

## CLAW DECOMPOSITION OF PRODUCT GRAPHS

P. Chithra Devi<sup>1</sup> and J. Paulraj Joseph<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract:** A decomposition of a graph  $G$  is a family of edge-disjoint subgraphs  $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k\}$  such that  $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_k)$ . If each  $G_i$  is isomorphic to  $H$  for some subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ , then the decomposition is called a  $H$ -decomposition of  $G$ . A star with three edges is called a claw. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition of cartesian product of standard graphs into claws. Also, we give a sufficient condition for the claw decomposition of lexicographic product of standard graphs.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C70

**Keywords:** Decomposition, claw decomposition, cartesian product, lexicographic product

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple undirected graph without loops or multiple edges. A path on  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $P_n$ , cycle on  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $C_n$  and complete graph on  $n$  vertices is denoted by  $K_n$ . The *neighbourhood* of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  is the set  $N(v)$  consisting of all vertices that are adjacent to  $v$ .  $|N(v)|$  is called the degree of  $v$  and is denoted by  $d(v)$ . A complete bipartite graph with partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , where  $|V_1| = r$  and  $|V_2| = s$ , is denoted by  $K_{r,s}$ . The graph  $K_{1,r}$  is called a star and is denoted by  $S_r$ . The vertex of degree  $r$  in the star  $S_r$  is called the central vertex of the star. Claw is a star with three edges. The complement of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $\bar{G}$ .  $kG$  denotes the union of  $k$  copies of  $G$ . The join  $G + H$  of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  consists of  $G \cup H$  and all edges joining each vertex of  $G$  to all the vertices of  $H$ . Terms not defined here are used in the sense of [5].

A decomposition of a graph  $G$  is a family of edge-disjoint subgraphs  $\{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k\}$  such that  $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_k)$ . If each  $G_i$  is isomorphic to  $H$  for some subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ , then the decomposition is called a  $H$ -decomposition of  $G$ . If  $H$  has at least three edges, then the problem of deciding if a graph  $G$  has a  $H$ -decomposition is  $NP$ -complete [2]. In 1975, Sumiyasu Yamamoto et al., [6] gave necessary and sufficient condition for the  $S_k$ -decomposition of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs. In 1996, C. Lin and T. W. Shyu [4] presented a necessary and sufficient condition for decomposing  $K_n$  into stars  $S_{k_1}, S_{k_2}, \dots, S_{k_r}$ . In 2004, H. L. Fu et al., [3] decomposed a complete graph into cartesian product of two complete graphs  $K_r$  and

$K_c$ . In 2012, Darryn E. Bryant et al., [1] gave necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of  $k$ -star factorizations of any power  $K_q^s$  where  $q$  is prime and the products  $C_{r_1} \times C_{r_2} \times \dots \times C_{r_k}$  of  $k$  cycles of arbitrary length. In 2013, Tay-Woei Shyu [7] gave necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition of complete graph into  $C_l$ 's and  $S_k$ 's. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition of cartesian product of standard graphs into claws. Also, we give a sufficient condition for the claw decomposition of lexicographic product of standard graphs.

## 2. BUILDING BLOCKS

In this section, we collect certain lemmas and results which are used in the subsequent sections. These are the building blocks in the construction of the main theorems.

**Definition 2.1:** The corona of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , is the graph  $G \circ H$  formed from one copy of  $G$  and  $|V(G)|$  copies of  $H$  where the  $i^{\text{th}}$  vertex of  $G$  is adjacent to every vertex in the  $i^{\text{th}}$  copy of  $H$ .

**Definition 2.2:** The Cartesian product of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is a graph, denoted by  $G \times H$ , whose vertex set is  $V(G) \times V(H)$ . Two vertices  $(g, h)$  and  $(g', h')$  are adjacent precisely if  $g = g'$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ , or  $gg' \in E(G)$  and  $h = h'$ . Thus,

$$V(G \times H) = \{(g, h) | g \in V(G) \text{ and } h \in V(H)\},$$

$$E(G \times H) = \{(g, h)(g', h') | g = g' \text{ and } hh' \in E(H), \text{ or } gg' \in E(G) \text{ and } h = h'\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3:** [6] A complete graph,  $K_l$  with  $l$  points and  $\binom{l}{2}$  lines can be decomposed into a union of line disjoint  $\binom{l}{2}/c$  claws,  $K_{1,c}$ , with  $c$  lines each if and only if

- (1)  $\binom{l}{2}$  is an integral multiple of  $c$ , and
- (2)  $l \geq 2c$ .

**Theorem 2.4:** [6] A complete bigraph,  $K_{m,n}$ , with  $m$  and  $n$  points and  $mn$  lines can be decomposed into union of  $mn/c$  line disjoint  $\binom{l}{2}/c$  claws,  $K_{1,c}$ , with  $c$  lines each if and only if  $m$  and  $n$  satisfy one of the following three conditions:

- (1)  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$  when  $m < c$

(2)  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$  when  $n < c$

(3)  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{c}$  when  $m \geq c$  and  $n \geq c$ .

**Lemma 2.5:** The graph  $C_n \circ \bar{K}_2$  is claw decomposable for all  $n$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and let  $u_i$  and  $w_i$  be the pendant vertices at  $v_i$ .

Then  $\langle \{u_i, w_i, v_i, v_{i+1}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

and  $\langle \{u_n, w_n, v_n, v_1\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$ .

Thus 
$$E(C_n \circ \bar{K}_2) = \underbrace{E(K_{1,3}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,3})}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

Hence  $C_n \circ \bar{K}_2$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6:** If  $n$  is even and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $K_2 \times C_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and let  $V(C_n) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ .

Then  $V(K_2 \times C_n) = \{(x_i, y_j) \mid i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(x_1, y_j) = v_j$  and  $(x_2, y_j) = u_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_1, v_2, v_n, u_1\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$ ,

$\langle \{u_1, u_{n-1}, u_n, v_n\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$ ,

$\langle \{u_{i+1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$  for all  $i \in \{2, 4, \dots, n-2\}$  and

$\langle \{u_i, u_{i+1}, u_{i+2}, v_{i+1}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$  for all  $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, n-3\}$ .

Thus 
$$E(K_2 \times C_n) = \underbrace{E(K_{1,3}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,3})}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

Hence  $K_2 \times C_n$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7:**  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $n > 3$  and  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and let  $u_i$  be the pendant vertex at  $v_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Suppose that  $n > 3$  and  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Case (i):**  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_5, v_6, \dots, v_n\} \rangle \cong K_{n-4}$ ,

$\langle \{v_3, v_4, v_i, u_i\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$  for all  $5 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \{v_1, v_2, v_4, u_4\} \rangle - \{v_1 v_2\} \cong K_{1,3}, \\
& \langle \{v_1, v_3, v_4, u_3\} \rangle - \{v_1 v_4\} \cong K_{1,3}, \\
& \langle \{u_1, v_1, v_2, v_5, v_6, \dots, v_n\} \rangle - E(\langle \{v_5, v_6, \dots, v_n\} \rangle) \cong K_{1, n-2} \text{ and} \\
& \langle \{u_2, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6, \dots, v_n\} \rangle - E(\langle \{v_5, v_6, \dots, v_n\} \rangle) \cong K_{1, n-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } E(K_2 \circ C_1) = E(K_{n-4}) \cup \underbrace{E(K_{1,3}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,3})}_{(n-2) \text{ times}} \cup E(K_{1, n-2}) \cup E(K_{1, n-2}).$$

Since  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n - 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Hence by Theorem 2.3,  $K_{n-4}$  is claw decomposable. Also,  $K_{1, n-2}$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable.

**Case (ii):**  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } & \langle \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}\} \rangle \cong K_{n-1} \circ K_1 \text{ and} \\
& \langle \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \rangle - E(\langle \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}\} \rangle) + \{u_n v_n\} \cong K_{1, n}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } E(K_n \circ K_1) = E(K_{n-1} \circ K_1) \cong E(K_{1, n}).$$

Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Hence by Case (i),  $K_{n-1} \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable. Also,  $K_{1, n}$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable.

Conversely, suppose that  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable.

$$\text{Then } |E(K_n \circ K_1)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}. \text{ That is, } \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ which implies}$$

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ and thus } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \text{ or } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \text{ Hence } n \neq 1 \pmod{3}. \text{ Also,}$$

$K_3 \circ K_1$  is not claw decomposable. Thus  $n > 3$ .

Hence  $n > 3$  and  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . □

**Lemma 2.8:** The graph  $K_2 \times K_n$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and let  $V(C_n) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ .

Then  $V(K_2 \times C_n) = \{(x_i, y_j) / i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(x_1, y_j) = v_j$  and  $(x_2, y_j) = u_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \rangle - E(\langle \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \rangle) \cong K_n \circ K_1$

and  $\langle \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \rangle \cong K_n$ .

Thus  $E(G) = E(K_n \circ K_1) \cup E(K_n)$ .

Suppose that  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Then by Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable. Also, by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_2 \circ K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Conversely, suppose that  $K_2 \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Then  $|E(K_2 \times K_n)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . That is,  $2 \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1 \cdot n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  which implies  $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and hence  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Also,  $K_2 \times K_3$  is not claw decomposable. Thus  $n > 3$ . Hence  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Lemma 2.9:** The graph  $K_2 \times K_n$  together with a pendant vertex attached to each vertex of one copy of  $K_n$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $G$  be the graph  $K_2 \times K_n$  together with a pendant vertex attached to the each vertex of one copy of  $K_n$ .

Let  $V(K_2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and let  $V(K_n) = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ .

Then  $V(K_2 \times K_n) = \{(x_i, y_j) / i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(x_1, y_j) = v_j$  and  $(x_2, y_j) = u_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Let  $w_j$  be the pendant vertex at  $v_j$  in  $G$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \rangle - E(\langle \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \rangle) \cong K_n \circ K_1$

and  $\langle \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \rangle \cong K_n \circ K_1$ .

Thus  $E(G) = E(K_n \circ K_1) \cup E(K_n \circ K_1)$ .

Suppose that  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Then by Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $G$  is claw decomposable.

Conversely, suppose that  $G$  is claw decomposable.

Then  $|E(G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . That is,  $2 \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1 \cdot n + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  which implies  $n(n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and thus  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Hence  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . □

### 3. CLAW DECOMPOSITION OF CARTESIAN PRODUCT OF GRAPHS

In this section, we give necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposition of cartesian product of some standard graphs into claws.

**Theorem 3.1:** If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $H$ -decomposable, then  $G_1 \times G_2$  is  $H$ -decomposable.

**Proof:** Let  $V(G_1) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  and  $V(G_2) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ .

Then  $V(G_1 \times G_2) = \{(v_i, u_j) | 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(v_i, u_j) = v_{ij}; 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{kj}\} \rangle \cong G_1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and

$\langle \{u_{i1}, u_{i2}, \dots, u_{in}\} \rangle \cong G_2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Thus,  $E(G_1 \times G_2) = \underbrace{E(G_1) \cup \dots \cup E(G_1)}_{n \text{ times}} \cup \underbrace{E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_2)}_{k \text{ times}}$ .

Since  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $H$ -decomposable,  $G_1 \times G_2$  is  $H$ -decomposable.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2:** If  $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n}$  is claw decomposable.

**Corollary 3.3:** If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  then  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** It follows from Theorems 2.3 and 3.1.

**Corollary 3.4:** If  $rs \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $K_{r,s} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** It follows from Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and 3.1.

**Corollary 3.5:** If  $rs \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $K_{r,s} \times K_{1,n}$  is  $K_{1,3}$ -decomposable.

**Proof:** It follows from Theorems 2.4 and 3.1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6:**  $P_n \circ K_1$  and  $C_n \circ K_1$  are not claw decomposable for any values of  $n$ .

**Remark 3.7:** If  $G = P_m \circ K_1$ , then  $G \circ C_n$  is not claw decomposable.

**Theorem 3.8:** Let  $G_1 = P_m \circ K_1$ . If  $G_2$  and  $G_2 \circ K_1$  are claw decomposable, then  $G_1 \times G_2$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Let  $V(G_1) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  where  $w_i$  is the pendant edge at  $u_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $u_1 u_2 \dots u_m$  is the  $m$ -path in  $G$  and  $V(G_2) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ .

Then  $V(G_1 \times G_2) = \{(u_i, v_j), (w_i, v_j) | 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = u_{ji}$  and  $(w_i, v_j) = w_{ji}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{nj}, w_{1j}, w_{2j}, \dots, w_{nj}\} \rangle - E(\langle \{u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{nj}\} \rangle)$

$\cong G_2 \circ K_1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$\langle \{u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{nj}, u_{1(j+1)}, u_{2(j+1)}, \dots, u_{n(j+1)}\} \rangle$

$> - E(\langle \{u_{1(j+1)}, u_{2(j+1)}, \dots, u_{n(j+1)}\} \rangle) \cong G_2 \circ K_1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m-1$

and  $\langle \{u_{1m}, u_{2m}, \dots, u_{nm}\} \rangle \cong G_2$ .

Thus  $E(G_1 \times G_2) = \underbrace{E(G_2 \circ K_1 \cup \dots \cup E(G_2 \circ K_1))}_{(2m-1) \text{ times}} \cup E(G_2)$ .

By assumption,  $G_2$  and  $G_2 \circ K_1$  are claw decomposable.

Hence  $G_1 \times G_2$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9:** If  $G = P_m \circ K_1$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable. Also, by Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable. Hence the result follows from above theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.10:** If  $G = P_m \circ K_1$ , then  $G \times K_{1,n}$  is not claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Suppose not. Then let  $S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k\}$  be a claw decomposition of  $G \times K_{1,n}$ . Let  $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$  where  $w_i$  is the pendant edge at  $u_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $u_1 u_2 \dots u_m$  is the  $m$ -path in  $G$ .

Let  $V(K_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  where  $d(v_0) = n$ .

Then  $V(G \times K_{1,n}) = \{(u_i, v_j), (w_i, v_j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = u_{ji}$  and  $(w_i, v_j) = w_{ji}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $w_{11} u_{11} \in E(G \times K_{1,n})$  and hence must be in some member of  $S$ , say  $S_1$ . Since  $d(u_{11}) = 3$  and  $d(w_{11}) = 2$ ,  $u_{11} u_{12} \in S_1$ . Similarly,  $w_{1i} u_{1i}$  and  $u_{1i} u_{1(i+1)}$  will be in the same member of  $S$ , say  $S_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

Then in  $G \times K_{1,n} - \bigcup_{i=1}^n E(S_i)$ ,  $d(u_{1n}) = 2$  and  $d(w_{1n}) = 2$ . Thus  $w_{1n} u_{1n} \notin S$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $G \times K_{1,n}$  is not claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11:** If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $P_k \times K_n$  is claw decomposable for all values of  $k$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $V(P_k) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$  where  $P_k = u_1 u_2 \dots u_k$ .

Then  $V(P_k \times K_n) = \{(u_i, v_j) / 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = v_{ji}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Assume that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}, v_{1(j+1)}, v_{2(j+1)}, \dots, v_{n(j+1)}\}$

$\rangle - E(\langle \{v_{1(j+1)}, v_{2(j+1)}, \dots, v_{n(j+1)}\} \rangle) \cong K_n \circ K_1$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$

and  $\langle \{v_{1k}, v_{2k}, \dots, v_{nk}\} \rangle \cong K_n$ .

Thus  $E(G) = \underbrace{E(K_n \circ K_1) \cup \dots \cup E(K_n \circ K_1)}_{(k-1) \text{ times}} \cup E(K_n)$ .

Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable. Also, by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $P_k \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Conjecture 3.12:** The graph  $P_k \times K_n$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Theorem 3.13:** If  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $C_k \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  and  $V(C_k) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\}$ .

Then  $V(C_k \times K_n) = \{(u_i, v_j) / 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = v_{ji}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Assume that  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}, v_{1(i+1)}, v_{2(i+1)}, \dots, v_{n(i+1)}\}$

$\rangle - E(\langle \{v_{1(i+1)}, v_{2(i+1)}, \dots, v_{n(i+1)}\} \rangle) \cong K_n \circ K_1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$

and  $\langle \{v_{1k}, v_{2k}, \dots, v_{nk}, v_{11}, v_{21}, \dots, v_{n1}\} \rangle - E(\langle \{v_{11}, v_{21}, \dots, v_{n1}\} \rangle) \cong K_n \circ K_1$ .

Thus  $E(G) = \underbrace{E(K_n \circ K_1) \cup \dots \cup E(K_n \circ K_1)}_{k \text{ times}}$

Since  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , by Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $C_k \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Conjecture 3.14:** The graph  $C_k \times K_n$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .



**Theorem 3.15:** The graph  $K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n}$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $2mn + m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_{1,m}) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  and  $V(K_{1,n}) = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  where  $d(u_0) = m$  and  $d(v_0) = n$ .

Then  $V(K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n}) = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = v_{ji}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ .

Suppose that  $2mn + m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

**Case (i):**  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Since  $2mn + m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Thus both  $K_{1,m}$  and  $K_{1,n}$  are claw decomposable. Hence by Theorem 3.1,  $K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n}$  is claw decomposable.

**Case (ii):**  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Then  $2mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  for all values of  $n$ , a contradiction.

Hence this case does not arise.

**Case (iii):**  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $2mn + m + n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , a contradiction.

If  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , then  $2mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{0j}, v_{1j}, \dots, v_{mj}\} \rangle + \{v_{0j} v_{00}\} \cong K_{1,n+1}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq m$ ,

$\langle \{v_{i0}, v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i(m-2)}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,(m-2)}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$\langle \{v_{00}, v_{10}, \dots, v_{n0}, v_{1(m-1)}, v_{2(m-1)}, \dots, v_{n(m-1)}, v_{1m}, v_{2m}, \dots, v_{nm}\} \rangle \cong G'$

where  $G'$  is the graph obtained by identifying one pendant vertex of each copy of  $K_{1,3}$  in  $nK_{1,3}$ .

Thus  $E(K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n}) = \underbrace{E(K_{1,(n+1)}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,(n+1)})}_{m \text{ times}} \cup$

$\underbrace{E(K_{1,(m-2)}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,(m-2)})}_{m \text{ times}} \cup E(G')$ .

Since  $n, m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $K_{1,(n+1)}$  and  $K_{1,(m-2)}$  are claw decomposable.

Also,  $G'$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_{1,m} - K_{1,n}$  is claw decomposable.

Conversely, suppose that  $K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n}$  is claw decomposable.

Then  $|E(K_{1,m} \times K_{1,n})| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

That is,  $(m+1)n + (n+1)m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

That is,  $2mn + m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . □

**Remark 3.16.**  $K_2 \times C_5$  is not claw decomposable.

**Theorem 3.17:** Let  $n$  be even and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then  $K_{1,m} \times C_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_{1,m}) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  where  $d(u_0) = m$  and

$$V(C_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}.$$

Then  $V(K_{1,m} \times C_n) = \{(u_i, v_j) | 0 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = v_{ji}$ ;  $0 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Assume that  $n$  is even,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Claim:**  $G_2 = K_{1,3} \times C_n - E(C_n)$  where  $E(C_n)$  denotes the edges of the cycle  $C_n$  corresponding to the central vertex is claw decomposable if  $n$  is even and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Then  $G' = K_{1,3} \times C_n - \{v_{i0}v_{(i+1)0}, v_{10}v_{n0} | 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{ni}, v_{1i}, v_{2i}, v_{3i}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,

$$\langle \{v_{i0}, v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3}; i \in \{2, 4, \dots, n\},$$

$$\langle \{v_{ij}, v_{(i+1)j}, v_{(i+2)j}, v_{(i+1)0}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,3} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq 3 \text{ and } i \in \{2, 4, \dots, n-2\}.$$

Thus  $E(G') = E(K_{1,3}) \cup E(K_{1,3}) \cup E(K_{1,3}) \cup \underbrace{E(K_{1,3}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,3})}_{\binom{n}{2} \text{ times}} \cup$

$$\underbrace{E(K_{1,3}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,3})}_{3 \binom{n-2}{2} \text{ times}}.$$

Hence  $G'$  is claw decomposable if  $n$  is even and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Since  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $m = 3t + 1$ ;  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Thus  $E(K_{1,m} \times C_n) = E(K_2 \times C_n) \cup \underbrace{E(G') \cup \dots \cup E(G')}_{t \text{ times}}.$

By the Claim and Lemma 2.6,  $G'^2$  and  $K_2 \times C_n$  are claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_{1,m} \times C_n$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18:**  $K_1 \times K_n$  is claw decomposable if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V(K_{1,m}) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m\}$  where  $d(u_0) = m$  and  $V(K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ .

Then  $V(K_{1,m} \times K_n) = \{(u_i, v_j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(u_i, v_j) = v_{ji}$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Suppose that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Case (i):**  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

**Subcase 1:**  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

Then  $K_{1,m}$  is claw decomposable. Also, since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Hence by Theorem 3.1,  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Subcase 2:**  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}\} \rangle \cong K_n$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ ,

$\langle \{v_{i0}, v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i(m-1)}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,m-1}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and

$\langle \{v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}, v_{1m}, v_{2m}, \dots, v_{nm}\} \rangle \cong E(\langle \{v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}\} \rangle) \cong K_n \circ K_1$ .

Thus  $E(K_{1,m} \times K_n) = \underbrace{E(K_n) \cup \dots \cup E(K_n)}_{n \text{ times}} \cup$

$$\underbrace{E(K_{1,m-1}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,m-1})}_{n \text{ times}} \cup E(K_n \circ K_1).$$

By Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable. Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable. Since  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $K_{1,m-1}$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Subcase 3:**  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}\} \rangle \cong K_n$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq m-2$ ,

$\langle \{v_{i0}, v_{i1}, \dots, v_{i(m-2)}\} \rangle \cong K_{1,m-2}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \{v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}, v_{1(m-1)}, v_{2(m-1)}, \dots, v_{n(m-1)}\} \\
&> - E(< \{v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}\} >) \cong K_n \circ K_1 \text{ and} \\
&< \{v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}, v_{1m}, v_{2m}, \dots, v_{nm}\} > - E(< \{v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}\} >) \cong K_n \circ K_1.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } E(K_{1,m} \times K_n) = \underbrace{E(K_n) \cup \dots \cup E(K_n)}_{(m-1) \text{ times}} \cup$$

$$\underbrace{E(K_{1,m-2}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{1,m-2})}_{(m-1) \text{ times}} \cup E(K_n \circ K_1) \cup E(K_n \circ K_1).$$

Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable. Since  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $K_{1,m-2}$  is claw decomposable. Also by Lemma 2.7,  $K_n \circ K_1$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Case (ii):**  $mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

**Subcase 1:**  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

Since  $mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Thus by Theorem 2.3,  $K_n$  is claw decomposable. Also,  $K_{1,m}$  is claw decomposable. Hence by Theorem 3.1,  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Subcase 2:**  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

Since  $mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . This case is already dealt in Subcase 2 of Case (i).

**Subcase 3:**  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

If  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $mn + m + n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  for all values of  $n$ , a contradiction. Hence this case does not arise.

Hence in all the cases,  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Conversely, suppose that  $K_{1,m} \times K_n$  is claw decomposable.

Then  $|E(K_{1,m} \times K_n)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Thus,  $(m+1)\frac{n(n-1)}{2} + mn \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . which

implies  $\frac{n}{2}[mn + m + n - 1] \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and hence  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or  $mn + m + n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

#### 4. CLAW DECOMPOSITION OF LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCT OF GRAPHS

In this section, we give sufficient condition for the lexicographic product of any graph  $G$  with  $\bar{K}_n$ ,  $K_n$ ,  $K_{m,n}$  and  $K_2 \times K_n$  to be claw decomposable.

**Definition 4.1:** The lexicographic product of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is a graph, denoted by  $G * H$ , whose vertex set is  $V(G) \times V(H)$ . Two vertices  $(g, h)$  and  $(g', h')$  are adjacent precisely if  $gg' \in E(G)$ , or  $g = g'$  and  $hh' \in E(H)$ .

The other way of viewing  $G * H$  is by replacing each vertex in  $G$  by a copy of  $H$  and two vertices in  $G$  are adjacent if and only if there exists a complete bipartite subgraph with the corresponding vertices of  $H$  as partite sets in  $G * H$ .

**Theorem 4.2:** Let  $G$  be any non trivial graph. If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G * \bar{K}_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Assume that  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Let  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  and  $V(\bar{K}_n) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ .

Then  $V(G * \bar{K}_n) = \{(v_i, u_j) / 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(v_i, u_j) = v_{ij}$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now, for each  $v_i, v_j \in E(G)$ ,  $\langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}, v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}\} \rangle \cong K_{n,n}$ .

Thus,  $E(G * \bar{K}_n) = \underbrace{E(K_{n,n}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{n,n})}_{|E(G)| \text{ times}}$ .

Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.4,  $K_{n,n}$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $G * \bar{K}_n$  is claw decomposable. □

**Theorem 4.3:** Let  $G$  be any non trivial graph. If  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G * K_n$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Assume that  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Let  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  and  $V(K_n) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ .

Then  $V(G * K_n) = \{(v_i, u_j) / 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(v_i, u_j) = v_{ij}$ ;  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}\} \rangle \cong K_n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Also, for each  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}, v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}\} \rangle - E(\langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}\} \rangle) \\ & - E(\langle \{v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}\} \rangle) \cong K_{n,n}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } E(G * K_n) = \underbrace{E(K_n) \cup \dots \cup E(K_n)}_{k \text{ times}} \cup \underbrace{E(K_{n,n}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{n,n})}_{|E(G)| \text{ times}}.$$

Since  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.3 and 2.4,  $K_n$  and  $K_{n,n}$  are claw decomposable.

Hence  $G * K_n$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4:** Let  $G$  be any non trivial graph. If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G * K_{m,n}$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Assume that  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Let  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  and  $V(K_{m,n}) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$  where  $d(u_i) = n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $d(w_j) = m$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Then  $V(G \times K_{m,n}) = \{(v_i, u_j), (v_i, w_l) / 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(v_i, u_j) = u_{ji}$  and  $(v_i, w_l) = w_{li}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq l \leq n$ .

Now for each  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \{u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \dots, u_{mi}, w_{1i}, w_{2i}, \dots, w_{ni}, u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{mj}, w_{1j}, w_{2j}, \dots, w_{nj}\} \rangle \\ & > - E(\langle \{u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \dots, u_{mi}, w_{1i}, w_{2i}, \dots, w_{ni}\} \rangle) \\ & - E(\langle \{u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{mj}, w_{1j}, w_{2j}, \dots, w_{nj}\} \rangle) \cong Km + n, m + n \text{ and} \\ & \langle \{u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \dots, u_{mi}, w_{1i}, w_{2i}, \dots, w_{ni}\} \rangle \cong K_{m,n} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus, } E(G * K_{m,n}) = \underbrace{E(K_{m,n}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{m,n})}_{k \text{ times}} \cup \underbrace{E(K_{m+n, m+n}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{m+n, m+n})}_{|E(G)| \text{ times}}$$

Since  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Theorem 2.4,  $K_{m,n}$  and  $K_{m+n, m+n}$  are claw decomposable.

Hence  $G * K_{m,n}$  is claw decomposable.

**Theorem 4.5:** Let  $G$  be any non trivial graph. If  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $G * [K_2 \times K_n]$  is claw decomposable.

**Proof:** Assume that  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Let  $V(G) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ ,  $V(K_2 \times K_n) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  and  $E(K_2 \times K_n) = \{v_i v_j, u_i u_j, u_i v_i / 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$ .

Then  $V(G * [K_2 \times K_n]) = \{(w_i, v_j), (w_i, u_j) | 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ .

Rename  $(w_i, v_j) = v_{ji}$  and  $(w_i, u_j) = u_{ji}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq n$ .

Now,  $\langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}, u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \dots, u_{ni}\} \rangle \cong K_2 \times K_n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Also, for each  $w_i w_j \in E(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}, u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \dots, u_{ni}, v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}, u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{nj}\} \rangle \\ & > - E(\langle \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \dots, v_{ni}, u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \dots, u_{ni}\} \rangle) \\ & - E(\langle \{v_{1j}, v_{2j}, \dots, v_{nj}, u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \dots, u_{nj}\} \rangle) \cong K_{2n, 2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $E(G * [K_2 \times K_n]) = \underbrace{E(K_2 \times K_n) \cup \dots \cup E(K_2 \times K_n)}_{k \text{ times}} \cup \underbrace{E(K_{2n, 2n}) \cup \dots \cup E(K_{2n, 2n})}_{|E(G)| \text{ times}}$ .

Since  $n > 3$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , by Lemma 2.8,  $K_2 \times K_n$  is claw decomposable. Also, by Theorem 2.4,  $K_{2n, 2n}$  is claw decomposable.

Hence  $G * [K_2 \times K_n]$  is claw decomposable.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Darryn E. Bryant, Saad I. El-Zanati and Charles Vanden Eynden, "Star Factorizations of Graph Products", *Journal of Graph Theory*, **36**: pp. 59-66, (2001).
- [2] D. Dor and M. Tarsi, "Graph Decomposition is NP-complete: a Complete Proof of Holyers Conjecture", *SIAM J. Comput.* **26**, pp. 1166-1187, (1997).
- [3] H. L. Fu, F. K. Hwang, M. Jimbo, Y. Mutoh, C. L. Shiue, "Decomposing Complete Graphs into  $K_r \times K_c$ 's", *Journal of Statistical Planning and Interference*, **119**, pp. 225-236, (2004).
- [4] C. Lin and T. W. Shyu, "A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for the Star Decomposition of Complete Graphs", *Journal of Graph Theory*, **23**: pp. 361-364, (1996).
- [5] Richard Hammack, Wilfried Imrich and Sandi Klavzar, *Handbook of product graphs*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, (2011).
- [6] Sumiyasu Yamamoto, Hideto Ikeda, Shinsei Shige-eda, Kazuhiko Ushio and Noboru Hamada, "On Claw-decomposition of Complete Graphs and Complete Bigraphs", *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5**, pp. 33-42, (1975).
- [7] Tay-Woei Shyu, "Decomposition of Complete Graphs into Cycles and Stars", *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **29**: pp. 301-313, (2013).

**P. Chithra Devi<sup>1</sup> and J. Paulraj Joseph<sup>2</sup>**

Department of Mathematics Manonmaniam Sundaranar

University Tirunelveli - 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mails: <sup>1</sup>chithradevi095@gmail.com,

<sup>2</sup>jpaulraj"2003@yahoo.co.in