

ISSN : 0972-7302

available at http: www.serialsjournal.com

© Serials Publications Pvt. Ltd.

Volume 15 • Number 15 • 2017

Research on Service Quality Criteria for UAV Filming and Photographyin Taiwan–Dual Perspective

Liu, Chun-Chu¹ and Chen, Jwu-Jenq^{2*}

^{1&2}Graduate School of Business and Operations Management, Chang Jung Christian University, Tainan City 71101, Taiwan (R.O.C.) E-mail: lc@mail.cjcu.edu.tw

*Corresponding author. E-mail: jchen@mail.cjcu.edu.tw

Abstract: In recent years, the service of filming and photography by UAV is getting more and more popular. This researchestablished the service quality evaluation framework based on the SERVQUAL scale, acquired the weights and rankings of criteriaby Analytic Network Process from dual perspective: service provider and consumer, and then compared the differences between them. The findings of this researchreveal that themost important criterion of UAV filming and photographyservice is "Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well". But the provider pays more attention to the criterion of "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than consumer. Therefore, the service provider must take care of the safety and the needs of customer at the same time.

Keywords: UAV, service quality, SERVQUAL, analytic networkprocess.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, drone and its applications are getting more and more popular. Among these applications, the service of taking video or photography from multi rotor drone is developing rapidly. But the research about the service quality is non-existent at this time.

Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), or Unmanned Aircraft Vehicle (UAV), also known as Dynamic Remotely Operated Navigation Equipment (Drone), is the aircraft that can fly without human on board. It can fly automatically by computer or by remote control. The categories of UAV include Fix-wing airplane, gyroplane, and multi-rotor aircraft witch become very popularin recent years. The UAV was used for military purposes in the initial stage. Since 2012, many scholars and institutions have researched on multi rotor drone design and its advanced control techniques. The number of SCI and SSCI papers that keyword includes quadrotor had increased from 27 to 81 since 2011 to 2014. These researches accelerate the technology development of multi rotor drone. Therefore, the UAV is not the remote control aircraft that difficult to operate, but the flying robot integrated with camera orother equipment that can self-stabilize and fly according to the waypoints setting by ground station.

There are many applications of UAV. For example, we can detect the efficacy of solar panel or the human heat in the dark for security reason by UAV with thermal sensors. Multi rotor drone can fly over the traffic jam to deliver the medicine to emergency location. With the UAV integrated with a camera, we can have the image of disaster site, the high-resolution map stitched from a lot of pictures taking by UAV automatically, the 3D model constructed by taking pictures around the object 360 degree and the beautiful video recorded from air. Among the applications mentioned above, taking video by drone is the most popular application because the cost is lower than other applications. Nowadays, there are many clips of television programs recorded by UAV. UAV makes some big changes in the film industry including: making aerial shots easier and unique, cost savings and safer (BAA training aviation academy website, 2017). But many times, the organizer that demands for UAV filming and photography doesn't have UAVand therefore promotes the development of this newly services–UAV filming and photography. The websites of Aviator (Aviator website-join operator network, 2017) and Air-Vid (Hire drone pilot website, 2017) match the demand of UAV filming and UAV pilot. The website of Pond5allows the photographers upload the drone footage with a price between 5 and 5000 U.S. dollars (Pond5, 2017). These websites tell us that there is a large demand for UAV pilot. The popularity of drone footages and pictures interacts with the industry of UAV. The multi rotor drone Company-DJI, with high market share nowadays, was established in 2006. Itsrevenue had increased 100 fold between 2011 and 2015, with the annual growth rate averaging three to five times (Chinadaily website, 2017). As for the regulation and law of UAV, the United States has implemented UAV registration system. In Taiwan, the pilot of UAV that the weight is more than 15 kilograms must have the licenses according to the ongoing UAV regulatory developments. In a word, the service of taking video or photography from multi rotor drone is developing rapidly and interacts with the market and the regulations without the research about service quality.

Therefore, for UAV filming and photography service quality, based on the SERVQUAL scale, this researchestablished the service quality evaluation framework, acquired the weights and rankings of criteria by Analytic Network Process-ANP from dual perspective: expert and consumer, and then compare the differences between them in order to achieve the following objectives:

- 1. Analysisweights of the criteria and dimensions of UAV filming and photographyservice quality from the expert's viewpoints.
- 2. Analysisweights of the criteria and dimensions of UAV filming and photography service quality from the custom's viewpoints.
- 3. Compare and discuss the difference of the criteriaand dimension's weight of UAV filming and photography service between provider and consumer.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Base on the research purposes mentioned above, in this section, the research reviews the literature about servicequality and the research methods for decision-making and then compares the difference between Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Analytic Network Process (ANP).

Measurement of Service Quality

Quality of services is the comparison between what customers want with what is acceptable to the consumer after the purchase of services (Juhana, Manik, Febrinella, and Sidharta, 2015). Every service, especially the emerging industry–UAV filming and photography services, shall pay attention to theissue of service quality. Quality master–Dr. Kondo said:

"Improving quality in creative ways can lead to lower costs and higher productivity, although the converse is not necessarily true" (Kondo, 2003).

Creating service quality differentiation is the key factor to gain higher market share among competing service providers (Chadha, Tomar, Rai, and Dugar, 2017). Many scholars have been paid attention to the quality of service and researched on this field since 1970 (Martínez-Lorente, Dewhurst, and Dale, 1998). Among these studies, scholars have used the service quality formula that is proposed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (P.Z.B.) in 1985 widely (Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry, 1985). The main concept of this formula is that the service quality is the gap between subjective expectation and practical perceptions of custom (Henderson, 2013). The service quality is calculated using following formula:

Q (Service Quality) = P (Perceptions of Service) – E (Expectation of Service)

After proposing the formula of service quality, P.Z.B. introduced the service quality scalewith high reliability and validity named SERVQUAL. SERVQUAL then become the most popular scale to measure service quality (Asubonteng, McCleary, and Swan, 1996). There are also many review studies of SERVQUAL that discuss about itshigh reliability, validity and so on (Buttle, 1996; Coulthard, 2004; Gilmore and McMullan, 2009; Ladhari, 2008; Ladhari, 2009). The SERVQUAL has 5 dimensions and 22 criteria (Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry, 1988). The five dimensions and the explanations aredescribed as below (Lam, 1997):

- 1. Tangibility: physical facilities, equipment and appearance of personnel.
- 2. Reliability: ability to perform the promised service reliable and accurately.
- 3. Responsiveness: willingness to help customers and provide prompt service.
- 4. Assurance: knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trustand confidence.
- 5. *Empathy:* caring, individualized attention provided to customers.

Integration equation of service quality mentioned above and SERVQUAL, service quality score is calculated using following formula:

 S_{Q} (Score of Service Quality) = P_{i} (Perceptions of Service) – E_{i} (Expectation of Service)

Where,

 S_{o} is the Score of Service Quality.

 P_i is the perceptive score of service of i^{th} criteria of SERVQUAL (i = 1, 2, 3...n, n = 22)

 E_i is the expectation score of service of i^{th} criteria of SERVQUAL (i = 1, 2, 3...n, n = 22)

However, every criterion has different weight and the weight of criteria is different from service to service. Therefore, this research analysis the weights of criteria of UAV filming and photographyservice in order to calculate the score of serviceprecisely and understand which criteria are important to keep the servicequality high level.

Research Methods of Multi-Criteria Decision Making

As for the research methods of multi-criteria decision making (MCDM), the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is proposed by Saaty (Saaty, 1994). And the Analytical Network Process (ANP) is extended from AHP (Saaty, 1996). Those two methods are the most extensively used MCDM methods. They dealwith decision-making issues of multiple evaluation criteria and provide priority (weight) of criteria for decision makers to identify best choice. The common research steps of AHP and ANP include: setting the groups (dimensions) and the evaluation criteria under the overall goal to the bottom level in order to construct the hierarchical structure, comparing of the criteriaby the evaluation scale, calculating eigenvector as the weight of the criteria and then evaluating the priority of criteria by comprehensive weighted analysis. One of the differences between AHP and ANP is that AHP assumes everycriterion and group is independent from each other. ANP considers the external dependency, internal correlation between criteria and feedback relationship between groups. Table 1 shows the difference between AHP and ANP (Hsieh, Lin, and Lin, 2008; Saaty, 2006).

	Difference between AHP and ANP	
Research Methods	AHP	ANP
The relationshipamong thecriteria	Independent of each other	Can be dependent of each other
Group feedback	No feedback	Allow feedback
Analytical method	Maximum eigenvalue of pairwise comparison matrix	Limited supermatrix

Table 1

Many researchesacquired the weight of service quality by SERVQUAL combined with AHP or ANP method because the SERVQUAL has high reliability and validity. For example, Gul, Guneri and Derin (2014) determined the difference between the expected and perceived service quality levels of patients via SEVQUAL method. Saeedpoor, Vafadarnikjoo, Mobin, and Rastegari (2015) researched the importance weight of each criterion of life insurance firm's service quality by using SEVQUAL and Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process. Erdoðan, Biliþik, Kaya and Barach (2013) evaluated the service quality in public transportation system using the hybrid methodology that consists of SERVQUAL and fuzzy TOPSIS. Hsieh, Lin and Lin (2008) explored customer's expectations of service quality in hot spring hotels in Taiwan based on SERVQUAL and find the relative weights among the criteria by ANP. Yu, Keng and Chen (2015) measured the service quality for Taiwan commercial banks by SERVQUAL and AHP. Altuntas, Dereli, Yilmaz and Mustafa (2012) measured hospital service quality by using analytic hierarchy process (AHP) and analytic network process (ANP) as a multi-criteria decision making method to acquire the relationship and the level of the importance among service quality measurement (SERVQUAL) dimension.

As for researches about UAV services, although numerous researches in the fields of engineering aerospace, robotics, computer science, artificial intelligence, and automation control systems etc., there are rarely researches in other fields. Demir, Cicibas and Arica (2015) reviewed the existing research areas in the UAV domain and divided them into two main streams: technological and operational research areas. Floreano and Wood (2015) identified scientific and technological advances that are expected to translate, within appropriate regulatory frameworks, into pervasive use of autonomous drones for civilian applications. Boucher and Philip (2016) conducted public engagement activities to explore citizens' visions of civil drones.

Although many researchers analyzed the servicequality in many services as mentioned above, the weights of service quality criteria of UAV filming and photography are unclear. To acquire the weights of service quality criteria, SERVQUAL combining with AHP or ANP is reliable approach. Therefore, this researchconductedresearch methodologyas described below.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to achieve the purposes of this research - analyzing the weights of service quality criteria of UAV filming and photographyfrom expert's and consumer's view, this research constructed the hierarchy and network structure base on SERVQUAL, and then acquired the weights of dimensions and criteria by ANP. The research steps are shown in Figure 1.

Analytical Network Process Develop questionnaire and test Literature review Expert discussion for SERVQUAL revision and criteria dependence Develop questionnaire Questionnaire: expert and consumer Consistency test Construct super matrix Compare the weights of dimensions and criteria from expert and consumer Calculate weight of each dimension and criterion Analytical Network Process

Literature Review

According to ANP research method, firstly, this research reviewed and collected the related literature so as to fully understand the relevant research and construct the hierarchy and network structure.

Expert Discussion for SERVQUAL Revision and Criteria Dependence

Although SERVQUAL is widely adopted, experts must modify it for the specified service or industry. Parente and Anderson-Parente suggest that SERVQUAL shall be modified by at least 10 experts to fit the specified service and then analysis the relationship between criteria and dimensions by related questionnaire to construct the hierarchy and network structure (Parenté and Anderson-Parente, 1987).

Develop Questionnaire

After constructing the hierarchy network under the goal, it is necessary to develop pairwise assessment questionnaire to analyze the relative importance of criteriaby experts. The ANP measures the importance of criteria by using the nine-point assessment scale (Saaty, 1996). In order to understand whether the statement of questionnaire is clear, this research adjusted the statement of questionnaire according to the results of the pretest. Besides pretest, we need to consider the validity of thequestionnaire. Validity is the



Figure 1: Research Methodology

degree to which it measures what it is supposed to measure. The kinds of validity include content validity, convergence validity and discriminant validity. This research developed the questionnaire of UAV filming and photography service base on SERVQUAL by experts and keeps the original representation when rewrite the statements of dimensions and criteria. Therefore, the questionnaire has high content validity (Babakus and Mangold, 1992). As for respondents of the questionnaire, the respondents include the experts and consumers according to the ANP research method and the purposes of this research.

Questionnaire

After the questionnaires are filled, the answers from respondents of each question can be different. At this point, it is necessary to measure the central tendency that can be expressed on the behalf of respondents. The geometric mean can represent the average level of the group (Saaty, 1980). After acquiring the geometric mean of each question, the research shall construct pairwisecomparisonjudgment matrix, calculate eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix as weights.

Consistency Test

The main purpose of the consistency test is to understand whether the questionnaire is judged by the correctness of transferability and whether the consistency of the whole hierarchy is within a reasonable range (Saaty, 2006). The first step of consistency test is calculating the consistency index (C.I.) to determine the degree of transferability, that is, whether the comparisons of assessment are consistent. The formula for computing C.I. is:

$$C.I. = \frac{\lambda_{\max} - n}{n - 1}$$

C.I. = 0 indicates that the judgment is consistent. C.I. > 0.1 indicates that the answers of questionnaire are inconsistent (Saaty, 2006). The second step of consistency test is calculating the consistency ratio (C.R.) to understand whether the consistency of the entire hierarchy within a reasonable range. C.R is calculated by the following formula:

$$C.R. = \frac{C.I.}{R.I.}$$

Where R.I. is random index (RI) whose value increases as the order of matrix increases, as shown in Table 2 (Saaty, 1996). When C.R. < 0.1, the consistency of the hierarchyis within a reasonable range.

				I	Rando	Table m inde	e 2 exes (I	R.I.)							
The Order of Matrix	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
R.I.	_	_	0.58	0.90	1.12	1.24	1.32	1.41	1.45	1.49	1.51	1.53	1.56	1.57	1.59

Construct Super Matrix

Different from the AHP method, ANP uses the super matrix to analyze the weight of each criterion under dependent architecture. The super matrix is a combination of multiple sub-matrices that include the interaction of criteria within or without their dimensions. The eigenvector calculated from the pair of comparison matrices is used as the weight of the sub-matrix to form the super matrix. Super matrix is divided into unweight super-matrix, weighted super-matrix, and limited super-matrix that every criterionweight multiplies by the weight of dimension. The limited super-matrix is the matrix that calculated by raising the weighted super-matrix is the matrix that calculated by raising the super-matrix is the weight of each criterion (Saaty, 2006).

Compare the Weights of Dimensions and Criteriafrom Expert and Consumer

After the research steps mentions above, the research acquires the index weight of UAV film production service quality from both of expert and consumer's views. This research compares and discusses the difference between the results from binary viewpoints.

4. RESULTS AND DUSCUSSION

Construct Hierarchy and Network Structure

For expert discussion, this research invited 10 UAV experts to modify the statements of SERVQUAL and then analysis the relationship between criteria and dimensions by related questionnaire to construct the hierarchy and network structure. UAV filming and photography service qualityevaluation structure that modified from SERVQUAL is shown in table 3. Experts identified the relationship between the criteria and dimensions by related questionnaire. The number in thetable 4 (Criteria dependency relationship score) is the number of experts that agree that the criterion of column has the relationship with the criterion of the row. This research keeps the relationship between criteria if there are more than 7 experts confirm the dependency. The table 5 is the criteria dependency relationship and criteria dependency chart is shown in figure 2.

Goal	Dimensions	Criteria
UAV filming and	A Tangibility	A1UAV service team has up-to-dateequipment.
photography		A2UAV service team's physical facilities are visually appealing.
service quality		A3UAV service team's employees are well dressed and appear neat.
		A4Equipment matches the service.
	B Reliability	B1 When UAV service team promises to do something by a certain time, it does so.
		B2 When consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring.
		B3UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably.
		B4UAV service team provides its services at the time it promises to do so.
		B5UAV service team keeps its records accurately.
	C Responsiveness	C1UAV service team tells customers exactly when services will be performed.
		C2 Customs receive prompt service from UAV service team.
		C3UAV service team's employees are always willing to help customers.
		C4UAV service team's employees are never too busy to respond to customer requests promptly.
	D Assurance	D1 Customs can trust employees of UAV service team.
		D2 Customs feel safe in your transactions with UAV service team's employees.
		D3 Employees of UAV service team are polite.
		D4Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well.
	E Empathy	E1UAV service team gives consumer individualattention.
		E2UAV service team's employees give custom personal attention.
		E3UAV service team know what custom's need are.
		E4UAV service team has custom's best interests at heart.
		E5UAV service team has operating hours convenient to all their customers.

 Table 3

 UAV filming and photography service qualityevaluation structure

Table 4 C D D E C C C E E C C C C C E E C C C C C E E C C C C C C C C E E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C <th c<="" colspa="2" t<="" th=""><th>2 3 4 5 5 2 3 4 5 5 4 5 5 3 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5</th></th>	<th>2 3 4 5 5 2 3 4 5 5 4 5 5 3 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5</th>	2 3 4 5 5 2 3 4 5 5 4 5 5 3 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 4 4 5 5 5 5
Table 4 C D E C C E C C E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C <th co<="" td=""><td>2 3 4 5 2 2 3 3</td></th>	<td>2 3 4 5 2 2 3 3</td>	2 3 4 5 2 2 3 3
Table 4 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2 3 4	
Table 4 C C D C C D B5 C1 C2 C3 C4 D1 D3 D4 E1 3 C C2 C3 C4 D1 D2 D4 E1 3 C1 C2 C3 C4 D1 D2 D4 E1 3 C C3 C4 D1 D2 D3 D4 E1 3 C C3 C4 D1 D D D D4 E1 3 C C3 C4 D1 D D D D4 E1 3 C C3 C1 D1 D D D D4 E1 3 C3 C3 D1 D1 D D D D4 D4 4 D3 C3 D1 D1	0 7 0 0	
Table 4 C C D C C D B5 C1 C2 C3 C4 D2 D3 D4 D3 D4 <thd3< th=""> D4 D3 D4<!--</td--><td>0 0</td></thd3<>	0 0	
Table 4 C C D C C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S C D S S D <th colsp<="" td=""><td></td></th>	<td></td>	
Table 4 Table 4 C C D $B5$ $C1$ $C2$ $C3$ $C4$ $D1$ $D2$ 3 $C1$ $C2$ $C3$ $C4$ $D1$ $D2$ 3 4 2 4 10 9 2 4 2 4 10 2 2 2 3 4 2 4 10 10 3 3 4 2 4 10 2 2 3 4 2 2 10 3 3 4 2 2 2 2 3 4 2 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 2 2 3 3 3		
Table 4 Table 4 C Bf CI $C2$ $C3$ $C4$ $D1$ 3 $C1$ $C2$ $C3$ $C4$ $D1$ 3 $ 4$ $ 3$ $ 3$ $ 3$ $ 3$ $ 3$ $ -$	10 8	
Table 4 Table 4 C B5 C B6 C B7 C C	10 1	
Table 4 Table 4 $B f$ $C l$ C $B f$ $C l$ C $B f$ $C l$ C $B f$ $C l$ $C f$ $B f$ $C f$ $C f$ $B f$ $C f$ $C f$ $A f$ $B f$ $1 f$ $A f$ $B f$ $1 f$ $A f$ $B f$ $2 f$ $A f$ $B f$	<i>რ</i> ი	
Table 4 Ependency relation B5 C1 3 C1 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 2 3 4 4 2 3 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	<i>რ</i> ი	
Table Image: Table B5 C1 B5 C1 33 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 3 3		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\mathcal{O}	
	Ŋ	
ieria d B4 4 4 4 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 4 4	ω4	
Cti Cti Cti Cti Cti	4	
	\mathcal{O}	
n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$	
	$\tilde{\mathbf{c}}$	
eension eension A1 A1 A1 A1 A2 A3 A4 A4 A4 B4 B5 B4 B5 B4 B5 B4 B5 B5 B5 B5 B7 B7 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>		
ы D C C В Р <u>D</u>	E4 E5	

Research



Figure 2: Criteria dependency chart

Developingthe Questionnaire

This researchdeveloped questionnaire by the software of Super Decision that developed by Satty who proposed the research method of ANP. The software generated comparison questionnaire by inputting the hierarchy and network structureaccording to table 5. The questionnaire is measured by 9-point scale (Saaty, 1980). And then this researchmodified the statement of questionnaire order to make it clear according to the results of pretest with effective response rate of 90% (20 questionnaires were sent and 18 were collected).

Questionnaire and Analysis

After pretest, this research applied 30 questionnaires. The respondents divided into two groups: 10 experts of UAV and 20 consumers of UAV filming and photography service. The effective response rate is 100% because we explained the meaning of this research to every respondent. Table 6 is the questionnairestatistics.

	Q	Table 6 Suestionnaire statistics	
Groups	The number of questionnaires sent	The number of questionnaires collected	Effective response rate
Expert	10	10	100%
Consumer	20	20	100%
Total	30	30	100%

This research calculated the geometric mean of every answer from all respondents of each question in a group to get the central tendency by Excel and then inputted the value of geometric mean into Super Decisions. Before calculating the super-matrix, we need to check consistency index and ratio. The consistency of questionnaire and entire hierarchy are acceptable because the consistency index and the consistency ratio are both less than 0.1 as shown in table 7.

Table 7 C.I. and C.R.

	Expert	Consum	zer -				
	Compare with respect to	Group	Items of pairwise compassion	С.І.	<i>C</i> . <i>R</i> .	C.I.	<i>C</i> .R.
Group	Goal		A and B, A and C, A and D, A and E, B and C, B and D, B and E, C and D, C and E, D and E	0.03414	0.05886	0.04804	0.08283
	В		A and B, A and D, B and D	0.01759	0.03033	0.00105	0.00181
	С		B and E	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	D		A and B, A and C, A and D, A and E, B and C, B and D, B and E, C and D, C and E, D and E	0.03365	0.05802	0.03347	0.05771
	Е		C and E	0.0000	0.00000	0.0000	0.00000
Criteria	Goal	А	A1 and A2, A1 and A3, A1 and A4, A2 and A3, A2 and A4, A3 and A4	0.04442	0.07659	0.01946	0.03355
		В	B1 and B2, B1 and B3, B1 and B4, B1 and B5, B2 and B3, B2 and B4, B2 and B5, B3 and B4, B3 and B5, B4 and B5	0.04924	0.08490	0.04601	0.07933
		С	C1 and C2, C1 and C3, C1 and C4, C2 and C3, C2 and C4, C3 and C4	0.04748	0.08186	0.05737	0.09891
		D	D1 and D2, D1 and D3, D1 and D4, D2 and D3, D2 and D4, D3 and D4	0.04238	0.07307	0.01140	0.01966
		Ε	E1 and E2, E1 and E3, E1 and E4, E1 and E5, E2 and E3, E2 and E4, E2 and E5, E3 and E4, E3 and E5, E4 and E5	0.03365	0.05802	0.00132	0.00228
	B1	В	B3 and B4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	B3	А	A1 and A4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	B5	В	B1 and B3, B1 and B4, B3 and B4	0.00191	0.00329	0.01111	0.01916
	C2	Е	E1 and E3	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	D1	А	A2 and A3, A2 and A4, A3 and A4	0.05156	0.08890	0.00142	0.00245
		В	B1 and B2, B1 and B3, B1 and B4, B1 and B5, B2 and B3, B2 and B4, B2 and B5, B3 and B4, B3 and B5, B4 and B5,	0.04591 I	0.07916	0.05083	0.08764
		С	C1 and C2, C1 and C3, C1 and C4, C2 and C3, C2 and C4, C3 and C4	0.02271	0.03916	0.00191	0.00329
		D	D2 and D3, D2 and D4, D3 and D4	0.03112	0.05366	0.05156	0.08890
		Е	E1 and E2, E1 and E3, E1 and E4, E2 and E3, E2 and E4, E3 and E4	0.02271	0.03916	0.02728	0.04703
	D2	А	A2 and A4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
		В	B1 and B3, B1 and B4, B1 and B5, B3 and B4, B3 and B5, B4 and B5	0.04544	0.07834	0.03344	0.05766
		D	D1 and D4	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
		Е	E2 and E3, E2 and E4, E2 and E5, E3 and E4, E3 and E5, E4 and E5	0.01716	0.02959	0.01618	0.02790
	E4	Е	E1 and E3	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

B3	82 E	B2	B2	P	B2	B2	B3	3	B4	B5	C1	C		<i>E</i>	C4	D1	D2	$\frac{D}{D^3}$	D_{t}	4 E	1 E	2 2	E3	E4
116 0.2 02 0.0	0.21 0.00					о. с	0.216 0.002	6 0.2 2 0.00	.216 0 .002 0.	0.216	0.216 0.002	0.216	5 0.21 2 0.00	16 0.2)2 0.0	216 0 02 0	.216 .002	0.216 0.002	0.216 0.002	0.210	5 0.21 2 0.00	6 0.21 2 0.00	16 0. 02 0.	.216 0. .002 0.	216 002
01 0.0 12 0.1	0.00 0.11		1	12 1		0. 0.	0.001	0.0 2 0.1	.001 0 .112 0	0.001	0.001 0.112	0.001 0.112	0.00	01 0.0 2 0.1	001 0 12 0	.001	0.001 0.112	0.001 0.112	0.001	1 0.00 2 0.11	1 0.00 2 0.11	01 0. 12 0.	.001 0. .112 0.	.001 0.0 .112 0.1
02 0.0 36 0.0	0.00			2 2		ا ت ا	0.002	0.0 0.0 6 0.02	.002 0 .036 0	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.03	22 0.0 16 0.0	02 0 36 0	002	0.002	0.002	0.002	2 0.00 5 0.03	2 0.00 0.03	02 0. 36 0.	.002 0. .036 0.	.002 0.0 .036 0.0
145 0.0	0.04		10	5		0.	0.045	5 0.0	.045 0.	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.04	15 0.0	145 0	.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.04	5 0.04	45 0.	.045 0.	.045 0.0
06 0.0 004 0.00	0.00		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	% %		о <u>Э</u>	0.006	0.0 0.0 14 0.000	.006 0 004 0.0	0.006	0.006).0004	0.000	5 0.00 4 0.000	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	06 0 04 0.0	.006 1004 0	0.006	0.006	0.000 0.0002	5 0.00 4 0.000	6 0.00	06 0. 04 0.0	.006 0. 0004 0.0	.006 0.0 004 0.00
01 0.00	8.8	10 0		10 10		199	0001	01 0.000	001 0.0	0001 0	0.001	0.0001	0.000	01 0.00	01 0.0	001 0	0001	0.0001	0.000	0.000	1 0.000	01 0.0	001 0.0	001 0.00
0.00	00	0.0	2 0.0	20.0	0.0		022	22 0.002	022 0.0	.0022 0).0022	0.0022	2 0.002	22 0.00)22 0.(022 0	0.0022	0.0022	0.002	2 0.002	2 0.002	22 0.0	0.022 0.0	022 0.00
)35 0.00	818	<u></u>	0.0	35 0.00	0.0	\approx 1 3	035	55 0.00.	035 0.(.0035 0	0.0035	0.0035	0.005	35 0.00)35 0.(035 C	0.0035	0.0035	0.003	0.003	5 0.00	35 0.0	035 0.0	035 0.00
005 0.00	58	0.0	+ 0.0)4 U.U)5 0.0	0.0	5 2	005	15 0.00	005 0.0	.0005 0).0005	0.0005	+ 0.00C)5 0.00	05 0.0	1005 0	.0005	0.0005	0.0005	+ 0.000	5 0.00	04 0.0 05 0.0	0.04 0.0	005 0.00
02 0.00	8	0.0	2 0.0)2 0.0	0.0	<u> </u>	002	0.004	002 0.0	.0002 0).0002	0.002	2 0.000	0.00	02 0.0	002 0	.0002	0.0002	0.000	2 0.000	2 0.000	02 0.0	002 0.0	002 0.00
502 0.56	3	0.5	2 0.5	0.5	0.5	. A . I	602	0.56	5602 0.5	.5602 0).5602	0.5602	2 0.560	0.56	502 0. ¹	5602 C	.5602	0.5602	0.5602	2 0.560	2 0.56(02 0.5	5602 0.5	602 0.56
84 0.00	õ	0.0	4 0.0	34 0.0	0.0		084	34 0.00	084 0.0	.0084 0).0084	0.0084	4 0.005	34 0.00)84 0.(084 0	0.0084	0.0084	0.008^{2}	4 0.008	4 0.008	84 0.0	0.0 4 0.0	084 0.00
02 0.00	X	0.0	2 0.0	0.00	0.0	\simeq	002	0.00	002 0.0	.0002 0).0002	0.0002	2 0.000	0.00	02 0.(002 0	0.0002	0.0002	0.002	2 0.000	2 0.00(02 0.0	002 0.0	002 0.00
142 0.00	6	0.0	2 0.0	ł2 0.0	0.0	_	042	ł2 0.00	042 0.0	.0042 0).0042	0.0042	2 0.004	t2 0.00)42 0.(042 0	0.0042	0.0042	0.0042	2 0.004	2 0.00	42 0.0	042 0.0	042 0.00
02 0.00	ğ	č	0.0)2 0.(0.0	1 3	002	0.00	002 0.0	.0002 0).0002	0.002	2 0.000	0.00	02 0.0	002 0	0.0002	0.0002	0.002	2 0.000	2 0.00	02 0.0	002 0.0	002 0.00
03 0.00	- 2	1																						0000

	expei
Table 8	ed super-matrix of

Research on Service Quality Criteria for UAV Filming and Photographyin Taiwan–Dual Perspective

Table 9	imited super-matrix of consumer
---------	---------------------------------

Dim	rensions			7				B					C				D		, ,		Ш	
	Criter	ia A1	A2	A3	A4	B1	B2	B3	B4	B	Ö	1 C2	C	C4	D1	D2	D3	D4	E1	E2	E3	
V	A1	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706	0.1706 (_
	A2	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031	0.0031 (
	A3	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	3000.0	3 0.006	3 0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008 0	
	A4	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751	0.1751 0	_
в	B1	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	3 0.0068	3 0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068	0.0068 0	<u> </u>
	B2	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	3 0.0608	3 0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608	0.0608 0	9
	B3	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	\$ 0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733	0.0733 0	0.
	B4	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	3 0.0218	3 0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218	0.0218 0	Ö.
	B5	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	3 0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023	0.0023 0	.0
0	C1	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020	0.0020 0	<u> </u>
	C2	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0015	0.0015	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019 0	õ.
	C3	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140	0.0140 0	5
	C4	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127	0.0127 0	0
0	D1	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	l 0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034	0.0034 0	9
	D2	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027	0.0027 0	<u> </u>
	D3	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	3 0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028	0.0028 0	9
	D4	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	2 0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472	0.3472 0	Ċ.
Ц	E1	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791	0.0791 0	Ö,
	E2	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	\$ 0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013 0	0.
	E3	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	1 0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154	0.0154 0	9
	E4	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022	0.0022 0	<u> </u>
	E5	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010 0	Ö.

After inputting the data into Super Decision software and passing the consistency test, the limited super-matrixes arecalculated as shown in table 8 (limited super-matrix of expert) and table 9 (limited super-matrix of consumer). The value in each row is the weight of criteria. The weights of dimensions without the influence of dependency of criteria judged by experts are: Tangibility (0.302845209), Assurance (0.289072189), Reliability (0.244893215), Empathy (0.086960918) and Responsiveness (0.076228469). The weights of dimensions without the influence of dependency of criteria judged by consumers are: Reliability (0.358480113), Assurance (0.236582994), Empathy (0.168367959), Responsiveness (0.127054515) and Tangibility (0.109514418). The weights and orders of dimensions of UAV filming and photography services judged by experts and consumers are listed together in table 10.

The top 5 weights of criteria within the influence of dependency judged by experts are: "Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well" (0.560207), "UAV service team has up-to-date equipment" (0.216335), "Equipment matches the service" (0.111736), "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" (0.044963) and "When consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring" (0.03555).

The top 5 weights of criteria within the influence of dependency judged by consumers are: "Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well" (0.34718), "Equipment matchesthe service" (0.175067), "UAV service team has up-to-date equipment" (0.170563), "UAV service team gives consumer individualattention" (0.079092) and "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" (0.073347). The weights and orders of criteria of UAV filming and photography services judged by experts and consumers are listed together in table 11.

	8			
	Experi	ţ	Consume	er.
Dimension	Weight	Order	Weight	Order
A Tangibles	0.302845209	1	0.109514418	5
B Reliability	0.244893215	3	0.358480113	1
C Responsiveness	0.076228469	5	0.127054515	4
D Assurance	0.289072189	2	0.236582994	2
E Empathy	0.086960918	4	0.168367959	3

Table 10 Weights and orders of dimensions

Discussion

This research compares and discusses the difference of criteria weight of UAV filming and photography service between expert and consumer's viewpoints. Table 12 is the dimensions of UAV filming and photography service ordered by weight that judged by experts and consumers. Table 13 is the criteria of UAV filming and photography service ordered by weight that judged by experts and consumers.

From expert'sviewpoint, the tangibility is the most important dimension (as shown in table 12) and "UAV service team has up-to-date equipment" is the most important criterion in this dimension (as shown in table 11). This means that the highest prioritycriterion of UAV filming and photographyservicequality is

Table 11Weights and orders of criteria

		Expert			Consumer	
Criteria	Weight in Dimension	Overall Weight	Order	Weight in Dimension	Overall Weight	Order
A1UAV service team has up-to-date equipment.	0.65341	0.216335	2	0.48804	0.170563	3
A2 UAV service team's physical facilities are visually appealing.	0.00596	0.001972	12	0.00879	0.003072	13
A3 UAV service team's employees are well dressed and appear neat.	0.00315	0.001042	13	0.00225	0.000785	22
A4Equipment matches the service.	0.33748	0.111736	3	0.50093	0.175067	2
B1 When UAV service team promises to do something by a certain time, it does so.	0.02317	0.002048	11	0.04113	0.006788	11
B2 When consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring.	0.40217	0.03555	5	0.36851	0.060821	6
B3 UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably.	0.50866	0.044963	4	0.44441	0.073347	5
B4 UAV service team provides its services at the time it promises to do so.	0.06197	0.005478	7	0.13189	0.021767	7
B5 UAV service team keeps its records accurately.	0.00403	0.000356	16	0.01407	0.002322	16
C1 UAV service team tells customers exactly when services will be performed.	0.01726	0.000102	21	0.06468	0.001974	18
C2 Customs receive prompt service from UAV service team.	0.01726	0.000102	21	0.06062	0.00185	19
C3UAV service team's employees are always willing to help customers.	0.36802	0.002175	10	0.45753	0.013963	9
C4UAV service team's employees are never too busy to respond to customer requests promptly.	0.59746	0.003531	9	0.41716	0.012731	10
D1 Customs can trust employees of UAV service team.	0.00066	0.000368	15	0.00947	0.003373	12
D2 Customs feel safe in your transactions with UAV service team's employees.	0.00095	0.000535	14	0.00759	0.002702	15
D3 Employees of UAV service team are polite.	0.00029	0.00016	20	0.00773	0.002751	14
D4 Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well.	0.99811	0.560207	1	0.97521	0.34718	1
E1UAV service team gives consumer individual attention.	0.62994	0.008404	6	0.79937	0.079092	4
E2 UAV service team's employees give custom personal attention.	0.01454	0.000194	19	0.01335	0.001321	20
E3UAV service team know what custom's need are.	0.31797	0.004242	8	0.15532	0.015368	8
E4UAV service team has custom's best interests at heart.	0.01589	0.000212	18	0.02186	0.002163	17
E5UAV service team has operating hours convenient to all their customers.	0.02166	0.000289	17	0.0101	0.000999	21

the equipment from the evaluation of experts. However, from consumer's viewpoint, the most important dimension of servicequality of UAV filming and photography is not tangibility but reliability. And the tangibilitydimension has the lowest weight among five dimensions. This means that the consumer of UAV filming and photography service considers the ability to perform the promised service reliably and accurately as the highest priority dimension to keep the servicequality. And the reliabilitydimension is much more important than tangibility from consumer's viewpoint. Many cases of UAV filming and photography are recording the outdoor activities, for example: the anniversary, wedding, running event or other outdoor competitions.

For recording these activities from multi rotor drone, the opportunity is just one time. In case theservice provider operates UAV and related equipment improperly, they cannot ask everyone to react. Therefore, this part of research result reflects the phenomenon that what the consumer is valued is reliability not tangibility. From both of experts and consumers'viewpoints, "Assurance" is the second highest weighted dimension. This means that knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trustand confidence are very important. "Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well" is the most important criterion in this dimension (as shown in table 11). Therefore, assurance is the second highest priority dimension to keep the servicequality and profession is the most important criteria to keep assurance.

Expert			Consumer			
Order	Weight	Dimension	Dimension	Weight	Order	
1	0.302845209	A-Tangibles	B-Reliability	0.358480113	1	
2	0.289072189	D-Assurance	D-Assurance	0.236582994	2	
3	0.244893215	B-Reliability	E-Empathy	0.168367959	3	
4	0.086960918	E-Empathy	C-Responsiveness	0.127054515	4	
5	0.076228469	C-Responsiveness	A-Tangibles	0.109514418	5	

 Table 12

 Dimensions order by weight that judged by experts and consumers

The criteria of UAV filming and photography service ordered by weight that judged by experts and consumers and comparison between them are shown in table 13. This research finds that from both of experts and consumers' viewpoints, top 3 criteriaordered by weight within the influence of dependency are:"Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well", "UAV service team has up-to-date equipment" and "Equipment matchesthe service" (The order of 2th and 3th is opposite in consumer's view). However, from expert's point of view, the priority of "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" is higher than "When consumer has problems, UAV service team gives consumer individualattention" is higher than "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably". This part ofresearch result reflects the phenomenon that the provider of UAV filming and photography service pays more attention to "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than "When consumer has problem, UAV service team gives consumer has problem, UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than "When consumer has problem, UAV service team gives consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring and photography service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than "When consumer has problem, UAV service team gives consumer individualattention to "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than "When consumer has problem, UAV service team gives consumer individualattention".

Table 13	
The criteria order by weight that judged by experts and consumers.	

Expert		Consumer
Criteria		Criteria
1	D4 Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well.	D4 Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well.
2	A1 UAV service team has up-to-date equipment.	A4 Equipment matches the service.
3	A4 Equipment matches the service.	A1 UAV service team has up-to-date equipment.
4	B3 UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably.	E1 UAV service team gives consumer individual attention.
5	B2 When consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring.	B3 UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably.
6	E1 UAV service team gives consumer individual attention.	B2 When consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring.
7	B4 UAV service team provides its services at the time it promises to do so.	B4 UAV service team provides its services at the time it promises to do so.
8	E3 UAV service team know what custom's need are.	E3 UAV service team know what custom's need are.
9	C4 UAV service team's employees are never too busy to respond to customer requests promptly.	C3 UAV service team's employees are always willing to help customers.
10	C3 UAV service team's employees are always willing to help customers.	C4 UAV service team's employees are never too busy to respond to customer requests promptly.
11	B1 When UAV service team promises to do something by a certain time, it does so by a certain time, it does so	B1 When UAV service team promises to do something b.
12	A2 UAV service team's physical facilities are visually appealing.	D1 Customs can trust employees of UAV service team.
13	A3 UAV service team's employees are well dressed and appear neat.	A2 UAV service team's physical facilities are visually appealing.
14	D2 Customs feel safe in your transactions with UAV service team's employees.	D3 Employees of UAV service team are polite.
15	D1 Customs can trust employees of UAV service team.	D2 Customs feel safe in your transactions with UAV service team's employees.
16	B5 UAV service team keeps its records accurately.	B5 UAV service team keeps its records accurately.
17	E5 UAV service team has operating hours convenient to all their customers.	E4UAV service team has custom's best interests at heart.
18	E4 UAV service team has custom's best interests at heart.	C1 UAV service team tells customers exactly when services will be performed.
19	E2 UAV service team's employees give custom personal attention.	C2- Customs receive prompt service from UAV service team.
20	D3 Employees of UAV service team are polite.	E2 UAV service team's employees give custom personal attention.
21	C1 UAV service team tells customers exactly when services will be performed.	E5 UAV service team has operating hours convenient to all their customers.
22	C2- Customs receive prompt service from UAV service team.	A3 UAV service team's employees are well dressed and appear neat.

5. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

Conclusion

The service of UAV filming and photography is getting more and more popular. This research constructed the hierarchy and network structure base on SERVQUAL to evaluate the service quality. And then acquired the weight of criteria and dimension by Analytic Network Process-ANP from dual perspective: experts and consumers. Finally, this research compared and discussed the differences between them.

Corresponding to the purposes of this research list above, findings are given as follows:

- 1. From the expert'sviewpoint, to keep the servicequality of UAV filming and photographyat high level, the dimensions ordered by priority without the influence of dependency of criteriaare: Tangibility, Assurance, Reliability, Empathy and Responsiveness. And the top 5 criteria ordered by weight within the influence of dependency are:"Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well","UAV service team has up-to-date equipment", "Equipment matchesthe service", "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" and "When consumer has problem, UAV service team is sympathetic and reassuring".
- 2. From the consumer'sviewpoint, to keep the servicequality of UAV filming and photography at high level, the dimensions ordered by priority without the influence of dependency of criteria are: Reliability, Assurance, Empathy, Responsiveness and Tangibility. And the top 5 criteria ordered by weight within the influence of dependency are:"Employees are professional and get adequate support to do their jobs well", "Equipment matchesthe service", "UAV service team has up-to-date equipment", "UAV service team gives consumer individualattention" and "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably".
- 3. After comparing the weights of dimensions and criteria between service provider and consumer, this research finds that what the consumer is valued is reliability not tangibility and the provider pays more attention to "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than consumer.

Research Contributions and Suggestions

Nowadays, theservice of UAV filming and photography is very popular but the analysis of services quality is research gap. This research constructed the hierarchy and network structure for evaluating the service quality by 10 experts, acquired the weights of dimensions and criteria from the expert and consumer's viewpoints by ANP that is more accurate than AHP and then discussed the differences between them. The hierarchy and network structure, the questionnaire for service quality of UAV filming and photography, and the weights of dimensions and criteria are useful for future research.

As for research limitations, although this research is based on the SERVQUAL, the data of this research were gathered from 30 questionnaires. For future research, it is recommended to continue the research with bigger sample and the questionnaire can be verified by confirmatory factor analysis.

The findings of this researchinclude the different points of view from provider and consumer. It is very important for UAV service provider to keep good service quality. The suggestions for UAV service provider are descripted as follow:

To keep good service, there is a big gap between consumer and service provider. Provider considers the equipment as most important dimension but consumer considers reliability as most important dimension and do not care about equipment after all. Besides, according to the analysis of criteria weight, the provider pays more attention to "UAV service team provides services legally, safely and reliably" than consumer. Therefore, this research suggests that in order to keep the service quality of UAV filming and photography, the service provider must regard the professional skill, the safety of mission and the up-to-date equipment as basic condition and execute the missionlegally and correctly.

This research is supported partially by the Ministry of Science & Technology, Taiwan under the contract no: MOST 106-2632-E-309-001.

REFERENCES

- Altuntas, S., Dereli, T., and Yilmaz, M. K. (2012), Multi-criteria decision making methods based weighted SERVQUAL scales to measure perceived service quality in hospitals: A case study from turkey. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 23(11-12), 1379-1395.
- Asubonteng, P., McCleary, K. J., and Swan, J. E. (1996), SERVQUAL revisited: A critical review of service quality. *Journal* of Services Marketing, 10(6), 62-81.
- Aviator website-join operator network. (April 4, 2017), Retrieved from https://aviator.io/
- BAA training aviation academy website. (April 4, 2017), Retrieved from https://www.baatraining.com/uavs-whats-the-big-change-in-the-film-industry/#
- Babakus, E., and Mangold, W. G. (1992), Adapting the SERVQUAL scale to hospital services: An empirical investigation. *Health Services Research*, 26(6), 767-786.
- Boucher, P. (2016), 'You wouldn't have your granny using them': Drawing boundaries between acceptable and unacceptable applications of civil drones. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 22(5), 1391-1418.
- Buttle, F. (1996), SERVQUAL: Review, critique, research agenda. European Journal of Marketing, 30(1), 8-32.
- Chadha, B., Tomar, V. S., Rai, R., and Dugar, A. (2017), Measuring international students' satisfaction with higher education in india: Development and validation of INHedPERF model. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 15(1).
- Chinadaily website. (April 4, 2017), Retrieved from http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/business/tech/2016-12/13/ content_27649387.htm
- Coulthard, L. J. M. (2004), Measuring service quality: A review and critique of research using SERVQUAL. International Journal of Market Research.
- Demir, K. A., Cicibas, H., and Arica, N. (2015), Unmanned aerial vehicle domain: Areas of research. *Defence Science Journal*, 65(4), 319-329.
- Erdoðan, M., Biliþik, Ö N., Kaya, Ý, and Baraçh, H. (2013), A customer satisfaction model based on fuzzy TOPSIS and SERVQUAL methods. *Lecture Notes in Management Science*, 5, 74-83.
- Floreano, D., and Wood, R. J. (2015), Science, technology and the future of small autonomous drones. *Nature*, 521 (7553), 460-466.
- Gilmore, A., and McMullan, R. (2009), Scales in services marketing research: A critique and way forward. *European Journal* of Marketing, 43(5/6), 640-651.
- Gul, M., Guneri, A., and Derin, B. (2014), Evaluation of service quality criteria for a private medical center by using servqual and dematel methods. *Sigma*, 32, 240-253.
- Henderson, R. (2013), Service quality: A critical literature review. Global Journal of Management and Business Research, 13(8).

International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research

Hire drone pilot website. (April 4, 2017). Retrieved from https://air-vid.com/

- Hsieh, L., Lin, L., and Lin, Y. (2008), A service quality measurement architecture for hot spring hotels in taiwan. *Tourism Management*, 29(3), 429-438.
- Juhana, D., Manik, E., Febrinella, C., and Sidharta, I. (2015), Empirical study on patient satisfaction and patient loyalty on public hospital in bandung, indonesia. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 13 (6), 4305-4326.
- Kondo, Y. (2003). Quality in the present and future decades. Ai and Society, 17(2), 71-77.
- Ladhari, R. (2008), Alternative measures of service quality: A review. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, 18 (1), 65-86.
- Ladhari, R. (2009), A review of twenty years of SERVQUAL research. International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences, 1(2), 172-198.
- Lam, S. S. (1997), SERVQUAL: A tool for measuring patients' opinions of hospital service quality in hong kong. Total Quality Management, 8(4), 145-152.
- Martínez-Lorente, A. R., Dewhurst, F., and Dale, B. G. (1998), Total quality management: Origins and evolution of the term. *The TQM Magazine*, 10(5), 378-386.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., and Berry, L. L. (1985), A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *The Journal of Marketing*, 41-50.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., and Berry, L. L. (1988), Servqual: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perc. *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1), 12.
- Parenté, F. J., and Anderson-Parente, J. K. (1987), Delphi inquiry systems. Judgmental Forecasting, 129-156.
- Pond5. (April 4, 2017), Retrieved from https://www.pond5.com/stock-video-footage/1/drone-footage.html#1
- Saaty, T. L. (1980), The analytic hierarchy process: Planning, priority setting, resource allocation McGraw-Hill International Book Company.
- Saaty, T. L. (1994), How to make a decision: The analytic hierarchy process. Interfaces, 24(6), 19-43.
- Saaty, T. L. (1996), Decision making with dependence and feedback: The analytic network process RWS publications Pittsburgh.
- Saaty, T. L. (2006), Rank from comparisons and from ratings in the analytic hierarchy/network processes. *European Journal* of Operational Research, 168(2), 557-570.
- Saeedpoor, M., Vafadarnikjoo, A., Mobin, M., and Rastegari, A. (2015), A SERVQUAL model approach integrated with fuzzy AHP and fuzzy TOPSIS methodologies to rank life insurance firms.
- Yu, M., Keng, I., and Chen, H. (2015), Measuring service quality via a fuzzy analytical approach. International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 17(2), 292-302.