

### **International Journal of Control Theory and Applications**

ISSN: 0974-5572

© International Science Press

Volume 10 • Number 30 • 2017

# Efficient Approach of Trust Based INSMG Scheduling Approach in Cloud Environment – (T-INSMG)

## Jeeva Rathanam G<sup>a</sup> and A. Rajaram<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Research Scholar, Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering EGS Pillay Engineering College, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: jeevag@mitindia.edu, gct143@gmail.com

*Abstract:* In this paper, the major goal is to realize the Trust based INSMG Scheduling Approach and its strategy in cloud services. Here, the trust is integrated which specify the possibility with its assurance to develop the schedule of stability and certainty. In scheduling, the exception deals with the process of interleaving the management of estimation, implementation, monitoring and development in the life cycle. The simulation of the proposed system performance show that the efficiency, ensure process and robust implementation flow than the existing system. *Keywords: Job Scheduling; Trust; Workflow; Meta-heuristic Approach; Optimal System*.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In the past decades, further simply, security is a developing of sub-domain and more generally in the ensure data. The policy group is refers the broad of technologies and deployed the control of application and information protection. Also, it is associated with the services of infrastructure. As per the platform the domains the trust are differentiated and control the process to have a better relationship in a secure manner in cloud environment.

Present survey of various studies is on scheduling trade-off apprehension in the time and cost of the task execution which are applicant for responsible and trustworthy. However, the systematic workflows environment execution like cloud or grid, are self-motivated and heterogeneous in environment. Also, it provides independent process which might perform untruthfully and every so often unkindly, particularly when follow their personal benefit and significance.

The unreliable situation carries the improbability to the scheduling. The scheduler is requests to deal with a doubtful situation. For example, in an organization they may perhaps recline regarding its ability like cost and time processing, to create a center of attention and agreement.

However, a client could stay away from defeat while processing with a confident representative via legal agreement of a suspiciously planned. So, the malfunction may process with supplementary parts of the preparation and effect in unanticipated achievement of cost or/and time sudden increasing.

#### Jeeva Rathanam G and A. Rajaram

Since in the approach trust present and offer better estimation and reduce the related improbability of interactions in an open dispersed system. So, it is planned to integrate it in the process of scheduling as the third element, as well in time and cost. Therefore, the schedule robustness and reliability has been improving.

Generally, the flow of implementation choice can be attaining by evaluating and relating the most excellent probable schedule for every qualified flow. Though, this technique happens to unreasonable in systems workflow due to the environment operating process complexity and completely dynamic.

In the environment of peer-to-peer, the involved nodes are not trustworthy and can go away and connected with the system. So, regular scheduling process might develop into essential for alter reflection. Therefore, the flow of implementation of scheduling cost can frequently ignored with deference to the general period of process. Here, it is consuming the space and time to discover and schedule frequently to perform the system.

#### **1.1. Author Contribution**

The research contribution is to develop a new mechanism of evaluation, which is proceeding to determine the scheduling which is possible to cause in the largest part of robust process, thus prevent each (re-)scheduling probable of path implementation. In order to prevent the rescheduling requirement, it is adapt the exclusion happen and develop the schedule accessible by interleaving the process at runtime with life cycle implementation and watching it. The group rules of event-condition-action are functioned to direct and handle the interaction among the environment and schedule.

The remaining manuscript is prepared as follows. The issues of optimization and the process of computation in scheduling survey were discussed in Section II. The model of issues formulation is available in Section III and T-INSMG scheduling algorithm is explained in Section IV. The simulation results and uncertain analysis of performance were illuminating in Section V. lastly, in Section VI the research plan is concluded with future work.

#### 2. LITERATURE SURVEY

In this section, a survey is done related to scheduling and trust model. The conventional study of workflow process on scheduling in cloud environment is generally paying attention on cost and time. On the other hand, key factors become the major part of security. Here, trust-based scheduling strategy is implemented with the performance of time, security, cost and dependability. It formulate the service of storage and trustful computation as per the implementation of set covering problem (SCP) which is the approach of heuristic search of tree and set-based particle swarm optimization (S-PSO) method, respectively [Y.L. YANG et al., 2015].

Over the internet the computing application of cloud is distribute as services and provides services of system by the datacenters. It is previous referred and defensible of scheduling by information lack and job tasks. Here, the task maximum need of time is calculated and focused on enhancing security by edited approach of Heterogeneous Earliest Finish Time (HEFT) by Trust based scheduling [D. Sumathi, P. Poongodi, 2015,].

V. Suresh Kumar, M. Aramudhan, 2015, has been optimized as per recommend approach of BAT-Harmony search hybrid algorithm. It offer virtualized, source flexible and distributed as processing for end users optimization and can sustain complete identification of utility. It decreases starvation of resource make certain equality between those resources.

In collaboration of the system, the major factor is the mutual and by the interaction it is stimulated by in it. Here, human-agent mutual trust and (semi)autonomous multi-agent is processed with the analysis in the collaboration. It establishes the uses and autonomous agent's acceptance. In this paper, as per the factors it is well known by the time-series human agent mutual trust models and not permit the "over and under trust". The multiagent issues of scheduling is resolved by the dynamic process of timing models and developed vital and sufficient conditions to process ability analysis of the collaborative task [Xiaotian Wang et al., 2015].

Masnida Hussin and Rohaya Latip, 2013, present the mechanism of control in distributed computing environment for source control which overcomes the issues of dynamic management. The planned mechanism is considered according to the reputation process which intend in sharing the resource.

International Journal of Control Theory and Applications

#### Efficient Approach of Trust Based INSMG Scheduling Approach in Cloud Environment – (T-INSMG)

Mainly, each measurement has its significant reputation which helps to obtain the ability and accessibility of the computing process. The decision process is done by the major reputation degree of resource in terms of doing well while observing the source accessibility. The performance provides the improvement in simulation and manages the robust source of the system.

Y. Yang and X. Peng, 2013, focused on the task time and cost of trade-off scheduling. Also, various necessary of scheduling is considered. In trust model, data hosts and computing source is performed in the applications. Here, the issues are formulating with the constraints of trust and trust based scheduling algorithms. Simulation outcomes demonstrate the heuristic process which is enhanced than the traditional approach and have efficient implementation of trusted flow.

TRUSTS process is planned for fare test of scheduling and computing the issues of patrol policy. It is at variance from earlier consideration. Here, the strategies have to convince the constraints of spatial and massive temporal. Moreover, dissimilarly in the system of counterterrorism-motivated Stackelberg, a huge division of the ridership may convincingly plan the fare avoidance, and enormous potential by the followers. The innovation of this system is planned the strategies leader simplification to create patrols in an easy manner. It provides efficient computing approach which provides better performance using the data from Los Angeles Metro Rail system [Zhengyu Yin et al, 2012].

The system management of scheduling is critically essential which depend on the completion strength and trustworthiness. Trust process is integrated with its assurance to get better preventability and stability.

As per the implementation the immunity is deals in the process of scheduling which develop and get used to by the course of action interleaving the management lifecycle process of monitoring, evaluating, implementing and scheduling. In the simulation, maximizing participants' trust schedules is to stay alive and be successful in dynamic situation [Mingzhong Wang et al., 2009].

The computing is providing the source of software and for sharing it in the cloud environment. It is used for transmitting jobs or tasks of users to the offered system. For the process of computing by using fuzzy neural network based on Job scheduling approach. It classifies the tasks according the parameters of Quality of service like size, utilization of CPU, bandwidth and memory. The converted value of fuzzier outcome is obtained from the classified tasks between the range of 0 and 1. It is mange the layer for altering the weight and equivalent with source of the system. The de-fuzzier function is to turn around the procedure achieved by fuzzier [V. Venkatesa Kumar and K. Dinesh, 2012].

S. T. Selvi et al., 2007, focused on the model of trust which integrated with Grid way meta scheduler. Generally, commercial grids are engage with the source distributed with less or no previous knowledge. The interface among the distributed source needed scheduling and management solutions. The alternation of source dynamically, scheduling and allocation is a complex issues.

As per the availability the schedule procedure is carried out and provides the performance at that instant without allowing for the earlier performance knowledge. The trust model is based on success rate, affordability and bandwidth. During scheduling, the highest values of source trust are preferred by trust model.

## 3. METHOD - TRUST BASED INSMG SCHEDULING APPROACH

The optimal system is focused on the least time and cost of the scheduling in the system. In the process the model of trust and the heuristic approach of scheduling based on INSMG are implemented. In the reduction of time, it indicates the fast process of work flow carried out to complete the task. Also, cost is depending on finished task expensive.

#### Jeeva Rathanam G and A. Rajaram

#### **3.1. Problem description**

The process derived from the issues of scheduling is tested to develop the sequentially implementation of the system. It valudates the process completely and provides efficient flow of scheduling. It assume the process of scheduling for the model and it is improbable for every task due to having only one predecessor.

#### 3.2. System Model

In the survey, the system target is considered with various sources which are linked loosly in the system. It manages separately by various executive domains. It unspecified the insignificant delay and cost. It make simpler by having the task with limitation to handle the process and map the sources. It computes the source with the requirement and after allocation it is responsible for the scheduler. It process in terms of speed, capacity and size.

$$\mathbf{T}_{n} = \frac{\mathbf{T}_{i}}{\sum et} + \mathbf{W}_{t} \tag{1}$$

Where,  $T_i$  represent the total finished task; et denote the time of process and Wt represent the time exe is an standard waiting time respectively. Also the process of objective defines the makespan by the groups of constraint to satisfy the need of the heuristic process. Figure [1] shows the model of scheduler in the environment.



Figure 1: System Model

#### 3.3. Proposed Approach

In the task assigning the computing of implementation is estimated. If the process is defined with non-preemptive technique then it specifies the source communicating and executing process time. Generally, the scheduling process is defined with the model of trust and the services are done in a secure manner by the proposed approach. As well the economic cost is estimated with the complete process of scheduling.

In the application trust based INSMG scheduling approach is implemented which associated with the service and the tasks. The aim of the proposed approach is to resolve the issues and process with the sequence chance with minimum cost and time for processing scheduling. For robust and efficient access the scheduling is carried out in the proposed system with trust model for secure process. By this susyem the makespan, time and cost are minimized than the previous system.

**Declarations:** The process of scheduling is as same as the INSMG approach but the only differ is the adding of trust model. Here, the trust concept provides secure transform in the system and have trust based process of scheduling. The best solution is selected according to the possibilities of scheduling based on trust. However, the process is fully focused on trust process of estimation and evaluation of time and cost. So, the overall system provides better performances of efficient scheduling with minimum consumption.

Trust function is added after the optimization initialization and then finding the fitness with best solution. As per the procedure the proposed approach is performed.

**Step 1:** For optimal result finding the solution according to the sequence and processing time from the list (L).

While List-Sequence (L) is unfilled do - Job is not selected from the list of sequence else job select according to a heuristic approach

**Step 2:** The scheme of coding is denoted with the issues of optimized for suitable choice over the fitness value based scheduling. Also, random optimization process is involved.

Step 3: A trust metrics service is included with the direct and recommended trust.

$$DT(s_i) = \sum_{1-n/(s+f+1)}^{((s-f-(1/2))} \alpha_n$$
(2)

$$RT(s_i) = avg(u_a) + \left( \left( \sum_{i=1-n} W_{ai}(u_{ij} - (1/u_i)) \right) / \left( \sum_{i=1-n} |W_{ai}| \right) \right)$$

Step 4: Compute the operation of fitness.

Step 5: According to non-increasing order sort the process of n jobs time.

**Step 6:** Assume the list of jobs with length 5 in the sequence.

Step 7: From the sequence the parameter p will chosen for processing.

Step 8: Set the jobs list and include the sequences at n location.

**Step 9:** The best solution p is chosen form the sequences.

Step 10: Increment n by 1; if n < i, then the list is not accepted as the most excellent solution else included and solution is update.

**Step 11:** Then the process is stopped otherwise go to step 6.

Step 12: The jobs are removed after solution discovery from the list.

Step 13: End while and study the outcomes.

The difficult operation of objective is a suitable to describe the resolution and it permit the set up of optimum solution.

#### **Algorithm: Scheduling Model**

Input: Processing request of time, cost, and trust value

Output: A workflow schedule strategy

Begin // Scheduling Model

Estimate every node task rank value, weight and edge according function;

Sort the list in order based on value of task rank;

Create a fresh group Gi and i = 0

while  $L \neq null do$ 

t = remove (t1); // Remove 1<sup>st</sup> tasks

if  $t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gi}$  then

i + +;

Create a new group Gi;

end if add t to Gi end while i = 0while  $j \le i$  do Schedule(Gi); Begin //Schedule by using Algorithm Initialize constraint: time, cost, trust, success rate, weight; Create a random initial S to obtain solution; ifgi == max(gi); Stop; else continue; **Evaluate Fitness:** //Apply the function //selection, mutation, mending and crossover; End j + +;end while; End

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the proposed system is simulated using MATLAB and the performance is evaluated according to the implementation. The obtained outcomes are analyzed with the existing system to show the improvement of the proposed system. It provides better performance in the reduction of makespan, time and cost.





The flexible and efficient access of sequence is optimized and provides selection reduction possibilities and time for optimizing the system. In scheduling the performance of the system is enhanced with the reduction of time and cost. The overall system process efficiency and robustness is achieved by the proposed approach.

Figure [2] shows the proposed system analysis of implementation time and and cost. The analysis of number of tasks Vs time to know the delay of the implementation is shown in Figure [3].



Figure 3: Analysis of Delay performance



Figure 4: Analysis of No of Tasks Vs Time

#### Jeeva Rathanam G and A. Rajaram

The cost function is evaluated between the proposed and existing system which obtained better performance than the existing. Figure [4] shows the analysis of number of tasks Vs time which illustrate the execution time of the proposed system and Figure [5] shows the analysis of deadline with optimal scheduling of the proposed system.

	para autpor o			output			1.
Cloudlet ID	STATUS	Data center ID	VM ID	Time	Start Time	Finish Time	
927	SUCCESS	2	1	25.48	5468.23	5493.72	
929	SUCCESS	2	3	13.82	5480.76	5494.58	
928	SUCCESS	2	2	18.59	5480.27	5498.86	
933	SUCCESS	2	3	13.91	5494.58	5508.49	
934	SUCCESS	2	2	18.42	5498.86	5517.28	
932	SUCCESS	2	1	25.36	5493.72	5519.08	
935	SUCCESS	2	3	14.19	5508.49	5522.68	
930	SUCCESS	2	4	33.87	5490.35	5524.21	
936	SUCCESS	2	2	18.49	5517.28	5535.76	
938	SUCCESS	2	3	13.92	5522.68	5536.6	
937	SUCCESS	2	1	24.7	5519.08	5543.78	
941	SUCCESS	2	3	13.86	5536.6	5550.46	
940	SUCCESS	2	2	18.52	5535.76	5554.29	
939	SUCCESS	2	4	33.52	5524.21	5557.73	
931	SUCCESS	2	0	68.7	5492.15	5560.85	
943	SUCCESS	2	3	13.77	5550.46	5564.22	
942	SUCCESS	2	1	25.16	5543.78	5568.94	
944	SUCCESS	2	2	18.38	5554.29	5572.67	
947	SUCCESS	2	3	14.23	5564.22	5578.46	
949	SUCCESS	2	2	18.57	5572.67	5591.24	
945	SUCCESS	2	4	33.62	5557.73	5591.35	
950	SUCCESS	2	3	13.86	5578.46	5592.31	
0.4.0	CLICCECC	2	1	24 5.4	5560 04	5502.47	•

Figure 5: Analysis of optimal scheduling deadline



Figure 6: Analysis of memory usage



Figure 7: Compare and Analysis the execution time of the system

Figure [6] shows the analysis of memory useage of the system with the comparison of existing (INSMG). Figure [7] shows the time analysis and comparison between the proposed and existing system.

# 5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this work, proposed a service with an scheduling efficient to have efficient process with secure and robust manner. The proposed T-INSMG provides better scheduling process based on the trust matrix. It provides robust access of the system with efficiency and schedule the task in a secure and easy manner. The simulation result of the proposed approach provides better performances in reduction of cost, time and makespan than the existing approach. Further, this system can extend the scheduling approach with new technique and secure process in a real time application.

# 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to record my deep sense of gratitude and profound thanks to my research guide Dr. A. RAJARAM, Professor, Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering, EGS Pillay Engineering College, Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu, India, for his keen interest, inspiring guidance, constant encouragement with my work during all stages, to bring this research into fruition. Also, I acknowledge my heartfelt gratitude to my family and my husband for incredible help during the research work.

# References

- Y.L. YANG, X.G. PENG, J.F. CAO, 2015, "Trust-Based Scheduling Strategy for Cloud Workflow Applications", INFORMATICA, Vol. 26, No. 1, 159–180
- [2] D. Sumathi, P. Poongodi, 2015, "An Improved Scheduling Strategy in Cloud Using Trust Based Mechanism", International Journal of Computer, Electrical, Automation, Control and Information Engineering Vol:9, No:2, 2015

- [3] V.Suresh Kumar, M. Aramudhan, 2015, "Trust Based Resource Selection in Cloud Computing Using Hybrid Algorithm", I.J. Intelligent Systems and Applications, 08, pp. 59-64
- [4] Xiaotian Wang, Zhenwu Shiy, Fumin Zhangy, and Yue Wang, July 1-3, 2015, "Mutual Trust Based Scheduling for (Semi) autonomous Multi-Agent Systems", American Control Conference Palmer House Hilton, Chicago, IL, USA
- [5] Masnida Hussin and Rohaya Latip, 2013, "ADAPTIVE RESOURCE CONTROL MECHANISM THROUGH REPUTATION-BASED SCHEDULING IN HETEROGENEOUS DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS", Journal of Computer Science 9 (12): pp.1661-1668
- [6] Y. Yang and X. Peng, 2013,"Trust-Based Scheduling Strategy for Workflow Applications in Cloud Environment," 2013 Eighth International Conference on P2P, Parallel, Grid, Cloud and Internet Computing, Compiegne, pp. 316-320. doi: 10.1109/3PGCIC.2013.53
- [7] Zhengyu Yin, Albert Xin Jiang, Matthew P. Johnson, Milind Tambe, 2012, "TRUSTS: Scheduling Randomized Patrols for Fare Inspection in Transit Systems", Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence,
- [8] V. Venkatesa Kumar and K. Dinesh, March 2012, "Job Scheduling Using Fuzzy Neural Network Algorithm in Cloud Environment", Bonfring International Journal of Man Machine Interface, Vol. 2, No. 1
- [9] S. T. Selvi, P. Balakrishnan, R. Kumar and K. Rajendar, 2007, "Trust Based Grid Scheduling Algorithm for Commercial Grids," International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Multimedia Applications (ICCIMA 2007), Sivakasi, Tamil Nadu, pp. 545-551. doi: 10.1109/ICCIMA.2007.281
- [10] Mingzhong Wang, Kotagiri Ramamohanarao and Jinjun Chen, 2009, "Trust-based robust scheduling and runtime adaptation of scientific workflow", Concurrency Computat.: Pract. Exper; v2.02, pp.1–17