

# Effects of Packaging Films on Sensory Aspects of Fresh Sweet Corn Kernels at Different Storage Conditions

# Pramodini More<sup>1</sup>, Trupti Mhaske<sup>2</sup> and Sukanya Housalmal<sup>3</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** The present study was undertaken at the department of Agricultural Process Engineering, VNMKV, Parbhani. Study was used to evaluate storability of the sweet corn kernels which packed in packaging films i.e. met pet polypack, polyethylene bag; plastic punnet, HDPE bag, LDPE bag and EPS tray under room and refrigerated storage (4°C and 90% RH) conditions. Sensory attributes of stored sweet corn kernels such as colour, texture, flavour and overall acceptability, LDPE packaging film showed better results among all packaging materials at both storage conditions.

Keywords: sweet corn, packaging films, storage condition, total plate count, sensory evaluation.

Sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) is an annual grass of the Poaceae (Grass) family. Its taste and nutritional value has made it a valued crop in all countries. In Indian Agriculture, sweet corn occupies a prominent position and each part of the sweet corn plant is put to one or the other use and nothing goes as waste. In India, over 85 per cent of the sweet corn production is used as food. Most commonly used forms are chapattis, porridges of various forms, boiled or roasted green ears, breakfast foods like corn flakes and pop corn.

Fresh-cut vegetables deteriorate faster than intact produce as a direct result of the wounding associated with processing, which leads to a number of physical and physiological changes affecting the quality of the produce (Brecht, 1995; Saltveit, 1997). Maintenance of low temperature throughout the postharvest chain plays a pivotal role in controlling microbial growth either by retarding the microbe's activity or by enhancing the produce quality by delaying ripening and senescence (Heard, 1999). Sensory attributes such as sweetness and characteristic aroma are the most important indicators of shelf life from the consumer's point of view. The challenge in fresh-cut vegetable is to maintain the taste and aroma attributes of the original whole product. As sweet corn is a very perishable product due its high respiration rate, it results in a quick loss of the sweetness (the most important characteristic of sweet corn) unless it was

rapidly cooled and stored at a low temperature (as close to  $0 \,^{\circ}$ C as possible).

Nowadays there is increased acceptance and demand for fresh-cut fruits and vegetables (sometimes called minimally processed or ready-toeat produce) for many reasons such as their convenience, perceived high nutritional value, and freshness. Hence, the present work was done to assess the sensory attributes of sweet corn kernels packed in different packaging film under ambient and refrigeration conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Sample Preparation**

Freshly harvested sweet corns (Var. local) were procured in the morning hours from local farmer near the Parbhani city. Fresh corns were husked and kernels were removed from sweet corn cob. Clean samples were taken for experiment and packed in different packaging materials i.e. met pet polypack, polyethylene bag; plastic punnet, HDPE bag, LDPE bag, EPS tray.

#### Storage of samples

**Ambient (Room temperature)-** The sweet corn kernels were packed in different packaging materials and were kept in laboratory for storage.

<sup>\*</sup> Department of Agricultural Process Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering and Technology, VNMKV, Parbhani (Maharashtra) INDIA

Refrigerated (S2): Refrigerated storage was used to store the sweet corn kernels for predetermined temperature at 4°C and 90% relative humidity for storage period of ten days (Avila et al., 2007).

#### Sensory analysis

Sensory evaluation of the sweet corn kernel was carried out by 10 panelists on a 9 point hedonic scale for different parameters such as colour, aroma, taste, texture and overall acceptability (Shao and Li, 2011).

## Statistical analysis

The sensory evaluation data was statistically analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) with significance level at p<0.05.

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

I) Effect of packaging materials on organoleptic properties of sweet corn kernels stored at refrigerated condition

#### **Colour and Texture**

From Table 1, it was observed that there was significant difference for colour and texture for all treatments. It was also observed that colour of sweet corn kernels packed in different packaging material was decreased with increase in storage period. Among the different packaging treatments, sweet corn kernels samples in treatment P<sub>5</sub> obtained good score for texture during storage. On 3rd day, sweet corn kernels of treatment  $P_{\tau}$  was discarded by judges due to due to loss in moisture which results shrinkage of kernels.

		Me	an scores fo	or sensory	attribu	te colour a	nd texture	of sweet	corn ker	nels					
Treatment	Storage Period (Days)														
			C	olour		Texture									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>)</b> 1	8.8	8.6	7.2	NE	NE	NE	9.0	8.5	7.1	NE	NE	NE			
2	8.8	8.8	8.5	7.0	NE	NE	9.0	8.7	8.4	6.9	NE	NE			
3	8.8	8.8	8.3	7.0	NE	NE	9.0	8.7	8.2	6.9	NE	NE			
4	8.8	8.8	8.6	8.3	7.0	NE	9.0	8.7	8.5	8.2	6.9	NE			
5	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.6	8.3	7.0	9.0	8.9	8.7	8.5	8.2	6.9			
6	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.6	7.0	NE	9.0	8.9	8.7	8.5	6.5	NE			
7	8.8	8.5	6.9	NE	NE	NE	9.0	8.4	6.8	NE	NE	NE			
					Anal	ysis of vari	iance								
ource	MSS		F value S.E		. C.D.		MSS	F value		S.E.	C.D.				
torage days	221.64		7779*	79* 0.036		0.101	215.5	9081.4*		0.033	0.093				
ackaging ⁄laterials (P)	46.33		1626*	5* 0.039		0.110	50.8	2	143.6*	0.036	0	.010			
ЭхР	14.1	10	495.17*	0.097	,	.0269	12.6	5	33.6*	0.088	0	.246			

**T** 11 4

NE : Not estimated due to loss of freshness.

Where,

P<sub>1</sub> : Met pet polypack P<sub>2</sub>:Polyethylene bag  $P_{A}$ : HDPE bag P<sub>5</sub>: LDPE bag

 $P_7$ : Without packaging

# Flavour and overall acceptability

From Table 2, it was observed that there was significant difference among the scores given for different treatments during storage condition for flavour and overall acceptability. Good score for flavour was obtained by the treatment  $P_{5}$  however the maximum decrease in score of flavour was in treatment  $P_{\tau}$  followed by treatment  $P_{1}$ . Hence the sample of treatment P<sub>7</sub> was not acceptable for flavour and discarded by judges.

P<sub>a</sub>: Plastic punnet P<sub>6</sub>: EPS tray

## II) Effect of packaging materials on organoleptic properties of sweet corn kernels stored at refrigerated condition:

#### **Colour and Texture**

The sensory evaluation of colour and texture of sweet corn kernels packed in different packaging material stored at refrigerated condition is tabulated in Table 3. From table 3 it was observed that there was significant difference for colour and texture for all

Effects of Packaging Films on Sensory Aspects of	Fresh Sweet Corn Kernels at Different Storage Conditions

Treatment	Storage Period (Days)														
			Fl	avour		Overall acceptability									
	0	1	2	3	4	5	0	1	2	3	4	5			
P <sub>1</sub>	9.0	8.5	6.9	NE	NE	NE	9.0	8.4	6.8	NE	NE	NE			
P <sub>2</sub>	9.0	8.7	7.9	6.9	NE	NE	9.0	8.6	7.8	6.8	NE	NE			
$P_3^2$	9.0	8.8	8.0	6.8	NE	NE	9.0	8.7	7.9	6.7	NE	NE			
$P_4^{3}$	9.0	8.8	8.4	8.0	7.2	NE	9.0	8.7	8.3	7.9	7.1	NE			
$P_5^4$	9.0	8.8	8.6	8.5	8.0	7.4	9.0	8.7	8.5	8.4	7.9	7.3			
P <sub>6</sub>	9.0	8.8	8.3	8.0	7.0	NE	9.0	8.7	8.2	7.9	6.9	NE			
P <sub>7</sub>	9.0	7.9	6.8	NE	NE	NE	9.0	7.8	6.7	NE	NE	NE			
/					Ana	lysis of vari	ance								
Source	MSS		MSS F value			C.D.	MSS	F value		S.E.	C.D.				
Storage days (D	0) 216.4		13172* 0.027		0.077		214.75	14020*		0.027	0.074				
Packaging	46.85		2852.8* 0.030			0.083	46.11	3010*		0.029	0.080				
Materials (P)															
D x P	13.71		834.9*	0.074		0.204	13.22	8	63.4*	0.071	0	.197			

 Table 2

 Mean scores for sensory attribute flavour and overall acceptability of sweet corn kernels

treatments. Among the packaging treatments, sweet corn kernels samples in treatment  $P_5$  obtained good score for texture up to  $10^{th}$  day of storage. On  $5^{th}$  day, samples of treatment  $P_7$  were discarded by judges due to loss in moisture which results shrinkage of kernels.

#### Flavour and overall acceptability

From Table 4, it was observed that there was significant difference among the scores given for different treatments during storage condition for flavour and overall acceptability. Good score for flavour was obtained by the treatment  $P_5$ . Hence the sample of treatment  $P_7$  was not acceptable for flavour and discarded by judges. The samples were discarded by judges on 5<sup>th</sup> day. Further on 6<sup>th</sup> day, sweet corn kernels samples of treatment  $P_1$  were also disliked by judges due to decrease in flavour. Visual observations for colour and appearance, texture, flavour and overall acceptability of treatment  $P_5$  was found to be better packaging material in respect of highest score of overall acceptability during the storage period of 10 days.

#### CONCLUSION

The maximum shelf life for sweet corn kernel was observed as 5 days and 10 days when stored in LDPE

bags at ambient and refrigerated conditions, respectively

#### REFERENCES

- Avila R., Borges F. and Bernaez Z. (2007), Effect of storage time under refrigeration on the cob quality of the "Delicia" super sweet hybrid (*bt1*). Rev. fac. Agron. (LUZ), 24 P: 763-779.
- Brecht, J. K. (1995), Physiology of lightly processed fruits and vegetables. HortScience, 30: 18-22.
- Heard, G. M. (1999), Microbial safety of ready-to-eat salads and minimally processed vegetables and fruits. Food Austral. 51:414-420.
- Riad G. S. and Brecht J. K. (2003), Browning of fresh-cut sweet corn kernels after cooking is prevented by controlled atmosphere storage. Acta Hort. 628, ISHS.
- Saltveit, M.E. (1997), Physical and physiological changes in minimally processed fruits and vegetables, p. 205-220. In: F.A. Tomás-Barberán and R.J. Robins (eds.). Phytochemistry of fruit and vegetables. Oxford University, Press, London.
- Shao X., Zheng Y., and Li Y. (2008), Effect of subzero temperature storage on quality of fresh sweet corn. CIGR- International Conference of Agricultural Engineering.

Storage Period (Days)	Texture	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	8.6 8.1 7.9 7.1 NE NE NE NE 8.7 8.5 8.1 7.7 7.2 NE NE	8.8 8.7 8.6 8.5 8.1 7.9 7.2 NE 8.9 8.7 8.6 8.5 8.4 8.3 8.1 7.5	8.9 8.7 8.6 8.5 8.4 8.9 8.8 8.6 8.5 8.3 8.8 8.8 8.7 7.1 NH		MSS         F value         S.E.         C.D.           176.63         15000*         0.023         0.065           89.13         7570*         0.018         0.052	15.37 1305.8* 0.062 0.173	* Significant at 5 % level Table 4 vour and overall acceptability of sweet corn kernels stored at refrigerated condition (S <sub>2</sub> ) Storage Periods (Days)	overall acceptability	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	8.7 8.4 8.0 7.5 6.9 NE NE NE NE 8.8 8.7 8.6 8.1 7.7 7.1 NE NE	8.8 8.7 8.6 8.4 8.1 7.7 7.1 NE 8.8 8.7 8.6 8.5 8.4 8.2 7.9 7.6	8.7 8.6 8.6 8.5 8.4 8.3 8.7 8.6 8.4 8.2 7.9 7.6	8.6 8.2 7.4 6.9 NE NE NE NE	nce MSS F value S.E. C.D.	1	14.67 1126.3* 0.065 0.182
		8 9 10 0	NE NE NE NE	NE NE 7.2 NE	8.1 7.8 7.4 8 7.2 NE NE 8 NF NF NF 8	Analysis of varia	C.D. 0.081 0.065	0.081 0.065 0.216	Table 4 verall acceptability of Storage P	σ	8 9 10	NE NE NE NE	NE NE 7.4 NE			Analysis of variance C.D.	0.069 0.055	0183
		6 7	NE NE 7.1 NE		8.2 8.1 8.0 7.5 NIF NIF		S.E. 0.029 0.023	0.078	te flavour and o		6 7	NE NE 7.2 NE				S.E.	0.025 0.020	0.066
	Colour	3 4 5	7.8 8.0	ocioci	8.5 8.4 8.3 8.5 8.4 8.2 8.6 7.0 MF		F value 9368.2* 4807.7*	806.73*	ue to loss of freshness. Mean scores for sensory attribute fla	Flavour	3 4 5	8.1 7.6 7.0 8.7 8.2 7.8	8.5 8.6	8.7 8.5	7.0	F value	13357* 7347*	1137 1*
		1 2 3	8.5 8.6	8.6 8.6	8.8 8.8 7 8.7 8.7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	5	MSS 172.27 88.45	14.84	due to loss of free Mean scores for		1 2 3	8.8 8.9 8.9 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8	x x x x	8. 8. 8. 8.	8.3	MSS	176.3 97.00	15 01
Treatment		0			P. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8. 8.		Source Storage days (D) Packaging	D × P	NE : Not estimated due to loss of freshness. Mean scores for sense		0			P <sub>5</sub> P <sub>5</sub> 9.0		Source	Storage days (D) Packaging	Materials (P) D × P

## Pramodini More, Trupti Mhaske and Sukanya Housalmal

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture © Serials Publications, ISSN: 0254-8755