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Abstract

The strategy of an adaptive power oscillation damping (POD) controller for a static synchronous compensator (STATCOM) equipped with energy storage. A modified recursive least square (RLS) algorithm technique is achieved by the signal estimation, which allows a fast, selective, and adaptive estimation of the low frequency electromechanical oscillations from nearby measured signals during power system disturbances. The proposed method is active in increasing the damping frequencies also in the case of system parameter uncertainties and at various connection points of the compensator. By using a simple two machine system model first, the analysis of the impact of active and reactive power injection into the power system will be carried out. At various connection points of the STATCOM A control diagram that optimizes active and reactive power injection will be derived using the simplified model. Small signal analysis of the dynamic performance of the proposed control plan will be carried out. The effectiveness of the proposed control method to deliver power oscillation damping regardless of the linking point of the device and in the existence of system parameter uncertainties will be verified through simulation results by using fuzzy logic controller. Here fuzzy logic is used for controlling compared to other controllers the MATLAB/Simpered Systems tool has proved that the combined system will at the same time inject maximum power and maintain the frequency.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In an AC power system STATIC synchronous compensator (STATCOM) is a key device for reinforcement of the stability. This scheme has been useful both at distribution level to mitigate power quality phenomena and power oscillation damping (POD) at transmission level for voltage control. By equipping the STATCOM with an energy storage connected to the dc-link of the converter although typically used for reactive power injection only, a more flexible control of the transmission system can be achieved. The wind energy and other distributed generation will cover the way for more energy storage into the power system and secondary stability improvement function is possible from the energy sources because during transient injection of active power is used temporarily. For stability enhancement function in systems where active power injection is primarily used for other drives could be attractive.

Low frequency electromechanical oscillations (typically in the range of 0.2 to 2 Hz) are common in the power system and cause concern regarding secure system operation, especially in a weak transmission system. So FACTS controllers, both in shunt and series configuration, have been widely used to enhance stability of the power system. In shunt connected FACTS controllers [STATCOM and static var compensator (SVC)], first swing stability and then POD can be achieved by modulating the voltage at the point of common coupling (PCC) using reactive power injection. However, one disadvantage of the shunt
configuration is that the PCC voltage must be regulated within exact limits (typically between 10% of the rated voltage), and this decreases the amount of damping that can be provided by the compensator. Moreover, to modulate the PCC voltage the amount of injected reactive power needed depends on the short circuit impedance of the grid seen at the linking point. On the other hand, injection of active power affects the PCC voltage angle (transmission lines are effectively reactive) without varying the voltage magnitude significantly.

The control of STATCOM with energy storage (named hereafter as E-STATCOM) for power system stability enhancement has been discussed in the literature. However, the impact of the location of the E-STATCOM on its dynamic performance is typically not treated. When active power injection is used for POD, the location of the E-STATCOM has a significant impact on its dynamic performance. Moreover, the typical control strategy of the device for POD available in the literature where a series of wash-out and lead-lag filter links are used to generate the control input signals. This kind of control scheme is effective only at the operating point where the design of the filter links is optimized, and its speed of response is limited by the frequency of the electromechanical oscillations. A control strategy for the E STATCOM when used for POD will be investigated.

![Figure 1: Simplified two-machine system with E-STATCOM](image1)

![Figure 2: Block diagram of the control of E-STATCOM](image2)

II. SYSTEM MODELING FOR CONTROLLER DESIGN

A simplified power system model, is shown in Fig. 1, is used to study the impact of the E-STATCOM on the power system dynamics. The investigated two area power system with each area is represented by a synchronous generator.

The synchronous generators are showed as voltage sources of constant magnitude \((v_{g1}, v_{g2})\) and dynamic rotor angles \((\delta_{g1}, \delta_{g2})\) behind a transient reactance \((x_{d1}, x_{d2})\). The transmission system consists of two transformers represented by their equivalent leakage reactance \((x_{t1}, x_{t2})\) and a transmission line with equivalent reactance \((x_L = x_{L1} + x_{L2})\). The losses in the transmission system are neglected for simpler analytical expressions. If the mechanical damping in the generators is neglected, the complete damping for the examined system is equal to zero. Therefore, the model is appropriate to allow a conservative approach of the effect of the E-STATCOM when used for stability studies. For analysis purpose, the electrical joining point of the converter along the transmission line is expressed by the parameter as
\[
\alpha = \frac{X_1}{(X_1 + X_2)} \tag{1}
\]

Where

\[
X_1 = X_{d1} + X_{\tau 1} + X_{L1}
\]

\[
X_2 = X_{d2} + X_{\tau 2} + X_{L2}
\]

The control of the E-STATCOM consists of an outer control loop and an inner current control loop, as shown in Fig. 2. The outer control loop, which can be an AC voltage, dc-link voltage or POD controller, sets the reference current for the inner current controller. The generic measured signal \( y_m \) depends on the type of outer loop control. The control algorithm is implemented in dq-reference frame where a phase-locked loop (PLL) is used to track the grid voltage angle \( \theta_g \) from the grid voltage vector \( e_{-g} \). By synchronizing the PLL with the grid-voltage vector, the dq and modules of the injected current \( \left(i^d_f \text{ and } i^q_f\right) \) control the injected active and reactive power, respectively.

![Figure 3: Equivalent circuit for two-machine system with E-STATCOM](image)

In this paper, the outer control loop is assumed to be a POD controller. For this reason, we assume that the injected active and reactive powers in the steady state are zero. When designing a cascaded controller, the speed of outer control loop is typically selected is slower than the inner one to assurance stability. This means that the current controller can be considered fast when designing the parameters of the outer controller loop. Therefore, the E-STATCOM can be modeled as a controlled ideal current source, as represented in the equivalent circuit in Fig. 3, for analysis purpose.

The level of power oscillation damping provided by the converter depends on how much the active power output from the generators is modulated by the injected current \( i_f \). For the system in Fig. 3, the change in active power output from the generators due to injected active and reactive power from the E-STATCOM is calculated as in

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{pg1, p} &\approx -\tau_p P_{mg} - \tau_{pg2, p} \approx -\left(1 - \tau_p \right) P_{inj}, \\
\Delta_{pg1, q} &\approx \left( V_{g1} V_{g2} \sin \left( \delta_{g10} - \delta_{g20} \right) \alpha (1 - \alpha) \right) \frac{E_g^2}{E_{g0}^2} Q_{inj} \Delta_{pg2, q} \\
&\approx \left( V_{g1} V_{g2} \sin \left( \delta_{g10} - \delta_{g20} \right) \alpha (1 - \alpha) \right) \frac{E_g^2}{E_{g0}^2} Q_{inj} 
\end{align*}
\tag{2}
\]
Where \((\Delta p_{g1}, \Delta p_{g2})\) and \((\Delta p_{g1}, \Delta p_{g2})\) represent the change in active power from the corresponding generators due to injected active power \((P_{inj})\) and reactive power \((Q_{inj})\) respectively. \(\tau_p, P_{inj},\) and \(Q_{inj}\) are given by

\[
\tau_p = \frac{[(1-\alpha) V_{g1}^2 + \alpha (1-\alpha) V_{g1} V_{g2} \sin(\delta_{g10} - \delta_{g20})]}{E_{g0}^2}
\]

The initial steady state PCC voltage magnitude \(E_{g0}\) and generator rotor angles \((\delta_{g10}, \delta_{g20})\) correspond to the operating point where the converter is in idle mode. A derivation to the expressions in (2) is given in the Appendix.

It can be seen from (2) and (3) that the change in active power output from the generators depends on the location of the converter as well as on the amount of injected active and reactive power. Moreover, it can be understood from (2) that the effective reactive power injection depends on the magnitude and direction of transmitted power from the generators.

III. POD CONTROLLER DESIGN

In this section the derivation of the POD controller from locally measured signals will be made.

(A) Derivation of Control Input Signals

The simplified two machine system is considered in Fig. 1, the active power output from each generator should change in proportion to the change in its speed to provide damping. From (2), the effect of the power injected by the generator active power output highly depends on the parameter, i.e., on the location of the E-STATCOM. Using the equivalent system a control input signal that contains information on the speed variation of the generators can be derived. When the E-STATCOM is not injecting any current, the variation of the locally measured signals \(\theta_{g1}, \) and \(P_{tran}\) at different E-STATCOM connection points using the dynamic generator rotor angles \(\delta_{g1}\) and \(\delta_{g2}\) is given by

\[
\theta_{g} = \delta_{g2} + \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{(1-\alpha) V_{g1} \sin(\delta_{g1} - \delta_{g0})}{(1-\alpha) V_{g1} \cos(\delta_{g1} - \delta_{g0}) + a V_{g2}} \right]
\]

\[
P_{tran} = \frac{V_{g1} V_{g2} \sin(\delta_{g10} - \delta_{g20})}{X_1 + X_2}
\]

From a small signal point of view and under the assumption that the PCC voltage magnitude along the line does not change significantly, the required control input signals can be derived from the PCC voltage phase and transmitted active power as

\[
\frac{d\theta_{g}}{dt} \approx \tau_p \omega_{g0} \Delta \omega_{g1} + (1-\tau_p) \omega_{g0} \Delta \omega_{g2}
\]

\[
\frac{d\theta_{g}}{dt} \approx \left( \frac{V_{g1} V_{g2} \cos(\delta_{g10} - \delta_{g20})}{X_1 + X_2} \right) \omega_{g0} (\Delta \omega_{g1} - \Delta \omega_{g2})
\]

The nominal system frequency is represented by where as \(\Delta \omega_{g1}, \Delta \omega_{g2}\) and represent the speed variation of the generators in p.u. The electromechanical dynamics for each generator is given by

\[
2H_{gi} \frac{d\Delta \omega_{gi}}{dt} = \Delta T_{mi} - \Delta T_{gi} - k_{dmi} \Delta \omega_{gi}
\]
Where, $H_{gi}$, $\Delta T_{mi}$, $\Delta \omega_{gi}$, $\Delta g_i$ and $K D_{mi}$ represent inertia constant, speed variation, change in input torque, change in output torque and mechanical damping constant for the generator, respectively.

The derivative of the PCC voltage phase and transmitted active power are both dependent on the speed variation of the generators. Moreover, the derivative of the PCC voltage phase depends on the location of ESTATCOM, through the parameter $\tau_g$, as well as the mechanical dynamics of the generators. This information will be exploited in the POD controller design.

For the two machine system, damping is related to the variation of the speed difference between the two generators. From (2) and (3), it can be understood that the change in the output power due to injected active power is maximum when the compensator is installed at the generator terminals (i.e. $a=0$ and $a=1$). Assuming equal inertia constant for the two generators, no damping is provided by injection of active power at the electrical midpoint of the line (i.e. $a=0.5$ for $H_{g1} = H_{g2}$) as the power output of the two generators is the same and the net impact is zero. At this location, the derivative of PCC phase is zero. This means that scales the speed variation of the two generators depending on the location of ESTATCOM and its magnitude changes in proportion to the level of damping by active power injection. On the other hand, that the change in the output power of the generators due to injected reactive power is maximum at the electrical midpoint of the line (i.e., $a=0.5$) and minimum at the generator terminals (i.e., $a=0$ and $a=1$). As the changes in the power output of the two generators are the same in magnitude and opposite in sign, between the two generators a signal that varies linearly with the speed variation to control reactive power injection $\Delta g_{q12}$ is an appropriate signal. This information can be obtained from the derivative of the transmitted active power $\frac{dp_{tran}}{dt}$.

(B) Estimation of Control Input Signals

ESTATCOM locations require fast, accurate, and adaptive estimation of the critical power oscillation frequency component. This is achieved by the use of an estimation method based on a modified RLS algorithm. The aim of the algorithm is therefore to estimate the signal components that consist of only the low frequency electromechanical oscillation in the measured signals $\theta_g$ and $P_{\text{tran}}$. By using a PLL with bandwidth much higher than the frequency of electromechanical oscillations, the derivative of the PCC-voltage phase can be obtained from the change in frequency estimate of the PLL $\left( \Delta g = \frac{d\theta_g}{dt} \right)$. Therefore, the low frequency electromechanical oscillation component can be extracted directly from the frequency estimate of the PLL. On the other hand, the derivative of transmitted power is estimated by extracting the low frequency electromechanical oscillation component from the measured signal, $P_{\text{tran}}$ and then applying a phase shift of $\pi/2$ to the estimated oscillation frequency component.

From the estimated control input signals $\omega_{g,osc} = d\theta_{g,osc,dd}$ and $d\theta_{\text{tran,osc,dd}}$, which contain only a particular oscillation frequency component $\left( i^q_{f} , i^q_{r} \right)$ the reference injected active and reactive current components from the E-STATCOM can be calculated to setup the POD controller as in Fig. 4. The terms $K_p$ and $K_q$ represent proportional controller gains for the active and reactive current components, respectively.

To describe the estimation algorithm, an input signal $y$ which could be either $\omega_g$ or $P_{\text{tran}}$, as shown in Fig. 4, is considered. Following a power system disturbance, will consist of an average value that varies slowly and a number of low frequency oscillatory components, depending on the number of modes that are excited by the disturbance. For simplicity, let us assume that there exists a single oscillatory component in the input signal.
Therefore, the input signal consists of an average component $Y_{\text{avg}}$ and an oscillatory component which can be modeled as

$$y(t) = Y_{\text{avg}}(t) + Y_{\text{osc}}(t) \cos[\omega_{\text{osc}} t + \varphi(t)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The model in (9) is rewritten using the oscillation angle $\theta_{\text{osc}}(t) = \omega_{\text{osc}} t$

From an observation matrix and measured input signal $Y(t)$, the estimated state vector $h$ is derived using the RLS algorithm in discrete time as

$$h(k) = h(k-1) + G(k)[y(k) - \phi(k)h(k-1)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$I$ is the identity matrix, the gain matrix $G$ and covariance matrix $R$ are calculated recursively starting with an initial invertible matrix $(0)$ as

$$G(K) = R(K-1)\phi(k)[\lambda + \phi(k)R(k-1)\phi(k)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$R(k) = \frac{[I - G(k)\phi(k)]R(k-1)}{\lambda}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

With $T_s$ representing the sampling time, the steady-state bandwidth of the RLS $\omega_{\text{RLS}}$ and the estimation error are given by

*Modification in the Conventional RLS Algorithm:* The selection of $\lambda$ is a tradeoff between a good selectivity for the estimator and its speed of response. A high forgetting factor results in low estimation speed with good frequency selectivity.

With increasing estimation speed (decreasing), the Frequency selectivity of the algorithm reduces. For this reason, the conventional RLS algorithm must be modified in order to achieve fast transient estimation without compromising its steady state selectivity. This is achieved with the use of variable forgetting factor as
described when the RLS algorithm is in steady state, its bandwidth is determined by the steady state forgetting factor. If a rapid change is detected in the input will be modified to a smaller transient forgetting factor. Thus, by using a high pass filter with time constant will be slowly increased back to its steady state value. Besides, the performance of the estimation method depends on accurate knowledge of the oscillating frequency. This frequency is dependent on the system parameters and its operating conditions. If the frequency content of the input changes, the estimator will give rise to a phase and amplitude error in the estimated quantities.

Assuming that the input signal contains oscillatory components, (9) must be modified as

\[ y(t) = Y_{avg}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{osc,i} \quad (13) \]

Where the oscillation mode \( Y_{osc,i} \) (with \( i=1, \ldots, N \)) is expressed in terms of its amplitude, frequency, and phase. Thus, the POD controller in Fig. 4 can be modified accordingly to control each mode independently. Observe that the phase shift applied for calculation of the reference currents depends on the investigated system and needs to be calculated for each oscillatory mode.

IV. STABILITY ANALYSIS OF SYSTEM MODEL

The mathematical model of the system in Fig. 3 is developed in this section to investigate the performance of the POD controller using active and reactive power injection. Using the expression sin (6)–(7)

\[ i_t^d \approx K_{poq} \left[ \tau_p \Delta_{avg1} + (1 - \tau_p)\Delta_{avg2} \right] \quad (14) \]

Where the constant is as defined in (3). Two machine system with the E-STATCOM in per unit is developed as in

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{avg1} \\ \omega_{g12} \\ \Delta_{avg3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \beta_{13} \\ \omega_{g0} & 0 & -\omega_{go} \\ \beta_{31} & \beta_{32} & \beta_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{avg1} \\ \omega_{g12} \\ \Delta_{avg3} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2H_{g1}} + \begin{bmatrix} \Delta T_{m1} \\ \Delta T_{m2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)
\]

The synchronizing torque coefficients resulting from the selected operating point and the contribution of the E-STATCOM is zero. To provide positive damping, and should be negative. For this, the sign of should be negative and the sign of \( K_Q \) should be chosen based on the sign of.
Transmitted power from Generator 1 to Generator 2, will be positive and the sign of $K_Q$ should be negative. For a transmitted power in the other direction, the sign of $K_Q$ should be opposite. With active power injection only, the cross coupling terms reduce the damping as the speed variation of the generators will be opposite at the oscillatory frequency. At the mass scaled electrical midpoint of the line where, the damping that can be provided by is zero. Therefore, the active power injected by the E-STATCOM at this location is set to zero by the control algorithm. When moving away from this point towards the generator terminals, increases and at the same time the cross coupling terms decrease.

The leakage reactance of the transformers and transient impedance of the generators are 0.15p.u and 0.3p.u, respectively. The movement of the poles for the system as a function of the E-STATCOM location is shown in Fig. 6. The reverse happens at either end of the generators, that a more uniform damping along the line is obtained by using injection of both active and reactive power.

![Figure 7: Simplified two-area four machine power system](image)

### V. FUZZY LOGIC CONTROL

The Fuzzy logic control consists of set of linguistic variables. Here the PI controller is replaced with Fuzzy Logic Control. The mathematical modeling is not required in FLC. FLC consists of

1. **Fuzzification**: Membership function values are assigned to linguistic variables. In this scaling factor is between 1 and -1.

2. **Inference Method**: There are several composition methods such as Max-Min and Max-Dot have been proposed and Min method is used.

3. **Defuzzification**: A plant requires non-fuzzy values to control, so Defuzzification is used. The output of FLC controls the switch in the inverter. To control these parameters they are sensed and compared with the reference values. To obtain this the membership functions of fuzzy controller are shown in Fig (7).

The set of FC rules are derived from

$$u = -[\alpha E + (1-\alpha)C]$$

(16)

Where $\alpha$ is self-adjustable factor which can regulate the whole operation. $E$ is the error of the system, $C$ is the change in error and $u$ is the control variable. A large value of error $E$ indicates that given system is not in the balanced state.

![Figure 8: Fuzzy logic Controller](image)
VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

The POD controller described in Section III is here verified via PSCAD/EMTDC simulation using the well-known two area four-machine system in Fig. 7. The implemented system is rated 20/230 kV, 900 MVA and the parameters for the generators and transmission system together with the loading of the system are given. The system is initially operating in steady state with a transmitted active power, 400 MW from 1 to area 2. A three-phase fault is applied to the system on one of the transmission lines between bus 7 and bus 8. The fault is cleared after 120ms by disconnecting the faulted line. Due to the applied disturbance, a poorly damped oscillation is obtained after the fault clearing.

With the POD controller structure described in Fig. 4, the performance of the E-STATCOM following the fault at three different locations is shown in Fig. 9. As described in the small-signal analysis for two-machine system. When moving closer to the generator units, a better damping is achieved by active power injection. With respect to reactive power injection, maximum damping action is provided when the E-STATCOM is connected close to the electrical midpoint of the line and the level of damping decreases

Figure 9(a): Measured transmitted active power output of a three-phase fault with E-STATCOM connected at bus 7 (top). POD by \( p_{inj} \) only (black solid), \( q_{inj} \) only (gray solid), both \( p_{inj}, q_{inj} \) (black dashed), and no POD (gray dashed)

Figure 9(b): Measured transmitted active power output of a three-phase fault with E-STATCOM connected at bus 8 (middle). POD by \( p_{inj} \) only (black solid), \( q_{inj} \) only (gray solid), both \( p_{inj}, q_{inj} \) (black dashed), and no POD (gray dashed)
when moving away from it (see Fig. 9, gray solid plots). Because of a good choice of signals for controlling both active and reactive power injection, effective power oscillation damping is provided by the E-STATCOM irrespective of its location in the line (see Fig. 9, black dashed plots).

VII. CONCLUSION

An adaptive POD controller by E-STATCOM has been developed in this paper. The MATLAB/Simpered systems simulation shows sensible performances of this controller. Here fuzzy controller is used compared to alternative controllers because of its accurate performance. For this, a modified RLS algorithm has been used for estimation of the low-frequency electromechanical oscillation components from locally measured signals during power system disturbances. The estimator enables a fast, selective and adaptive estimation of signal components at the power oscillation frequency. The dynamic performance of the POD controller to provide effective damping at various connection points of the E-STATCOM has been validated through

Figure 9(c): Measured transmitted active power output of three phase fault with E-STATCOM connected at bus 9 (bottom). POD by $p_{inj}$ only (black solid), $q_{inj}$ only (gray solid), both $p_{inj}$, $q_{inj}$ (black dashed), and no POD (gray dashed)
simulation as well as experimental verification. The robustness of the control algorithm against system parameter changes has also been proven through experimental tests. Furthermore, using the frequency variation at the E-STATCOM connection point as the input signal for the active power modulation, it has been shown that active power injection is minimized at points in the power system where its impact on POD is negligible. This results in an optimal use of the available energy source.
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