

International Journal of Economic Research

ISSN: 0972-9380

available at http: www.serialsjournals.com

© Serials Publications Pvt. Ltd.

Volume 14 • Number 17 • 2017

Investment Analysis in Free Trade Zone Batam Island, Indonesia

Andreas Widhatama Kurniawan¹, Made Kembar Sri Budhi², I Nyoman Mahaendra Yasa³ and I G.W. Murjana Yasa⁴

Faculty of Economics and Business at Postgraduate Program, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia E-mails: widhatama@gmail.com¹; Kacung_dobel@yahoo.com²; mahaendrayasa@yahoo.com³; murjanayasa@gmail.com⁴

Abstract: Free Trade Zone Batam is enacted in Batam Island, Indonesia since year 2009, in Riau Islands Province located in Golden Triangle between Singapore, Johor and Riau. This study analyzes the influence of Investment, Infrastructure, Local and Foreign Labor againts Net export and Economic Growth of Batam Island, Indonesia from year 2009 to 2015. This research is supported by theory of Economic Growth, Investment, Export, Labor, Free Trade Zone concept and previous empirical studies. Quarterly Time Series Data from year 2009 to 2015 are analyzed by Multiple Linear Regression Method and Path analysis with E-Views version 9. The analysis results are: 1) Infrastructure, investment, local and foreign labor alltogether has a significant relation on net export, 2) Infrastructure, local and foreign labor investments and net export alltogether has significant relation on economic growth, 3) Net export is not as a mediator variable for infrastructure, investment, local and foreign labor, againts economic growth, 4) The existence of Free Trade Zone of Batam Island shows an increase in investment from year to year as well as net export and followed by achievement of economic growth at level 6.75 percent by year 2015. To increase future investment, it is recommended that regulatory control layes in one government body only and with more promising legal jurisdiction. In addition, special economic discretion is required to create more attractive offers to future foreign investors such as tax insentives.

Keywords: Investment, Free Trade Zone Batam Island Indonesia.

I. INTRODUCTION

Batam Island, Indonesia is a less developed island region since it was established as a bonded zone by the Indonesian government in year 1971. Since year 2009 the Indonesian government has enacted Batam Island as a Free Trade Zone (FTZ) area under Government Regulation No.2 / 2009. The FTZ area of Batam Island is located in the province of Kepulauan Riau as shown in Figure 1.1 and 1.2.



Figure 1.1: Batam Island on the Indonesia Map

Source: http://www.maharprastowo.com/2010/09/pelajaran-untuk-malaysia.html




Figure 1.2: Location of FTZ Batam Island in Kepulauan Riau Province

Source: http://sampuawaltosilajara.blogspot.co.id/2013/09/akhirnya-pajak-kendaraan-ftz-2013.html

The Free Trade Zone lies geographically at the latitude of 0 ° 25 '29' '- 1 ° 15 '00' 'N, 103 ° 34' 35 " - 104 ° 26 '04' 'E. Which is adjacent and located in the golden triangle area of SIJORI (Singapore, Johor / Malaysia and Kepulauan Riau / Indonesia).

Batam Island is designated as a Free Trade Zone (FTZ) area because: 1) The Indonesian Government designates Batam Island as a Free Trade Zone pilot project, 2) Located on the golden triangle of SIJORI, where three countries have three international ports, 3) Bilateral cooperation agreement between Indonesia and Singapore on Batam Island development, 4) The readiness of a strong management team consisting of Security Council and Executive Representative Officers to propose Batam Islands as a free trade zone and a port with facilities such as infrastucture, manpower and land that qualify Batam Islands as FTZ area.

Exemption of value added tax (VAT, 10 percent), income tax (holding tax) on imports and exports of luxury goods and the prime location and availability of supporting facilities and infrastructure will make investors willing to invest. Therefore, Indonesian government expects foreign and local investors to export the production results of its specialized activities. These investment activities will have multiplier effects and enhance the economic growth and development of Batam Island.

The following are changes in economic indicators occurred in Batam Island before and after the establishment of FTZ status starting from 2003 to 2015 (Table 1.1).

Table 1.1 Change of Economic Indicator in Batam Island in period 2003 to 2015

	Before FTZ Status									
No.	Indicator	Unit		Year						
			2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		
1.	Total Investment	Billion USD	10,28	11,53	11,89	12,42	13,08	13,66		
2.	Economic Growth	Percentage	7,73	8,28	7,60	7,47	7,52	7,18		
3.	Inflation	Percentage	4,27	4,22	14,79	4,58	4,84	8,39		
4.	Infrastructure	Billion Rupiah	216,5	240,2	247,4	255,4	284,5	314		
	Development									
5.	Net export	Billion USD	-0,11	0,36	0,31	0,9	5,03	-4,7		
6.	Population	People	562.661	591.253	685.787	713.960	724.315	899.940		
7.	Local Labor	People	185.095	221.163	221.391	252.391	252.667	261.290		
8.	Foreign Labor	People	2.747	3.097	2.988	3.464	3.347	4.490		
9.	Tax Revenue	Billion Rupiah	923,01	1.033,52	1.233,70	1.544,86	1.800	1.278,27		
10.	Locally Generated	Billion Rupiah	247,05	162,16	178,28	229,99	273,62	142,37		
	Revenue	•								
11.	Gross Regional	Trillion Rupiah	9,34	10,79	12,02	29,23	33,02	38,26		
	Domestic Product	_								

	During FTZ Status								
No.	Indicator	Unit		Year					
			2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
1.	Total Investment	Billion USD	14,10	14,59	14,92	15,69	16,47	17,71	19,83
2.	Economic Growth	Persentage	4,65	7,77	7.20	6.78	5.83	7,99	6,75
3.	Inflation	Persentage	1,88	7,40	3,76	2,02	7,81	7,61	4,73
4.	Infrastructure	Billion Rupiah	343,12	330,30	416,64	640	918	1.000	1.097
	Development								
5.	Net export	Billion USD	-1,94	-0,18	1,95	0,58	2,3	2,90	2,37
6.	Population	People	988.560	1.056.701	1.137.894	1.235.651	1.135.412	1.030.528	1.037.187
7.	Local Labor	People	260.350	288.318	313.544	330.592	330.592	330.592	350.674
8.	Foreign Labor	People	5.080	5.108	5.510	5.970	5.970	5.970	5.891
9.	Tax Revenue	Billion Rupiah	1.951,49	1.817,39	2.101,75	2.674,84	2.411,39	3.932,35	615,71
10.	Locally Generated	Billion Rupiah	155,04	161,84	335,41	409,98	606,34	359,48	845,304
	Revenue								
11.	Gross Regional	Trillion Rupiah	40,89	47,30	52,62	57,65	65,55	84,68	90,40
	Domestic Product	_							

The total investment cumulative condition at Batam Island in year 2003 (before FTZ status) is 10.28 billion USD and there is an increase of 32.8 percent in 6 years (2008). In early 2009 when FTZ status started, it reaches 14.10 billion USD and increased to 19.83 billion USD in 2015 (Figures 1.3 and 1.4). With the existence of FTZ it shows that the investment prospect on the island of Batam rises 40.6 percent from year 2009 in which the economy endures crisis period in Indonesia and until year 2015. In year 2008, the investment value of 13.66 billion USD rises insignificantly, only reaches 14.10 billion USD in year 2009 with influence of economic crisis period in Indonesia.




Figure 1.3: Total Investment of Batam Island, year 2003 to 2015 in Billion USD

Source: BPS Kota Batam, 2004-2016




Figure 1.4: Investment of Batam Island, Before and After FTZ

Development of government investment in infrastructure in Batam Island (Figure 1.5).




Figure 1.5: Government Investment in Infrastructure Development in Batam Island, year 2003 to 2015 in Billion Rupiah

Source: BPS Kota Batam, 2004-2016

Development of local labor in Batam Island (Figure 1.6)




Figure 1.6: Number of Local labor in Batam Island, year 2003 to 2015

Development of foreign labor in Batam Island (Figure 1.7)




Figure 1.7: Number of Foreign labor in Batam Island, year 2003 to 2015

Source: BPS Kota Batam, 2004-2016

Total annual economic growth in Batam Island in year 2003 reaches 7.73 percent and indicates regular growth beyond 7 percent right before global economic crisis in 2008. During year 2008, Batam island economic growth records a mere 4.65 percent yet succeeded in gaining a value of 7.77 percent in 2010 with a 67 percent incremental rise. The growing trend value remains until 2012 yet decreases consistently until 2015. In general, Batam Island economy maintains growth above 6.75 percent annually in which is above national economic growth average annual values (Figure 1.8)




Figure 1.8: Economic Growth in Batam Island, year 2003 to 2015

Values of Net export (total export deducted by total Import value in certain period) in FTZ Batam Island is presented in Figure 1.9.




Figure 1.9: Net export of Batam Island, year 2003 to 2015 in Billion USD

Source: BPS Kota Batam, 2004-2016

The main obstacle faced in FTZ among others is regulatory and bureaucracy dualism to be solved between city government of Batam (Pemko Batam) and Batam management board (BP Batam). The dualism momentarely creates discomfort to investors and potential investors in running their operations in FTZ. The establishment of Batam Island as FTZ is mainly to offer hope and profit for investors. This study discusses the analysis of the effect of total investment, government investment in infrastructure, local and foreign labor againts net export and economic growth in Batam Island FTZ area starting from year 2009 to 2015.

II. HYPHOTESIS

This study source hypothetical solutions as follows.

- 1) Hypothesis 1 (H1) : Government investment for infrastructure (INF), total investment (INV), local labor (LL) and foreign labor (LA) when related alltogether has a significant relation against net export (Y) with FTZ facility being applied in Batam Island, Indonesia.
- 2) Hypothesis 2 (H2) : Government investment for infrastructure (INF), total investment (INV), local labor (LL) and foreign labor (LA) each has a direct relation against economic growth in Batam Island (Z) with FTZ facility being applied in Batam Island, Indonesia.

- 3) Hypothesis 3 (H3) : Government investment for infrastructure (INF), total investment (INV), local labor (LL), foreign labor (LA) and net export (Y) when related alltogether has a relation against economic growth in Batam Island (Z) with FTZ facility being applied in Batam Island, Indonesia.
- 4) Hypothesis 4 (H4) : Government investment for infrastructure (INF) with support of total investment (INV), local labor (LL) and foreign labor (LA) each has an indirect relation against economic growth in Batam Island (Z) through net export (Y) as intervening factor with FTZ facility being applied in Batam Island, Indonesia.

III. METHODOLOGY

Concept of the study may be illustrated as follows:




Figure 3.1: Research Concept

The concept of the research is based on variables:

Independent Variables which consists of Government Investment on Infrastructure (INF), Investment (INV), Local Labor (LL), Foreign Labor (LA) in Free Trade Zone Batam Island, 2) Intervening Variable which consists of Net export (Y) Free Trade Zone Batam Island, 3) Dependent Variables which consist of Economic Growth (Z) Free Trade Zone Batam Island and 4) Error Variable (error = e).

Relationship between variables may be illustrated on the schematic at Figure 3.2.




Figure 3.2: Relationship between Research Variables

This research uses secondary data obtained from *Biro Pusat Statistik Kota Batam* (BPS Batam) in the form of quarterly time series data with period ranging from year 2009 to 2015 in which represent empirical research variables to be analyzed.

As means to analyze the variables using statistic approach, linier regression and path analysis with software E views version 9 are used.

Following are functions of exponential equation model used in this research:

$$LnY_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}Ln \ INF_{t-1} + \beta_{2}Ln \ INV_{t-1} + \beta_{3}Ln \ LA_{t-1} + \beta_{4}LnLL_{t-1} + e_{1t}$$
(3.1)

$$LnZ_{t} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}Ln \ INF_{t-1} + \beta_{2}Ln \ INV_{t-1} + \beta_{3}Ln \ LA_{t-1} + \beta_{4}LnLL_{t-1} + \beta_{5}Ln \ Y_{t-1} + e_{2t}$$
(3.2)

In which:

*INF*_{t-1} = Total Government Investment on Infrastructure in year t-1

 INV_{t-1} = Total Investment in year t-1

 LA_{t-1} = Foreign labor in year t-1

 LL_{t-1} = Local Labor in year t-1

IV. ANALYSIS RESULT

1) Hypothesis Analysis H1

Table 4.1 Regresion of 1st Substructure

Dependent Variable: EXPNET

Method: Least Squares Date: 06/01/17 Time: 05:01 Sample: 2009Q1 2015Q4 Included observations: 28

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	2.720530	6.281715	0.433087	0.6690
INF	0.001384	0.003336	0.414880	0.6821
INV	-4.35E-06	4.41E-05	-0.098669	0.9223
LA	-0.005867	0.002189	-2.679732	0.0134
LL	9.89E-05	2.39E-05	4.132644	0.0004
R-squared	0.636538	Mean dependent v	ar	1.137793
Adjusted R-squared	0.573327	S.D. dependent var	•	2.203143
S.E. of regression	1.439098	Akaike info criterio	on	3.726342
Sum squared resid	47.63306	Schwarz criterion		3.964236
Log likelihood	-47.16879	Hannan-Quinn cri	ter.	3.799069
F-statistic	10.07007	Durbin-Watson sta	nt	1.198490
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000073			

Source: EViews 9

Table 4.1 indicates: Statistic value F which give $10,07007 > F_{-tabel}(F_{0.05;4,23}) = 2,80$ and with significant probability value of 0,000073 < 0,05. By so it is proven that: Government Investment on Infrastructure (INF), Investment (INV), Foreign Labor (LA), and Local Labor (LL) alltogether has a significant relation in statistic against Net export (Y) in FTZ Batam Island, Indonesia. Hypothesis H1 is proven.

2) Hypothesis Analysis H2

Table 4.2 Regresion Coefficient of 1st Substructure

Dependent Variable: EXPNET							
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.			
С	2.720530	6.281715	0.433087	0.6690			
INF	0.001384	0.003336	0.414880	0.6821			
INV	-4.35E-06	4.41E-05	-0.098669	0.9223			
LA	-0.005867	0.002189	-2.679732	0.0134			
LL	9.89E-05	2.39E-05	4.132644	0.0004			

Source: EViews 9

Table 4.3 Causality Analysis to Y

Path	В	S_{X}	$\mathcal{S}_{_{Y}}$	Beta	Prob	а	Results
INF → Y	0,001384	109,3551	2,2035	0,0687	0,6821	0,05	Prob > 0,05; not significant
$INV \rightarrow Y$	-0,00000435	8937,534	2,2035	-0,0176	0,9223	0,05	Prob > 0,05; not significant
$\mathrm{LA} \to \mathrm{Y}$	-0,005867	371,7775	2,2035	-0,9901	0,0134	0,05	Prob < 0,05; significant
$LL \rightarrow Y$	0,0000989	36109,97	2,2035	1,6210	0,0004	0,05	Prob < 0,05; significant

Note: b = regression coefficient

Sy = deviation standard Y

Beta = path coefficient

a = real range

 S_{v} = deviation standard X

Table 4.3 indicates:

- a) Direct relation X1 : INF to Net export gives value 0,0687 indicates positive and is not significant → H2 is not proven.
- b) Direct relation X2: INV to Net export gives value -0,0176 indicates negative and is not significant → H2 is not proven.
- c) Direct relation X3 : LA to Net export gives value -0,9901 indicates negative and is significant → H2 is proven.
- d) Direct relation X4 : LL to Net export gives value 1,6210 indicates positive and is significant → H2 is proven.
 - Hypothesis H2 is not proven for X1 (INF) and X2 (INV), but it is proven by X3 (LA) and X4 (LL).
- 3) Hypothesis Analysis H3

Table 4.4
Linier Regresion of 2nd Substructure

Dependent Variable: GROWTH

Method: Least Squares Date: 06/01/17 Time: 05:02 Sample: 2009Q1 2015Q4 Included observations: 28

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	17.99703	4.027701	4.468314	0.0002
INF	0.001144	0.002138	0.534915	0.5981
INV	-1.14E-05	2.82E-05	-0.404570	0.6897
LA	-0.006231	0.001602	-3.890528	0.0008
LL	7.57E-05	2.02E-05	3.753008	0.0011
EXPNET	-0.067013	0.133153	-0.503280	0.6198

R-squared	0.530581	Mean dependent var	6.713608
Adjusted R-squared	0.423895	S.D. dependent var	1.210751
S.E. of regression	0.918979	Akaike info criterion	2.856303
Sum squared resid	18.57950	Schwarz criterion	3.141775
Log likelihood	-33.98824	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.943575
F-statistic	4.973287	Durbin-Watson stat	1.539917
Prob (F-statistic)	0.003385		

Source: EViews 9

Table 4.4 indicates: Statistic value F which give 4,973287 > F_{tabe} 1 ($F_{0.05;22}$) = 2,66 and with significant probability value of 0,003385 < 0,05. By so it is proven that: Government Investment on Infrastructure (INF), Investment (INV), Foreign Labor (LA), Local Labor (LL), and Net export (Y) alltogether has a significant relation in statistic against Economic growth (Z) in FTZ Batam Island, Indonesia. Hypothesis H3 is proven.

4) Hypothesis Analysis H4

Table 4.5 Causality Analysis to Z

Path	В	$\mathcal{S}_{_{X}}$	S_z	Beta	Prob.	а	Results
INF → Z	0,001144	109,3551	1,2108	0,1033	0,5981	0,05	Prob > 0,05; not significant
$INV \rightarrow Z$	-0,0000114	8937,534	1,2108	-0,0841	0,6897	0,05	Prob > 0,05; not significant
$LA \rightarrow Z$	-0,006231	371,7775	1,2108	-1,9132	0,0008	0,05	Prob < 0,05; significant
$LL \rightarrow Z$	0,0000757	36109,97	1,2108	2,2576	0,0011	0,05	Prob < 0,05; significant
$EXPNET \to Z$	-0,067013	2,2035	1,2108	-0,1220	0,6198	0,05	Prob > 0,05; not significant

Table 4.5 indicates:

- a) Direct relation X1: INF to Economic growth gives value 0,1033 indicates positive and is not significant.
- b) Direct relation X2 : INV to Economic growth gives value -0,0841 indicates negative and is not significant.
- c) Direct relation X3: LA to Economic growth gives value -1,9132 indicates negative and is significant.
- d) Direct relation X4: LL to Economic growth gives value 2,2576 indicates positive and is significant.
- e) Direct relation Y: EXPNET to Economic growth gives value -0,1220 indicates negative and is not significant.

Table 4.6
Influence on Economic Growth

Variable		Influence			
	Direct to Y	Indirect to Z through Y	Direct to Z	Total to Z	Significance
X1: INF	0,0687(NS)	(0,0687x-0,1220) = -0,0084	0,1033(NS)	0,0949	not significant
X2:INV	-0,0176(NS)	(-0.0176x-0.1220) = 0.0022	-0,0841(NS)	-0,0820	not significant
X3: LA	-0,9901(**)	(-0.9901x-0.1220) = 0.1208	-1,9132(***)	-1,7924	not significant
X4: LL	1,6210(***)	(1,6210x-0,1220) = -0,1978	2,2576(***)	2,0599	not significant
Net export Value	-	-	-0,1220(NS)	-0,1220	not significant

Source: analysis results

Note: NS = Not Significant

(**) = significant on real range a= 5%

(***) = significant on real range a= 1%

Table 4.6 indicates analysis results as follows:

Indirect influence to economic growth through intervening (mediator) variable of Net export:

a) INF with result : (0.0687x-0.1220) = -0.0084 indicates negative and is not significant.

b) INV with result : (-0.0176x-0.1220) = 0.0022 indicates positive and is not significant.

c) LA with result : (-0.9901x-0.1220) = 0.1208 indicates positive and is not significant.

d) LL with result : (1,6210x-0,1220) = -0,1978 indicates negative and is not significant.

It may be concluded then that hypothesis H4 is not proven for X1: INF; X2: INV; X3: LA and X4: LL. Net export is not a mediator variable against INF, INF, LA, LL and Z (economic growth).

V. CONCLUSION & SUGGESTION

Conclusion of this research is as follows

- 1) Based on 1st substructure linear regression equation: When related together, government Investment on Infrastructure (INF), Investment (INV), Foreign Labor (LA), and Local Labor (LL) when related alltogether has a significant relation in statistic against Net export (Y) in FTZ Batam Island, Indonesia.
- 2) When related partially, government investment on infrastructure direct relation has a positive and insignificant result, investment direct relation has a negative and insignificant result, foreign labor direct relation has a negative and significant result, and local labor direct relation has a positive and significant result against net export at FTZ Batam Island, Indonesia.
- 3) Based on 2nd substructure sub linear regression equation: When related together, government investment on infrastructure, investment, local and foreign labors alltogether has a significant relation against economic growth at FTZ area batam island, Indonesia.
- 4) When related partially, government investment on infrastructure direct relation has a positive and insignificant result, investment direct relation has a negative and insignificant result, foreign

- labor direct relation has a negative and significant result, local labor direct relation has a positive and significant result, against net export at FTZ Batam island, Indonesia
- 5) Partially, government investment on infrastructure indirect relation has a negative and insignificant result, investment indirect relation has a positive and insignificant result, foreign labor indirect relation has a positive and insignificant result, local labor indirect relation has a negative and insignificant result, against net export at FTZ Batam island, Indonesia
- 6) Net export is not a mediator variable against government investment on infrastructure, investment, foreign labor, local labor, and economic growth in FTZ Batam Island, Indonesia
- 7) FTZ Batam Island from 2009 until 2015 is quite a success which is stated by increase of total investment growth up 45.16 percent, net export rises 256.83 percent with an economic growth rate of 5.98 percent by the end of year 2015
- 8) The potential loss of taxes for Indonesia Central government due to the enactment of FTZ is calculated in approximated amount of 304.69 billion Rupiah in period of 7 (seven) years fro 2009-2015 yet still provides significant benefits to the development and economic growth of Batam Island, Indonesia.

Suggestion of this research is as follows

- 1) Immediately end dualism policy and bureaucracy between the city government of Batam (Pemko Batam) and Batam management board (BP Batam) and creates one single regulatory body for local regulator to govern administration and investment in Batam.
- 2) Legal certainty from one government body is necessary to make certain rules and regulations for investors.
- 3) Special economic discretion by Indonesian central government is required to facilitate investmenti FTZ Batam, and to warrant effectiveness of investment with interesting policies, benefits, tax insentives, and tax holiday especially for Foreign Investment Companies.

VI. LIMITATION OF STUDY

This research is limited by obtained existing economic secondary data from year 2003 onwards and data after the establishment of FTZ, year 2009 to 2015. The analysis of this research is limited to the variables used and proposed hypothesis in this study. This research process was conducted from June 2016 to December 2016.

ACKNOWLEDMENTS

The outhor wishes to express highest gratitude to Pof. Dr. Drs. Made Kembar Sri Budhi, M.P., Dr. I Nyoman Mahaendra Yasa, SE., M.Si and Dr. I G.W. Murjana Yasa from Faculty of Economics and business at Postgraduate Program, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia, as his dissertation promoter and 1st and 2nd co-promoters respectively as for their sincere guidance and advise for the completion of this paper.

Appreciation and gratitude are also expressed to reviewer and publisher, who has been providing for publishing this paper. The author also expresses gratitude to Drs Supriyadi M.Akt who has given huge assistance for econometrics aspect of this paper. Nevertheless, the author feels and remains responsible for any error and omission left in the paper.

REFERENCES

- Al Bataineh, Ibrahem Mohamed. (2012), The Impact of Government on Economic Growth in Jordan. *Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, Vol. 4 No. 6.
- Anwar, Khairul, (2014), Dinamika Pelaksanaan Kawasan Perdagangan Bebas & Pelabuhan Bebas (Free Trade Zone) Batam.
- Aritonang, Adiwan F. (2009), A Comparative Study on Free Trade Zone: Development Through Spatial Economic Concertration.
- Arsyad, Lincolin. (2010), Ekonomi Pembangunan. Edisi 5. Yogyakarta: UPP. STIM YKPN.
- Badan Pusat Statistik Kota Batam. (2004-2016), Batam Dalam Angka. Batam: BPS Batam.
- Bangun, Rindang Prasetyo. dan Firdaus, Muhammad. (2009), Pengaruh Infrastruktur Pada Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Wilayah Di Indonesia. Institut Pertanian Bogor.
- Bappenas, (2016), Paket Kebijakan Ekonomi. http://www.bappenas.go.id/id/data-dan-informasi-utama/publikasi/paket-kebijakan-ekonomi retrivied 11 June 2016.
- Bishop, Sangeeta; Parrott, Christine; Martie; Chuck. (2014), *Kaplan AP Macroeconomics/ Microeconomics*. New York: Kaplan Publishing.
- Dodge, Eric R. 2010 2011. AP Microeconomics/Macroeconomics. New York: Publisher: McGraw-Hill.
- Firmansyah. (2012), The Dynamism of Indonesia-Singapore Bilateral Investment Cooperation: The Case of Free Trade Zone in Batam 2005-2009.
- Hidayah, Nimah. (2005), Pembentukan Free Trade Zone (FTZ) di Indonesia: studi kasus pembentukan FTZ Batam.
- Hidayat, Syarif. (2009), Implementasi FTZ di Kepulauan Riau: Aspek Kelembagaan & Pengaturan Relasi Kewenagan.
- Joshi, Rakesh Mohan, (2005), *International Marketing*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi and New York. ISBN 0-19-567123-6.
- Oktari, Erliza. (2014), Administrative Reform of Batam Free Zone Authority (BIFZA) in implementing free trade zone (FTZ) in Batam city Indonesia.
- Pancawati, Neni. (2000), Pengaruh Rasio Kapital-Tenaga Kerja, Tingkat Pendidikan, StokKapital dan Pertumbuhan Penduduk Terhadap Tingkat Pertumbuhan GDP Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Indonesia*. 15 (02).
- Paudel, Ramesh Chandra. (2009), Foreign Debt, Trade Openness, Labor Force and Economic Growth: Evidence from Sri Lanka. ICFAI *Journal of Applied Economics*, Vol. 8 No. 1.
- Safdari, Mehdi. (2012), Importance of Quality of Labour Force on Economic Growth in Iran. University of Qom.
- Sandhika, Ardyan Wahyu; Hendarto, Mulyo. (2012), Analisis Pengaruh Aglomerasi, Tenaga Kerja, Jumlah Penduduk, Dan Modal Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Kabupaten Kendal. *DIPONEGORO Journal of Economic*, Vol 1, No. 1.
- Stimson, R. J.; Stough, R. R.; Roberts, B. H. (2006), Regional Economic Development: Analysis and Planning Strategy. Revised Edition. Berlin: Springer.
- Suryanto, Dwi. (2010), Analisis Pengaruh Tenaga Kerja, Tingkat Pendidikan, dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Di Subosuka Wonosraten Tahun 2004-2008. Universitas Diponegoro.
- Swaramarinda, Darma Rika; Indriani, Susi. (2011), Pengaruh Pengeluaran Konsumsi Dan Investasi Pemerintah Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Di Indonesia. *Econosains* Universitas Negeri Jakarta Volume IX, Nomor 2.
- Todaro, Michael P.; Smith, Stephen C. (2006), *Pembangunan Ekonomi*. Alih Bahasa Munandar, Jilid 1 dan 2, Gelora Aksara Pertama.
- Widhatama Kurniawan, Andreas. (2016a), Current Issues: Investment Types and Characteristics on the Free Trade Zone Pulau Batam in Accelerating Economic Development. Faculty of Economics and Business at Postgraduate Program, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia. unpublished.
- . (2016b), Current Issues: The Function of Tax in Country Development includes Free Trade Zone Area Efforts Conducted for Increasing the Tax Revenue. Faculty of Economics and Business at Postgraduate Program, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia. unpublished.

- ———. (2016c), Current Issues: Advantages and Disadvantages of the Implementation of the Free Trade Zone for Developing Countries and the Readiness in Facing Competition. Faculty of Economics and Business at Postgraduate Program, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia. unpublished.
- ———. (2017), A Dissertation: The influence of Investment, Infrastructure, Local and Foreign Labors and Net export on Economic Growth of Batam Island, Indonesia. Faculty of Economics and Business at Postgraduate Program, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia. unpublished.
- Yuliarmi, Nyoman. (2008), Pengaruh Konsumsi Rumah Tangga, Investasi dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah Terhadap PDRB Propinsi Bali. *Buletin Studi Ekonomi*, Vol. 13, No. 2.
- Zaenuddin, Muhammad. (2012), Kajian Free Trade Zone (FTZ) Batam-Bintan-Karimun (permasalahan, Implemetasi dan solusinya).
- Zulfahmi, Adrian Sutawijaya. (2010), Pengaruh Ekspor Dan Investasi Terhadap Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Indonesia Tahun 1980-2006. *Jurnal Organisasi dan Manajemen*, Vol. 6 No. 1.

http://www.maharprastowo.com/2010/09/pelajaran-untuk-malaysia.html

http://sampuawaltosilajara.blogspot.co.id/2013/09/akhirnya-pajak-kendaraan-ftz-2013.html

Attachment 1
Potential Loss of Taxes due to Batam Free Trade Zone, year 2009 to 2015 (Billion USD)

Year	Total Exports	Total Imports	Net export	Total Exim
2009	5,75	7,69	-1,94	13,44
2010	8,48	8,66	-0,18	17,14
2011	11,55	9,60	1,95	21,15
2012	10,72	10,14	0,58	20,86
2013	11,75	9,45	2,3	21,2
2014	11,30	8,40	2,9	19,70
2015	9,17	6,80	2,37	15,97
				129,46

Potential Loss of Taxes due to Free Trade Zone, year 2009 to 2015 is

15% x 129,46 billion USD = **19,42 billion USD**