# WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS WITH CLOSED RANGES

# T. K. Sharma

**Abstract:** In the present paper we study weighted composition operators which have closed ranges. We also study compact weighted composition operators acting on a space of operators.

Subject classication: Primary 47B20, Secondary 47B38.

**Keywords :** multiplication operator, composition operator, weighted composition operator, invertibility, compact operators.

## INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X and Y be two non-empty sets and let V(X) and V(Y) be topological vector spaces of complex-valued functions defined on X and V respectively. If  $T : Y \to X$ is a mapping such that  $f \circ T \in V(Y)$  whenever  $f \in V(X)$ , then we can define a composition transformation  $C_T : V(X) \to V(X)$  By

$$C_T F = f \circ T$$
 for every  $f \in V(X)$ .

A continuous linear operator  $C_T$  is called a composition operator induced by T. If  $U: X \to C$  is a function such that  $U, f \in V(X)$  whenever  $f \in V(X)$ , then a multiplication operator  $M_U: V(X) \to V(X)$  is a continuous linear transformation defined by

$$M_U f = U f$$
 for every  $f \in V(X)$ .

The class of composition operators and the class of multiplication operators under suitable situation breed another class of operators called weighted composition operators. Thus a weighted composition operator is an operator of the type  $M_UC_T$  or  $C_T M_U$  and we usually denote it by  $M_{u,T}$ .

So far as we know composition operator made its first appearance in 1871 in a paper of Schroeder [8] in which it was asked to find a function f and a number such that  $(f \circ T)(z) = \alpha f(z)$  for every given T and for every z in an appropriate domain. In 1884, Koenighs [2] solved this problem. Afterwards these operators found their application in Littlewood's [5] subordination theory. But a systematic study of composition operators is started in 1969 with the paper of Nordgren [6] followed by Schwartz [9], Ridge [7]. For more details about composition operators and weighted composition operators we refer to ([1], [10], [3], [4]) and references therein.

Let *H* be a Hilbert space. By  $B_L(H)$  we denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from *H* into itself under the norm defined as

$$||A|| = \sup_{\substack{x \neq 0 \\ x \in H}} \frac{||Ax||}{||x||}$$

The symbol  $B_b(H)$  denotes the Banach space of all bounded functions defined on *H* into itself under the norm

$$||f|| = \sup\{||f(x)|| : x \in H\}.$$

We point out that no non-zero element of  $B_b(H)$  is a member of  $B_L(H)$ . For, if  $A \in B_L(H)$  is such that  $A(x) \neq 0$  for some  $x \in H$ , then  $A(nx) = nA(x) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .. Thus A is not bounded as a function. Hence  $B_L(H) \cap B_b(H) = \{0\}$ . If  $A \in B_L(H)$ , then the range of A is defined to be the set  $\{Af : f \in H\}$  and it is denoted by ran A. The kernel of A is defined to be the set  $\{f \in H : Af = 0\}$  and it is denoted by ker A. By  $BL_b(H;H)$  we denote the set of all bounded linear operators from  $B_L(H)$  into  $B_b(H)$ .

A continuous linear operator  $A : H \to H$  is called a compact operator, if  $A(B_p)$  is precompact i.e.  $A(B_p)$  is a compact subset of H, where  $B_1 = \{x \in H : ||x|| \le 1\}$  is the closed unit ball of H.

## CHARACTERIZATION OF WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section we characterize weighted composition operators with closed ranges.

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $abs(c_0(ran u:T))$  has an interior point as a subset of span(ran u:T), then  $M_{uT}$  has closed range.

**Proof.** Suppose that zero is the interior point of  $a\overline{b}s(c_0(ran \ u.T))$ . If the sequence  $\{M_{u,T}A_n\}$  is convergent, then  $\{A_n\}$  is uniformly convergent on ranu: T and consequently uniformly Cauchy. That is, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is some positive integer n0 such that for any  $x \in ran \ u.T$ ,

$$\|(A_m - A_n)(x)\| < \epsilon \tag{2.1}$$

for any positive integers m and n larger than n0. By the triangular inequality, the same inequality is valid if in equation (2.1) x is replaced by any absolutely convex combination of points in ran u:T. It follows that the sequence  $\{A_n\}$  is uniformly Cauchy on  $a\bar{b}s(c_0(ranu.T))$ . Let r > 0 be such that for any  $x \in span(ranu.T)$  with ||x|| < r, we have  $x \in a\bar{b}s(c_0(ran \ u.T))$ . Then equation (2.1) holds for any such x and consequently the sequence  $\{A_n|span(ran \ (u.T))\}$  regarded as a sequence of continuous operators between span (ran u.T) and H is a norm Cauchy sequence and hence tends to  $A_0$ . Set  $Ax = A_0x$  for  $x \in span(ran \ u.T)$  and Ax = 0 for  $x \in (span(ran \ u.T))^{\perp}$ . We obtain  $A \in B_L(H)$  such that  $A_n|span(ran \ u.T) \rightarrow A|span(ran \ u.T)$  in the norm topology. We shall have that the sequence  $(u.A_n \circ T)(x)$  tends to  $(u.A \circ T)(x)$  for any  $x \in H$  and so the limit in  $B_b(H)$  of  $M_{u,T}A_n$  is  $M_{u,T}A$ . Hence  $M_{u,T}$  has closed range. Since in a convex set which has interior point, any internal point of any absolutely convex set, including  $a\bar{b}s(c_0(ranu.T))$ . This completes the proof.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $H = l^2$ , the Hilbert space of square summable sequences of complex numbers. Let  $u : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$  be defined by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } ||x|| > 1\\ 1, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Let  $T : H \to H$  be defined as T(x) = x, for every  $x \in H$ . Then ran  $u.T = B_1$ and so  $span(B_1) = H$ . Clearly 0 is an interior point of  $a\bar{b}s(c_0(ran \ u.T))$ . Hence  $M_{,,T}$  has closed range.

In next example, we show that if *H* is an innite dimensional separable Hilbert space, then weighted composition operators having non-closed ranges exist.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $H^2$  be the classical Hardy space, that is, the space of all functions analytic on the open unit disc having square summable Taylor coecients and  $H^{\infty}$ , the algebra of all bounded analytic functions on the unit disc. For any  $\phi \in H^{\infty}$ ,  $T_{\phi}$  is the analytic Toeplitz operator induced by  $\phi$ , that is, the operator  $T_{\phi}f = \phi f$  for every  $f \in H^2$ .

We recall that if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$  are two series, their product  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$  is the series having summands  $c_n = a_0 b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + ... + a_n b_0$ . Choose  $\phi \in H^2$ ,  $\phi(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n z^n$  such that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2$  fails having square summable summands.

Using the logarithm test, after straight forward calculations one can show that  $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^{\alpha}}$ , where  $\alpha \in (1/2, 3/4)$  is such a choice.

Set  $A_n = T_{\phi_n}$ , where  $\{\phi_n\}$  is a sequence in  $H^{\infty}$  which tends to  $\phi \in H^2$  For any  $T: H^2 \to H^2$  such that  $ran \ u.T = \{1, z, z^2, ..., z^n, ...\}$ .

It is obvious that

$$\sup_{f \in H^2} \|(M_{u,T}A_n - M_{u,T}A_m)(f)\|_2 = \sup_k \|(\phi_m - \phi_n)z^k\|_2 = \|(\phi_m - \phi_n)\|_2.$$

Since  $\{\phi_n\}$  tends to,  $\phi$ ,  $\{M_{u,T}A_n\}$  is uniformly Cauchy, hence there is  $f \in B_b(H^2)$ , which is uniform limit of the sequence  $\{M_{u,T}A_n\}$ .

If we suppose  $f = M_{u,T}A$  for some  $A \in B_L(H)$ , we get  $(A \circ u.T)(h) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n(u.T)h$  for any  $h \in H^2$ . Since  $||(\phi_n - \phi)z^k||_2 \to 0$  as  $n \to it$  follows that  $Az^k = \phi z^k$  for any positive integer k.

Denote g by  $A_{\phi}$ . Obviously g is in  $H^2$ . Therefore,

$$g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle \phi, z^k \rangle z^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle 0, z^k \rangle (z^k \phi).$$

If we calculate the Fourier coecients  $\langle g, z^k \rangle$  we obtain exactly the summands of  $\sum_n \lambda_n^2$  which are not square summable and hence g is not in  $H^2$ , which is absurd. Consequently f is not in the range of  $M_{u,T}$  and so  $M_{u,T}$  has not closed range.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $M_{u,T} \in B_{L_b}(H,H)$ . If span(*ran u.T*) is nite dimensional, then  $M_{,T}$  has a closed range.

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  be a basis for *span* (*ran u.T*). If for a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  in  $B_L(H)$ ,  $\{M_{u,T}A_n\}$  is convergent, then  $u.A_n \circ T$  is uniformly convergent on H. Let  $Y_i$  denote the limit of  $A_n x_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., m. We get  $Ax_i = Y_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. Extend A to *span* (*ran u.T*) by linearity. we set Ax = 0 for any  $x \in [span(ranu.T)]^{\perp}$ . Then  $A \in B_L(H)$  and

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} (u.A_n \circ T)(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u(x)A_n(T(x)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u(x)A(T(x)).$ 

Hence the limit of  $M_{\mu T}A_{\mu}$  is  $M_{\mu T}A$  and thus the range of  $M_{\mu T}$  is closed.

### **COMPACT WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS**

In this section we compact weighted composition operators acting on a space of operators. It is proved that if *H* is innite dimensional, then the only compact weighted composition operator is the zero operator.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let H be an innite dimensional Hilbert space. Let  $M_{u,T} : B_L(H) \to B_b(H)$  be a non-zero weighted composition operator. Then  $M_{u,T}$  is never compact.

**Proof.** Since Mu; T is a non-zero weighted composition operator, there exists  $x_0 \in H$  such that  $u(x_0) \neq 0$  and  $T(x_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $T(x_0) \neq 0$ , by Hahn Banach theorem there exists a bounded linear functional f on H such that  $f(T(x_0)) = ||T(x_0)||$  and ||f|| = 1.

Let  $B_1 = \{x \in H : ||x|| \le 1\}$ . Then  $B_1$  is not compact in H. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $B_1$  such that no subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent. That is, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ such that  $||x_{n_k} - x_{n_j}|| \ge \epsilon$ , for every k, j. For each  $n_k$ ,  $A_{n_k} : H \to H$  by  $A_{n_k}(x) = f(x)x_{n_k}$ .

Then  $\{A_{n_k}\}$  is a sequence of bounded linear operators in  $B_L(H)$ . Now

$$\begin{split} \|M_{u,T}A_{n_k} - M_{u,T}A_{n_j}\| &= \|u.A_{n_k} \circ T - u.A_{n_j} \circ T\| \\ &= \sup\{\|u(x)A_{n_k}(T(x)) - u(x)A_{n_j}(T(x))\| : x \in H\} \\ &\geq \|u(x_0)A_{n_k}(T(x_0)) - u(x_0)A_{n_j}(T(x_0))\| \\ &= \|u(x_0)(\|A_{n_k}(T(x_0)) - A_{n_j}(T(x_0))\| \\ &= \|u(x_0)\|\|A_{n_k}(T(x_0)) - A_{n_j}(T(x_0))\| \\ &= \|u(x_0)\|\|f(T(x_0))x_{n_k} - f(T(x_0))x_{n_j}\| \\ &= \|u(x_0)\|\|f(T(x_0))\|\|x_{n_k} - x_{n_j}\| \\ &= \|u(x_0)\|\|T(x_0)\|\|x_{n_k} - x_{n_j}\| \\ &\geq \epsilon \|u(x_0)\|\|T(x_0)\|. \end{split}$$

Thus  $||M_{u,T}A_{n_k} - M_{u,T}A_{n_j}|| \ge \epsilon |u(x_0)| ||T(x_0)||$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\{M_{u,T}A_{n_k}\}$  has no convergent subsequence. Hence  $M_{u,T}T$  is not compact.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $M_{u,T} : B_L(H,C) \to B_b(H,C)$  be a continuous linear transformation. Then  $M_u, T$  is compact if and only if (u,T)(H) is totally bounded.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $M_{u}$ , T is a compact operator. Let  $B_1$  be the closed unit ball of  $B_1(H)^*$ .

Then  $M_{u,T}B_1$  is a relatively compact subset of  $B_b(H)^*$ . Hence for given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a nite partition  $\{E_i\}$  of  $B_b(H)^*$  such that  $s_i \in E_i$ 

$$\sup_{s \in E_i} \|M_{u,T}A(s_i) - M_{u,T}A(s)\| \le \epsilon,$$
(3.1)

for each *i* = 1, 2, ..., n.

If A is an isometry, then equation (3.1) implies that

$$||u(s_i)T(s_i) - u(s)T(s)|| < \epsilon$$
, for  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$  and for all  $s \in E_i$ 

Therefore,  $u.T(E_i) \subset s_{\epsilon}(u.T(s_i))$ , for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, where  $s_{\epsilon}(T(s_i))$  is a sphere of radius  $\epsilon$  with centre at  $(u.T)(s_i)$  and so

$$\cup_{i=1}^{n} (u.T)(E_i) \subset \cup_{i=1}^{n} s_{\epsilon}((u.T)(s_i)).$$

That is,

$$(u.T)\left(\cup_{i=1}^{n}(E_i)\right)\subset\cup_{i=1}^{n}s_{\epsilon}(T(s_i))$$

or  $(u.T)(H) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} s_{\epsilon}(T(s_i))$ , which shows that (u.T)(H) is totally bounded.

Conversely, suppose that (u.T) (*H*) is a totally bounded subset of *H*. Let *E* be a bounded subset of  $B_L(H)^*$ . Then  $||A|| \le k$  for every  $A \in E$  and for some k > 0. We prove that  $M_{u,T}(E)$  is relatively compact. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Then by hypothesis there is a nite set  $\{s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$  in *H* such that

$$(u.T)(H) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} s_{\frac{\epsilon}{2k}}(T(s_i)).$$

Let  $E_i = (u.T)s_{\frac{\epsilon}{2k}}(T(s_i))$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \|(M_{u,T}A)s_i - (M_{u,T})(s)\| &= \|u(s_i)A(T(s_i)) - u(s)A(T(s))\| \\ &\leq \|A\| \|(u.T)(s_i) - u(s)T(s)\| \\ &\leq k.\frac{\epsilon}{2k}, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $s \in E_i$ . Hence

$$\sup_{s \in E_i} \|(M_{u,T}A)(s_i) - (M_{u,T}A)(s)\| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$

Clearly,  $H = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i$ . This shows that  $M_{\mu T}$  is relatively compact.

**Example 3.3** Let  $u: \ell^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $T: \ell^2 \to \ell^2$  be defined by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in \{e_n : n \in N\}\\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin \{e_n : n \in N\} \end{cases}$$

and u(x) = 1; for all  $x \in \ell^2$ . Then  $\{(u.T)(x) : x \in \ell^2\} = \{e_n : n \in N\}$  which is not a totally bounded subset of  $\ell^2$ .

Hence  $M_{\mu T}$  is not a compact operator.

**Example 3.4.** Let *H* be a Hilbert space and  $e_1$  be a basis vector of *H*. Define

 $T : H \to H$  by  $T(x) = e_1$  for all  $x \in H$  and  $u : H \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} ||x||, & \text{if } x \in B_1(H) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin B_1(H) \end{cases}$$

Then  $(u.T)(H) = \{\alpha e_1 : \alpha \in D\}$ , where <u>D</u> is the closed unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Now (u.T)(H) is a totally bounded subset. Hence  $M_{uT}$  is a compact operator.

#### REFERENCES

- C. C. Cowen and B. D. Maccluer, Composition Operators on spaces of analytic functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, (1995).
- [2] G. Koenighs, Recherches Sur le integrale de Certcuns Equations Fontioalles, Anneles Sci. de L'Eco Normale Superieur, 1 (1884), 3-41.
- [3] B. S. Komal, T. K. Sharma and V. Matache, Compact Composition Operators, Mathematics and Its Applications in Industry and Business, (2000).
- [4] B. S. Komal, T. K. Sharma and V. Khosla, Invertible and compact weighted composition operators on the space of continuously dierentiable functions, Indian Journal of Mathematics, 43 (2001), 387-393.
- [5] J. E. Littlewood, On inequalities in the theory of functions, Proc. London Math. Soc., 23 (1925), 481-519.
- [6] E. A. Nordgren, Composition Operators, Canada J. Math., 20 (1968), 442-449.
- [7] W. C. Ridge, Compsoition Operators, Thesis, Indian University (1969).
- [8] E. Schroeder, Uber Iteratierte Funcktionen, Math. Anal., 3 (1871), 296-322.
- [9] H. J. Schwartz, Composition Operators on Hp, Thesis, University of Toledo, (1969).
- [10] R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, Composition Operators on function spaces, North Holland Math Studies, New York, (1993).

T. K. Sharma Department of Mathematics, G. G. M. Science College Jammu-180001 E-mail: drtksharma66@gmail.com