CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT IN E-TAILING: ANTECEDENTS, OUTCOMES AND IMPLICATIONS

Vijay G. Dhamore* and B. Balaji**

Abstract: India is witnerssing a encouraging surge in electronic commerce and its citizens are becoming more asffluent enough to indulge in online shopping. Virtual communities and Social Networking Sites have a considerable influence on information-seekers and online shoppers. This study ascertained online shoppers' percptions about e-tailer customer engagement and the consequent outcomes. A survey of 544 e-tail customers was instrumental in analysing facets of customer engagement in an online servicescape.

Keywords: Customer Engagement, Virtual Communities, Online Retail Servicescape, Loyalty.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Customer Engagement

CE Management has been found to yeild bounty like (i) Mutual inderstanding and trust, (ii) fostering and retention of profitable customer segments, (ii) reduction in operational expenditures, (iv) less targeting and promotion, (v) encouragement of better affinity and advocacy, (vi) opportunities for up-selling and croos-selling, and (vii) less switching and customer defections (Goyal and Srivastava, 2015).

Lewis (2013) attempted to comprehend the success of social media campaigns through the use of consumer engagement by exploring how consumer marketing companies connect with their publics on social media on a daily basis. A review of academic and market based literature highlighted the historical and background components of social media and consumer engagement. Based on the relevant literature on consumer engagement, social media sites, social media marketing and campaigns from communication practitioners, this study helped established ways to conduct real social media campaigns. This study identified the strategies and tactics that were beneficial to certain campaigns and which ones did not help or hindered campaigns. In addition to that this study looked at the relationship between companies' social media pages and their publics, and how those relationships related to companies social media campaigns.

^{*} Doctoral Research Scholar, R & D Centre, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore & Faculty, Allana Institute of Management Sciences, Pune.

^{**} Professor, Shree Gurukripa Institute of Finance & Management, Chennai.

Zakir (2013) started with the motive to identify how male's involvement in video games could be used to create brand engagement among consumers. The study employed observation, in-depth interviews and survey to collect data from a group of male gaming enthusiasts. Dominance, social interaction and masculinity were the factors that male gamers associate with gaming. The research explored that to attract the male market segment, brand managers need to incorporate attributes in their brand positioning that that creates anxiety, curiosity and attraction among them, attributes that motivates the consumers and shows them the challenges and goals of life. The earlier theoretical discussion on engagement has focused on the traditional methods, but as discussed in the introduction that the concept of engagement is multidisciplinary with its foundations in psychology; the current study discussed a different perspective for academicians and managers in understanding how brand managers could create engagement among male consumers.

Zailskaite-Jakste & Kuvykaite (2012) consumer engagement in brand equity building in social media is analyzed from company's and consumer's positions. According to this study, it is important for a company to be aware of the actions that can encourage consumer engagement in social media, building the brand awareness, positive image and consumer loyalty for brand. Consumer engagement depends on their will. A consumer will be engaged when will be creatively motivate him to engage, he will be encouraged by other consumers or receive some benefits. This study found that consumers will become engaged when they are creatively motivated by the brand to get engaged, are encouraged by other consumers or receive certain benefits for active communication in consumer opinion platforms. It was suggested that this model can also be applied to the enhancement of the brand equity of brands operating in physical space when they communicate in social media.

Malcuite (2012) attempted to define and understand the potential outcomes of customer engagement. This study aimed to bridge the gap by proposing a conceptual model of customer brand engagement in the context of online social media platforms and conducting an empirical analysis. This study delivered a thorough investigation of the concept and offered empirical evidence of its impact on the ultimate business performance. The most important findings of this study suggested that both customer brand relationship related factors and online social media platform related factors can influence the level of customer engagement, which in turn would influence the level of behavioural loyalty and the spread of word-of-mouth communication. This study was an important contribution to academic marketing literature in the field of customer engagement, which still remained mostly conceptual or qualitative, and provides useful managerial insights for marketing practitioners. The findings of the study demonstrated that how customer engagement was formed in this particular context and what outcomes

were to be expected, which present important implications for both marketing theory and practice.

A deep emotional bond would be a proactive initiative and would serve the purpose of comprehending the needs of the consumer. These and other activities ultimately emante to foster a CE strategy. However, as tricky as it may seem, firms would initially falter but would eventually get on tract to not just engaging customers but making them brand advocates. (Williams, 2007).

E-tailers need to understand the fact that desirability of a firm or its brand alone would not suffice. Greater thought needs to be applied in the concept of interest and continued association. Three elemts are of paramount importance, namely, physical, emotional and psychological engagement (Shevlin, 2006).

Life-time value of customers is the recent buzz in the retailing servicescape and therefore e-tailers need to step the pedal to ensure an experience that encompasses worthiness of efforts, money, time and loyalty (Smith and Rutigliano, 2003).

1.2. Virtual Communities

Wirtz *et al.* (2013) explored online brand communities (OBCs) from both consumer and company perspectives. This study highlights the importance of OBCs in facilitating networks of relationships between providers, consumers and users who are united by the value they attach to engaging in a relationship with a brand. Four dimensions of OBCs were identified as significantly shaping their nature, namely brand orientation, internet-use, funding and governance. This study also examined key factors surrounding OBCs from the corporate perspective. The study concluded that companies were proactive in supporting the establishment and development of their OBCs as they provide valuable insights into the way customers perceive their products. Such an insight allows for the development of new products embedded with greater value, and promotes a more customer-centric company culture in which close customer relationships are nurtured in the interest of increasing sales.

Gatautis & Kazakeviciute (2012) carried out to study consumer behaviour in online social networks. The number of online social users grows very fast and socialization became most important activity. Companies deploy online social networks, platforms, tools and services in various activities aiming to create better experience for consumers which suppose to lead for loyalty, better branding and increase of sales. As social media networks and platforms represent new phenomena to practitioners and researches, there are only few attempts approaching consumers' behaviour in online social networks. In conclusion, growing number of social networking sites and consumer preferences to spend more time in online social networking sites brings challenges both for researchers

and practitioners attempting to understand consumer behaviour. The increasing attention to this area was followed by many researches which touches different issues and presents various fragments of consumer behaviour concept. Taking these initiatives in consideration the framework structurizing research basing on time and complexity was proposed.

Cantone *et al.* (2012) presented the main evidences to explore for some Italian cult brands, the drivers and the contents of consumer brand engagement in a digital environment. This study informed that the new digital applications like digital brand communities, social networking, gaming, user web generated content, in fact allow the companies to stimulate more easily consumer brand engagement in digital environment and consumers to activate spontaneous and independent initiatives involving the brand engagement and the interactions with other consumers. The findings revealed that the consumer engagement and brand engagement were enabled by the multiple digital interactive technologies, and in particular, by social network applications. It was concluded that the amount of qualitative information gatherable by this digital interaction channel was very large, and therefore, for mining this big qualitative data was useful to have a set of methodologies which permit to do it effectively and efficiently.

The World Wide Web has achieved a new avatar in the form of 'Web 2.0; a term referring to its evolution in the twenty-first century. Digital marketing and technologies have given a cutting-edge option to enhance the audio-visual experience (Eijkman, 2008; Levy, 2007).

Virtual social networking sites further aid the brand awareness (Balim and Dogerlioglu, 2011). Companies need to harvest the rapidely changing and dynamic Web tools to stay ahead in the rat race. Brand outcomes like image and reputation are emerging as favourite influencers.

Virtual communities not just help in seeking information about companies and brands but also help in positioning and strengthening the brand. It is advocated thatbrand communities must be considered as a corporate strategy and not just as mere marketing strategy (Fournier and Lee, 2009). Positive virtal communities have a ripple effectd in terms of member commitment and brand loyalty (Hur *et al.*, 2011). Members in online communities just do not join for knowledge sharing but more for socialising and gaining acceptrance (Dholakia and Algesheimer, 2009). The interest in such communities can be held only by ensuring that content is interesting, relevant and synchronous with group's objectives and norms (Godes *et al.*, 2005).

1.3. Virtual Retailing

A recent study (Dyun, 2015) across th globe and focussing on virtual shoppers' expectations revealed that a quarter of purchase are made online and the preference

for online shopping would be stronger in future. There is a growing interest in shopping online with foreign e-tailers. The critical influencers of online shopping were found to be the e-tailer website quality and speed of performance. Websites weree expected to load within three seconds. They also expressed that experiences vary depending upon the servicescape, namely, stores, online and mobile devices. Respondents also sought the same kind of experience on mobile devices too.

Online shopping experiences have reasons beyond just purchases. This kind of consumerism seeks opportunities for socialising online, sharing events, gaining knowledge and acting as brand ambassadors. Purchases by virtual community members, based on other members' recommendations, enhance societal value and prestige. Such engaging activities also act as stress-busters. Innovative and integrated experience management is what retailers need to capitalise on (KPMG, 2012).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Objectives

The objective of the research was to employ Causal research design. Hence a frameowrk was developed with virtual customer engagement as the main construct.

2.2. Research Gaps

Shopping in a virtual environment is still battling for credibility in India. Research on antecedents and motivators is in its nascent stage in India. The influence of virtual communities including Social Networking Sites (SNS) also needs to be deeply investigated. Perceived risk, trust, privacy and socila identity have been studied, as indicated by literature. Dimensions of customer engagement in an online setting is of tropical interest. The conequents of overall customer engagement are also dealth with in this study, albeit in a new angle, thereby avoiding obsession with outcomes like profitability and organisational performance.

The primary data was sourced from online retail shoppers in India. Deliberate sampling ensured that only those online shoppers who were members in virtual communities / Social Networking Sites (SNS) were surveyed using a strucrured questionnaire. The usable sample size was 544 respondents.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Virtua; Customer Engagement

The Virtual Customer Engagement (VCE) score was computed by aggregating the rating scores for varaibles like Identification, Attention, Absorption, Enthusiasm

and Interaction. The number of respondents and mean rating are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Mean Rating for VCE variables

Variable	No	of Respond	ents (Frens	iencu)	Mean
rmmon	SD .	MD	MA	SA	Rating*
When someone criticizes this e-tailer, it feels like a personal insult.	151	148	174	71	2.30
I am very interested in what others think about this e-tailer.	116	91	232	105	2.60
When I talk about this e-tailer, I usually say we rather than they.	139	114	209	82	2.43
When someone praises this e-tailer, it feels like a personal compliment.	116	102	196	130	2.62
I spend a lot of my discretionary time thinking about this e-tailer.	175	68	230	71	2.36
I am heavily into this e-tailer.	150	103	184	107	2.46
I am passionate about this e-tailer.	128	114	195	107	2.52
I spend a lot of time thinking about this e-tailer.	151	124	210	59	2.33
Anything related to this e-tailer grabs my attention	92	102	267	83	2.63
When I am interacting with the e-tailer, I forget everything else around me.	151	148	209	36	2.24
When interacting with the e-tailer, it is difficult to detach myself.	150	160	174	60	2.26
When interacting with the e-tailer intensely, I feel happy.	105	112	267	60	2.52
I am someone who enjoys interacting with like-minded others in the virtual/ e-tailer community.	58	103	312	71	2.73
In general, I like to get involved in virtual/e-tailer community discussions.	105	80	251	108	2.67

SD=Strongly Disagree; MD=Moderately Disagree; MA=Moderately Agree; SA=Strongly Agree; *Rating out of 4.

3.2. E-tailer Advocacy and Affinity

The consequents of VCE were E-tailer Advocacy (EAV) and E-tailer Affinity (EAF). These constructs were synonyms for online propagation and (loyalty. The number of respondents and mean rating are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2 Mean Rating for EAV variables

Variable	No. of Respondents (Frequency)			Mean	
	SD	MD	MA	SA	Rating*
I will recommend this e-tailer.	11	79	302	152	3.09
I am proud to tell others I am a customer of this e-tailer.	58	80	323	83	2.79

Table 3 Mean Rating for EAF variables

Variable	No.	of Respond	ents (Frequ	иепсу)	Mean
	SD	MD	MA	SA	Rating*
The likelihood to search for goods from this e-tailer is high.	12	80	345	107	3.01
I am likely to purchase products from this e-tailer.	12	58	344	130	3.09

3.3. Socio-Demographics

The socio-demographic profile of survey respondents is presented in Table 4.

Table 4 Socio-demographics of respondents

Socio-Demographics	Categories	Frequency (N=544)
Gender	Male	464
	Female	80
Age (years)	21-30	188
,	31-40	311
	Above 40	45
Occupation	Private/Government service	497
_	Self-employed	24
	Not working	23
Monthly Income (INR)	Up to 20,000	11
	20,001 - 40,000	151
	Above 40,000	359
	No Income	23
Usgae of SNS (years)	Up to 1	11
	1-3	116
	Above 3	417
Length (Period) of Online	Up to 1	194
Shopping (years)	1-3	173
	Above 3	177

3.4. Hypotheses Testing

3.4.1. Influence of Gender

 H_{01} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shoppers' gender groups with respect to perception about virtual customer engagement (VCE), etailer advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF) (Table 5).

Table 5 Hypothesis Testing for H₀₁

Variable	Category	Size	t value	p value
VCE	Male	464	1.563	0.125
	Female	80		
EAV	Male	464	6.136	0.000
	Female	80		
EAF	Male	464	4.229	0.000
	Female	80		

3.4.2. Influence of Age

 H_{02} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shoppers' age groups with respect to perception about virtual customer engagement (VCE), etailer advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF) (Table 6).

Table 6 Hypothesis Testing for H₀₂

			* -	
Variable	Category	Size	F value	p value
VCE	21-30	188	1.541	0.215
	31-40	311		
	Above 40	45		
EAV	21-30	188	1.001	0.368
	31-40	311		
	Above 40	45		
EAF	21-30	188	18.673	0.000
	31-40	311		
	Above 40	45		

3.4.3. Influence of Occupation

 H_{03} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shoppers' occupation groups with respect to perception about virtual customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF) (Table 7).

Table 7 Hypothesis Testing for H₀₃

Variable	Category	Size	F value	p value
VCE	Private / Government service	497	28.531	0.000
	Self-employed	24		
	Not working	23		
EAV	Private / Government service	497	51.448	0.000
	Self-employed	24		
	Not working	23		
EAF	Private / Government service	497	9.861	0.000
	Self-employed	24		
	Not working	23		

3.4.4. Influence of Monthly Income

 H_{04} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shoppers' monthly income groups with respect to perception about virtual customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF) (Table 8).

Table 8 Hypothesis Testing for H_{04}

Variable	Category	Size	F value	p value
VCE	Up to 20,000	11	10.196	0.000
	20,001 - 40,000	151		
	Above 40,000	359		
	No Income	23		
EAV	Up to 20,000	11	33.053	0.000
	20,001 - 40,000	151		
	Above 40,000	359		
	No Income	23		
EAF	Up to 20,000	11	10.947	0.000
	20,001 - 40,000	151		
	Above 40,000	359		
	No Income	23		

3.4.5. Influence of SNS

 H_{05} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shopper SNS usage groups with respect to perception about virtual customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF) (Table 9).

Table 9 Hypothesis Testing for H_{05}

Variable	Category	Size	F value	p value
VCE	Up to 1	11	27.088	0.000
	1-3	116		
	Above 3	417		
EAV	Up to 1	11	26.429	0.000
	1-3	116		
	Above 3	417		
EAF	Up to 1	11	8.146	0.000
	1-3	116		
	Above 3	417		

3.4.6. Influence of Shopping Duration

 H_{0c} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shopping duration groups with respect to perception about virtual customer engagement (VCE), etailer advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF) (Table 10).

Table 10 Hypothesis Testing for H_{06}

Variable	Category	Size	F value	p value
VCE	Up to 1	194	18.282	0.000
	1-3	173		
	Above 3	177		
EAV	Up to 1	194	11.832	0.000
	1-3	173		
	Above 3	177		
EAF	Up to 1	194	1.654	0.192
	1-3	173		
	Above 3	177		

3.4.7. *Summary*

The results of null hypotheses tested using statistical tools is summarised in Table 11.

4. CONCLUSION

Virtual customer engagement is impacted by perception of various groups based on occupation, monthly income, SNS usage, and virtual shopping duration. Etailer Advocacy is impacted by perception of various groups based on gender, occupation, monthly income, SNS usage, and virtual shopping duration. E-tailer

Table 11 Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Test	Null Hypothesis	Result
t-test	H ₀₁ : There is no statistically significant	Accepted for VCE.
	difference between online shoppers' gender	Rejected for EAV and
	groups with respect to perception about	EAF as p value is
	virtual customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer	significant at 1% level.
	advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF).	
One-way	H_{02} : There is no statistically significant difference	Accepted for VCE and
ANOVA	between online shoppers' age groups with	EAV. Rejected for EAF as
	respect to perception about virtual customer	p value is significant at
	engagement (VCE), e-tailer advocacy (EAV)	1% level.
	and e-tailer affinity (EAF).	
One-way	H_{03} : There is no statistically significant difference	Rejected as p value is
ANOVA	between online shoppers' occupation groups	significant at 1% level.
	with respect to perception about virtual	
	customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer advocacy	
	(EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF).	D 1 1 .
One-way	H_{04} : There is no statistically significant difference	Rejected as p value is
ANOVA	between online shoppers' monthly income	significant at 1% level.
	groups with respect to perception about virtual	
	customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer advocacy	
0	(EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF).	Daigated as a value is
One-way	H_{05} : There is no statistically significant	Rejected as p value is
ANOVA	difference between online shopper SNS usage	significant at 1% level.
	groups with respect to perception about	
	virtual customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer	
One-way	advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF).	Rejected for VCE and
ANOVA	H_{06} : There is no statistically significant difference between online shopping duration	RAV as p value is
ANOVA	groups with respect to perception about	significant at 1% level.
	virtual customer engagement (VCE), e-tailer	Accepted for RAF.
	advocacy (EAV) and e-tailer affinity (EAF).	recepted for ivar.
	advocacy (Env) and c-tanci annity (EAT).	

affinity is impacted by perception of various groups based on gender, age, occupation, monthly income, and SNS usage. This analysis has significant implication for marketers. Different socio-demographic variables have differing impact on perceptions of online shoppers. Hence marketers must evolve strategies to address all types of socio-demographic groups and must diligently use social media. The ultimate aim should be to facilitate shoppers to becoming e-tailer (brand) advocates.

References

Balim, B., Dogerlioglu, O., (2011), "Usage of Web 2.0 tools for ubiquitous enterprises". The Journal of American Academy of Business, 17, No. 1, pp. 202–208.

- Cantone, L., Testa, P., Agrillo, G., (2012), "Consumer Brand Engagement Exploration in Digital Environment: An Empirical Research on an Italian Cult Brand". Working paper, Faculty of Business, University of Naples Federico II.
- Dholakia, U.M., Algesheimer, R., (2009), "Brand community"., accessed at http://papers.ssrn.com [January 14, 2015].
- Dyn, (2015), "Global Consumer Online Shopping Expectations"., accessed at www.dyn.com [March 24, 2015].
- Eijkman, H., (2008), "Web 2.0 as a non-foundational network-centric learning space". Campus-Wide Information Systems, 25, No. 2, pp. 93-104.
- Fournier, S., Lee, L., (2009), "Getting brand communities right". Harvard Business Review, 14, No. 4, pp. 105-111.
- Gatautis, R.. Kazakeviciute, A., (2012), "Consumer Behaviour in Online Social Networks: Review and Future Research Directions". Economics and Management, 17, No. 4, pp. 1457-1463.
- Godes, D., Mayzlin, D., Chen, Y., Das, S., Dellarocas, C., Pfeiffer, B., Libai, B., Sen, S., Shi, M., Verlegh, P., (2005), "The firm's management of social interactions". Marketing Letters, 16, No. 34, pp. 415-428.
- Goyal, Ela, Srivastava, Sanjukta, (2015), "Study on Customer engagement model: Bankinmg sector". SIES Journal of Management, 11, No. 1, pp. 51-58.
- Hur, W M., Ahn, K H., Kim, M., (2011), "Building brand loyalty through managing brand community commitment". Management Decision, 49, No. 7, pp. 1194-1213.
- KPMG International (2012), "Issues monitor sharing knowledge on topical issues in the retail industry"., accessed at www.kpmg.com [November 12, 2014].
- Levy, M., (2007), "WEB 2.0 implications on knowledge management". Journal of Knowledge Management, 13, No. 1, pp. 120-134.
- Lewis, S R. (2013), "Consumer Engagement Relationships in Social Media Campaigns". Master thesis submitted in Faculty of the AU School of Communication, American University.
- Malciute, J., (2012), "Customer Brand Engagement on Online Social Media Platforms: A Conceptual Model and Empirical Analysis". Master Thesis submitted in Business and Social Sciences, Aarhus University.
- Shevlin, R., (2006), accessed at http://marketingwhims.blogspot.com [December 21, 2015].
- Smith, B., Rutigliano, T., (2003), "Building Customer Engagement"., Web Exclusive Article, accessed at The Gallup Organization http://gmj.gallup.com [September 12, 2014].
- Williams, B., (2007), "Engage me...the voice of your customer"., accessed at http://www.engagemenow.com [December 18, 2014].
- Wirtz, J., Ramaseshan, B., Klundert, J., Canli, Z.G., Knadampully, J., (2013), "Managing Brands and Customer Engagement in Online Brand Communities". Journal of Service Management, 24, No. 3, pp. 223-244.
- Zailskaite-Jakste, L., Kuvykaite, R., (2012), "Consumer Engagement in Social Media by Building the Brand". Electronic International Interdisciplinary Conference, Section 2 Marketing, pp. 194-202.
- Zakir, M. M., (2013), "Brand Engagement: Insights and Learning from other Discipline". Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 4, No. 11, pp. 609-614.