results revealed that (Table 6) all the *kharif* crops were grown successfully under rainfed condition. The cost of expenditure was less in pearl millet (Rs. 8,500) followed by Horsegram (Rs. 10000), Black gram (Rs. 11500) = Cowpea (Rs. 11500), Sorghum (Rs. 12300), Gourgum (Rs. 12500) = Castor (Rs. 12500) and Marigold (Rs. 12650) (Singh, *et al.* 2008). Cowpea + Curryleaf + Moringa in mango based system in *kharif* season followed by safflower in rabi season produced significantly higher net returns of Rs. 66,290 ha⁻¹ with B:C ratio 3.27 followed by black gram + curry leaf + moringa system in *kharif* and safflower in *rabi* produced higher net returns of Rs. 61572 ha⁻¹ with B:C ratio 3.04. (Ravitchandirane and Haripriya, 2011 and Sunil Kumar *et al.* 2015).

Regarding soil parameters (Table 7) there is no significant effect on pH and EC by different crops in *kharif.* But there is significant effect on OC and available N by legume crops then non legumes in the system. The highest content was found with cowpea (0.60% and 169.7 kg ha⁻¹) followed by horsegram (0.60% and 165.0 kg ha⁻¹) and black gram (0.50% and 158.0 kg ha⁻¹) (Kumar *et al.* 2013 and Aariff Khan *et al.* 2015).

Table 6Grain yield and economics of different intercrops in Mango + Curry leaf + Moringa in agri-horti system
(2014–15), Age of mango trees 3 years

| | | Kharif | Rabi | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|--------------|--|--|
| Treatments | Intercrop yield kg ha ⁻¹ | Grass Returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation | Intercrop yield kg ha ⁻¹ | Grass Returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation | Net returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | B:C ratio | | |
| Sorghum + Curryleaf + Moringa | 1250* *378 (C) 400 (M) | 16250 9450 12000 | 12300 | 493 (S) 300 (C) 320 (M) | 17255 7500 9600 | 8750 | 50,500 | 2.40 | | |
| Pearlmillet + Curry leaf + Moringa | 656* 350 (C) 380 (M) | 7872 8750 11400 | 8500 | 478 (S) 302 (C) 320 (M) | 16730 7550 9660 | 8750 | 44,602 | 2.58 | | |
| Castor + Curry leaf + Moringa | 616* 359 (C) 372 (M) | 24640 8750 11160 | 12500 | 493 (S) 296 (C) 330 (M) | 17255 7400 9900 | 8750 | 57,495 | 2.70 | | |
| Cowpea + Curry leaf + Moringa | 883* 362 (C) 368 (M) | 28256 9050 11040 | 11500 | 517 (S) 318 (C) 342 (M) | 19985 7950 10260 | 8750 | 66,291 | 3.27 | | |

Contd. Table 6

Marginal Lands Productivity and Fertility Increased by Different Agroforestry Systems in Semi-arid Tropics of Telangana...

| | | Kharif | | | Rabi | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|--|--------------|
| Treatments | Intercrop yield kg ha ⁻¹ | Grass Returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation | Intercrop yield kg ha ⁻¹ | Grass Returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | Cost of cultivation | Net returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹) | B:C ratio |
| Horse gram + Curry leaf + Moringa | 502* 342 (C) 382 (M) | 20080 8550 11446 | 10000 | 517 (S) 268 (C) 318 (M) | 18095 6700 9540 | 8750 | 57,175 | 3.13 |
| Black gram + Curry leaf + Moringa | 677* 350 (C) 380 (M) | 24372 8750 11400 | 11500 | 540 (S) 340 (C) 330 (M) | 18900 8500 9900 | 8750 | 61,572 | 3.04 |
| Guar gum + Curry leaf + Moringa | 530* 370 (C) 400 (M) | 15900 9250 12000 | 12500 | 486 (S) 320 (C) 320 (M) | 17010 8000 9600 | 8750 | 50,510 | 2.49 |
| Marry gold + Curry leaf + Moringa | 976* 380 (C) 360 (M) | 24400 9500 10800 | 12650 | 540 (S) 310 (C) 340 (M) | 18900 7750 10200 | 8750 | 60,510 | 2.81 |
| Curry leaf + Moringa (No inter crop) | 380 (C) 370 (M) | 9500 11100 | 7000 | 300 (C) 350 (M) | 7500 10500 | 5800 | 26600 | 2.06 |
| CD (P = 0.05) | _ | _ | _ | NS | _ | _ | 5845 | _ |

Rates considered (Rs q⁻¹) S-1300, Pearl millet-1200, Caster-4000, Cowpea-3200, Horse gram-4000, Gurgum-3000, Block gram-3600, Marry gold-2500, Curry leaf-2500 Moringa-3000, Safflower-3500.

* Intercrop yield

(C) – Curry leaf yield (Filler crop)

(M) – Moringa pod yield (Filler crop)

(S) - Safflower

Table 7

Soil Properties and available nutrient of different type of inter crops in mango based agri-horti system

| Treatment | рН (dSm ⁻¹) | EC (%) | OC | Available N (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------|---------------------------------------|
| T1 Mango + Curry Leaf | 6.92 | 0.243 | 0.48 | 149.8 |
| (Control without crop) | | | | |
| T2 Sorghum | 6.91 | 0.250 | 0.49 | 157.5 |
| T3 Pearl millet | 6.66 | 0.225 | 0.52 | 150.8 |
| T4 Castor | 6.82 | 0.210 | 0.57 | 153.0 |
| T5 Cowpea | 6.99 | 0.233 | 0.60 | 169.7 |
| T6 Horse gram | 7.00 | 0.227 | 0.60 | 165.0 |
| T7 Black gram | 7.05 | 0.235 | 0.58 | 162.5 |
| T8 Gaur gum | 6.65 | 0.270 | 0.55 | 158.0 |
| T9 Marigold | 7.09 | 0.224 | 0.55 | 155.3 |
| CD (P = 0.05) | NS | NS | 0.09 | 10.7 |
| Initial Soil | 6.94 | 0.240 | 0.47 | 151.5 |

IV. Silvi-pasture System-Melia Dubia +Fodder Maize/Sorghum (Kharif, 2015)

There was significant effect by main (type of fodder *i.e.* maize and sorghum) and sub treatments (Nutrient management) in *Melia dubia* based silvi-pasture system. However, there was no significant by interactions. Between two fodders (Table 8) the highest fresh forage biomass by maize (4952 kg ha⁻¹) followed by sorghum (4476 kg ha⁻¹), But sorghum took very short period to harvest *i.e.* 60 days only whereas, maize took 75 days. In case of nutrient management practices the fresh forage biomass significantly influenced by 50% RD N + 50% N FYM (5387 kg ha⁻¹) followed by 75% RD N + 25% N Poultry manure (5200 kg ha⁻¹) > 100% RDF (4987 kg ha⁻¹). This might be attributed to accumulation

of more photosynthetic activity and available nutrients (Ram, *et al.* 2016). Regarding quality parameters the crude protein and fiber (Table 9) significantly influenced by type of fodder the highest recorded in fodder maize (5.16 and 27.41%) than sorghum (4.64 and 32.86%). Similarly the quality was also significantly affected by nutrient management treatment 50% RD N + 50% N FYM (5.28 and 4.64%) in maize, whereas in sorghum the significant effect of crude fiber observed in FYM 10t ha⁻¹ (32.57%).

Pertaining to soil parameters (Table 10) the OC and available N and P contents was significantly affected by type of fodders and nutrient management over farmers practice FYM 10 t ha⁻¹. But there is no significant effect by interactions. The highest OC content recorded in fodder maize (0.52%) than sorghum (0.46%). This is due addition

Table 8Fresh forage biomass (kg ha⁻¹) of cereal fodders asinfluenced by nutrient management in Melia dubiabased silvi-pasture system (Kharif, 2015), Age oftrees 4 years

| Treatment | Type of F | odder (Main) | |
|---|-------------|------------------|------|
| Nutrient Management (Sub) | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean |
| S1 FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ | 3350 | 3025 | 3187 |
| S2 100% RDF (100-60-40 M/ 100-40-30 S, NPK kg ha ⁻¹) | 5215 | 4760 | 4987 |
| S3 75% RD N + 25% N FYM | 5070 | 4510 | 4790 |
| S4 50% RD N + 50% N FYM | 5685 | 5089 | 5387 |
| S5 75% RD N +25% N Poultry Manure | 5430 | 4970 | 5200 |
| S6 Sole fodder crop without tree | s 4960 | 4500 | 4714 |
| Mean | 4952 | 4476 | _ |
| Treatment | Sem + | CD (P = 0.05) | _ |
| Main | 84.0 | 376.0 | _ |
| Sub | 156.0 | 450.0 | _ |
| Interaction | NS | NS | _ |

of tree litter and decomposition of roots of weeds in the rhizosphere (Devarana Vadgi *et al.* 2003). In case of available N and P the significant effect found with fodder sorghum (152.0 and 51.00 kg ha⁻¹) than maize (109 and 22.42 kg ha⁻¹). The reason may be due to short duration of sorghum and the applied mineral fertilizer was less utilized than maize comparatively utilized more effectively (Singh *et al.* 2008).

Finally it is concluded adapting different agroforestry systems are very suitable, viable and economical as there is less risk and easy management in marginal, degraded and waste lands in semi-arid tropics of Telangana State. The intercrops selected are millets, legumes, oil seed, cereal fodders were successfully grown in marginal lands showing good response with low inputs and irrigation sources. The soil enrichment also done by following the nutrient management with locally available organic sources combined with inorganics. Among different nutrient management practices followed in agroforestry systems the integrated use of 75% RD N + 25% N poultry manure is superior and on par sole crop with out trees in obtaining yield as well as improvement of soil fertility in terms of OC and available NPK.

Terminelia bellarica based silvi-medicinal system is also easily possible to adapt and even in the degraded low fertility status of marginal lands provided market facility is available. The mango based agri-horti system is very viable and profitable, as rainfed crops were grown in *kharif* and sole crop safflower showed good response with combination of both organics and inorganics and on grain yield and improvement in OC and available NPK. In case of *Melia dubia* based silvi-pastoral system, cereal fodder maize is superior than sorghum in terms of higher fresh biomass, quality aspects. Combination of 50% RD N + 50% N FYM is better in recording higher fresh biomass, crude protein and fiber, besides in increasing the soil fertility in marginal lands.

| | | | Type of fe | odder (Main) | | | |
|--|----------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|--|
| 61 FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ 62 100% RDF 63 75% RD N + 25% N FYM 64 50% RD N + 50% N FYM 65 75% RD N + 25% N PM 66 Sole fodder crop | | Crude Protein (%) | | Crude Fiber (%) | | | |
| Nutrient management (Sub) | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean | |
| S1 FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ | 4.18 | 3.75 | 3.96 | 34.90 | 30.25 | 32.57 | |
| S2 100% RDF | 5.23 | 5.00 | 5.11 | 32.75 | 27.80 | 30.27 | |
| S3 75% RD N + 25% N FYM | 5.26 | 4.62 | 4.94 | 33.20 | 27.20 | 30.20 | |
| S4 50% RD N + 50% N FYM | 5.56 | 5.00 | 5.28 | 30.80 | 25.50 | 28.15 | |
| S5 75% RD N + 25% N PM | 5.62 | 4.81 | 5.21 | 31.65 | 26.10 | 28.87 | |
| S6 Sole fodder crop | 5.10 | 4.68 | 4.89 | 33.90 | 27.60 | 30.75 | |
| Mean | 5.16 | 4.64 | 4.90 | 32.86 | 27.41 | _ | |
| Treatment | Sem + | CD (P = 0.05) | _ | Sem+ | CD (P = 0.05) | _ | |
| Main | 0.025 | 0.112 | _ | 0.26 | 1.15 | _ | |
| Sub | 0.109 | 0.314 | | 0.78 | 2.50 | | |
| Interaction | NS | NS | | NS | NS | | |

Table 9Quality parameters of cereal fodders as influenced by nutrient management in
Melia dubia based silvi-pasture system

Table 10

Soil properties and available nutrients of cereal fodders as influenced by nutrient management in *Melia dubia* based silvi-pasture system

| | | | | | Type of fodd | er | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|---|------|-------------|---|------------------------|-------------|---|-------|
| | | | | Avail | able Nutrient | (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| Treatment (Main) | | OC (%) | | | Ν | | | Р | |
| Nutrient Management (Sub) | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean | M1 Maize | M2 Sorghum | Mean |
| S1 FYM 10 t ha ⁻¹ | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 104 | 151 | 127 | 16.85 | 47.50 | 32.17 |
| S2 100% RDF | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 108 | 149 | 128 | 19.90 | 51.85 | 35.87 |
| S3 75% RD N + 25% N FYM | 0.51 | 0.43 | 0.47 | 106 | 153 | 129 | 23.70 | 49.30 | 36.50 |
| S4 50% RD N + 50% N FYM | 059 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 110 | 156 | 133 | 26.00 | 52.75 | 39.37 |
| S5 75% RD N + 25% N PM | 0.58 | 0.50 | 0.48 | 114 | 151 | 132 | 27.30 | 53.90 | 40.60 |
| S6 Sole fodder crop | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 111 | 151 | 131 | 20.75 | 50.70 | 35.72 |
| Mean | 0.52 | 0.46 | _ | 109 | 152 | _ | 22.42 | 51.00 | _ |
| _ | Sem + | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CD} \\ \text{(P = 0.05)} \end{array}$ | _ | Sem + | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CD} \\ \text{(P = 0.05)} \end{array}$ | - | Sem + | $\begin{array}{c} \text{CD} \\ \text{(P = 0.05)} \end{array}$ | - |
| Main | 0.003 | 0.013 | _ | 0.24 | 1.1 | _ | 0.26 | 1.18 | _ |
| Sub | 0.024 | 0.070 | _ | 2.52 | 7.27 | _ | 1.10 | 3.17 | _ |
| Interaction | _ | NS | _ | _ | NS | _ | _ | NS | _ |
| Initial Soil | | 0.42 | | | 105 | | | 15.50 | |

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture

REFERENCES

Aariff Khan, M.A and Krishna, A. (2016), Response of minor millet crops by nutrient management practices in marginal lands of *Melia azedarach* based agri-silvi system.

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture, 34:451-459.

- Aariff Khan, M.A., Rajesh, P., Pratapkumar Reddy, A and Krishna, A. (2015), Nutrient management in different millet crops under Pongamia based agri-silvi system in Semi-arid region of Telangana State, India. *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. 33 (2):1661-1667.
- Agricultural Statistics at Glance. (2012), Directorate of Economics and Statistics. Ministry of Agriculture. Government of India, p:65-68.
- AOAC. (1980), Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis. Washington, D.C.
- Dagar, J.C and Singh, G. (2001), Evaluation of crops in Agroforestry with *Casurina equisetifolia* (Linn.) plantation. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*, **3**:49-50.
- Devarana Vadgi, S.B. Hunshal, C.S, Wajo, S.Y. Poddar, R.S. and Patil, M.B. (2003), Economic Evaluation of Sorghum Based Agri-silvi cultural System, J. Farming Systems Research & Development, 9(2):173-175.
- Dhyani, S.K., Handa, A.K and Uma. (2013), Area under agroforestry in India:An assessment for present status and future prospective. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*, **15**(1):1-11.
- Dutta, V and Thakur, P.S. (2004), Bio-economics of cropping systems combining medicinal and aromatic herbs with commercial timber tree species. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*, **6**:1-7.
- Karikalan, T.V., Yassin, M.M, Divya, M.P and Gopi, D. (2002), Effect of intercropping and nitrogen management on growth and yield of medicinal plants under kapok. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*, 4(2):88-93.
- Kumar, A, Kumar, M, Nandal, D. P. S and Kaushik, N. (2013), Performance of wheat and mustard under *Eucalyptus tereticornis* based agri silvi culture system. *Range Management and Agroforestry*, **34**:192-195.

- Nagarajaiah, C., Kittur, B.H, Muthamath, U and Venkatesh, L. (2012), Evaluation of medicinal and aromatic crops under teak-based agroforestry system. *Environment and Ecology*, **30**(1):221-225.
- Nandal, D. P. S and Ravikumar. (2010), Influence of *Melia* azedarach based land use system on economics. *Indian Journal of Agroforestry*, 15:23-26.
- Pallavi, Ch., Joseph, B., Aariff Khan, M.A and Hemalatha, S. (2015), Yield, nutrient content, uptake, and Available nutrient status of Finger millet as influenced by Nutrient Management in Agri-silvi System. *International Journal of Current Research*, 7(11): 22311-22314.
- Pathak, P.S. Gupta, S.K and Singh, P. (1996), IGFRI Approaches:Rehabilitation of Degraded lands. IGFRI, Jhansi, pp. 1-23.
- Prasad, J. V. N. S., Korwar, G. R., Rao, K.V., Srinivas, K., Srinivasarao, Ch., Peddababu, B., Venkateswarlu, B., Rao, S. N and Kulakarni, H.D. (2011), On-farm evaluation of two fast growing trees for biomass production for industrial use in Andhra Pradesh, Southern India. *New Forests*, **42**:51-61.
- Ram, S.N. Roy, A.K and Shukla, A.K. (2016), Effect of moisture conservation practices on performance of Anjan (*Hardmickia binata*) tree based silvi-pasture systems. Range Management and Agroforestry, 37(2): 222-227.
- Ravitchandirane V and Haripriya, K. (2011), Intercropping with medicinal plants in mango cv Alphonso. *Plant Archives*, **11**(1): 413-416.
- Roy, M.M. (2016), Agroforestry on dry and degraded lands present status and future prospects.
- Range Management and Agroforestry, 35: 1-11.
- Sharma, K. C. (2014), Production potential of fodder crops sequence in association with ber (*Zizypus mauritiana* L) under agri-horticulture system in hot arid ecosystem of western India. Range Management and Agroforestry, 35: 188-192.
- Singh, R.A, Shamim, Singh, P.V, Singh, M.K and Pandey, R.K. (2008), Agri and vege-hroti systems with mango in Gangetic alluvial tract of UP. *The Asian Journal of Horticulture*, 3(2):226-228.

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture

Marginal Lands Productivity and Fertility Increased by Different Agroforestry Systems in Semi-arid Tropics of Telangana...

- Sunil Kumar, Shukla, A.K and Singh, H.V. (2015), Efficient utilization of interspaces of *Emblica officinalis* orchard through intercropping under rainfed condition. Range Management and Agroforestry, 36(2): 188-193.
- Suvera, A.H, Thakur, N.S and Jha, S.K. (2015), Herbage and essential oil yield of *Ocimum* spp intercropped

under *Pongamia pinnata* based silvi-medicinal systems in Gujarat, India. *The Bioscan*, **10**(1): 81-85.

Thakur, N.S, Verma, K.S and Rana, R.C. (2014), Growth and yield performance of Ahwagandha (*Withania somnifera*) under agroforestry. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science*, **84**(8): 937-941.