

# Interaction of Plant Growth Regulators on Reversal of Reproductive Character in *Sechium Edule* L. Leading to Increased Yield

Neelanjana Baruah<sup>1</sup> and C.M. Sarma<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract:** A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of interaction of plant growth regulators on reversal of reproductive character in Sechiumedule L. The growth promoter  $GA_3$  was applied to the seedlings at the concentrations of 100, 250, 500 and 1000µg/ml. After 7 days of interval the second compound, the growth retardant ethrelat the concentration of 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml wasµade to the squash seedlings by foliar spraying. The optimal concentration of  $GA_3$  (250µg/ml) in combination with 100µg/ml of ethrelproduced 89.2 number of female flowers against 31.06 number at the control. The same range of  $GA_3$  was applied to the seedlings of squash grown in another plot. After an interval of 7 days, the growth retardant CCC at the concentration of 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml was turned out as optimum concentration produced 140.2 numbers of female flowers against 65.53 at control. The experiment confirmed the effect of interaction of PGRs in S.edule L. producedµore female flowers than they produced individually which is reflected in increased yield.

Keywords: CCC, Ethrel, GA<sub>3</sub>, Sechiumedule L.

#### INTRODUCTION

It is now known that sex expression in plants is subject to genetic set up, environmental reaction and chemicalµakeup of the plant. Besides, the genetical set up the factors which readily affect the sex expression are temperature, day length, nutrition, chemicals and plant growth regulators (Heslop-Harrisson 1957, 1963). Manipulation of growth and development of plants for agricultural and horticultural purposes is an absorbing interest to the plant physiologists. The important tools, being used in recent days, for achieving plant types for enhanced productivity of crop plants are some growth promoters and growth retardants (Lama 2000). Growth promoters are types of phytohormones which promote, enhance or accelerate the overall growth, development and uetabolism of plants, while growth retardants are usually the synthetic chemicals which suppress the overall growth andµetabolism of plants by slowing down cell division and cell elongation without altering their grossµorphology (Cathey 1964). Scientists from different parts of the world are now concentrating on application of PGRs to increase yield and quality of agricultural crops. Application of auxin stimulates development of female flowers rather thanµale flowers in some cucurbitaceous plant (Laibach and Kribben, 1959). Robinson *et al* (1970) reported that sex expression in cucumber shifted to femaleness by the application of ethephon. While investigating the effect of growth regulators on sex expression of bitter gourd,Mia *et. al.* (2014) showed that application of CEPA at 150 ppm and NAA at 50 ppm was found to be the best treatments for reducing sex ratio by increasing the female flowers by suppressing theµale ones and consequently induce higher yield.

A work was done on influence of plant growth regulators on flowering, fruit yield and quality of pumpkin by Nagaich *et. al.* (2000). An experiment was conducted on *Cucurbitamoschata* L cv. local selection and plants were sprayed at the 2 true leaf stage and again at the 3-4 leaf stage with 100 or 200 ppm ethrel, NAA orµaleic hydrazide or 25 or 50 ppm gibberellic acid. Fruit yield was highest with

Associate Professor, Birjhora Mahavidyalaya, Bongaigaon, Assam, India. Corresponding authors *E-mail : neelanjanabaruah@gmail.com* Former Head of Botany Department, Gauhati University, Guwahati, Assam, India.

either concentration of ethrel.Male flowers appeared earliest with 25 ppm gibberellic acid and female flowers with 200 ppm ethrel.

Effect of CCC on fruit set and yield were studied byµany workers. Desai et al (1982) recorded higher fruit yield in kaghzi lime with the application of CCC at 1000 ppm. Das and Prasad (2004) studied the effect of plant growth regulators on green gram (Phaseolusradiatus). They reported that application of CCC 750 ppm significantly increased the number of branches over the control. Thukral et. al. (1993) investigated the effect of growth regulators on regulation of crop and fruit quality in lemon and reported that among all the growth regulators CCC increased the number of flowers per tree and decreased the length of shoot.Baruah and Sarma (2013) reported that the application of ethrel and CCC can reverse the reproductive character in Sechiumedule L. which lead to crop improvement.

Sechiumedule L. (squash) is an annual climber belongs to the family cucurbitaceae. The plant bears unisexual flowers but bothuale and female flowers are borne on the same plant. In general, the plant bearsµoreµale flowers than female flowers. The production of fruits depends on number of female flowers the plant bears. Assam, situated in the North Eastern part of India is one of the squash growing area because its agro climatic condition is favourable for cultivation of various plants under cucurbitaceae family. Though this crop is important food item of this region, the average productivity is not enough to fulfil the demand of the people. The reason behind the less production of the crop is the development ofµoreµale flowers than female ones by the plant. Development of uore female flowers ueans uore production of fruits. The present investigation was carried out to evaluate the combined effects of plant growth promoters (GA<sub>3</sub>) and retardants (Ethrel and CCC) on reversal of reproductive characters on Sechiumedule L. Emphasis was laid on reducing the number of uale flowers and at the same time increasing the number of female flowers.

### MATERIALS AND METHOD

The experiment was conducted to find out the effect of interaction of PGRs on reversal of reproductive character of squash. Botanically squash is known as *Sechiumedule* L belonging to the family Cucurbitaceae. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam with pH value 5.10. The soil wasµixed withorganicµanure before sowing of seeds. The site received free sunshine.

The healthy squash fruits were collected from the localµarket of Bongaigaon. The experiment was carried out in two experimental plots with six treatments of PGRs including an untreated control. Each treatment was replicated three times. For interaction of PGRs, the growth promoter GA<sub>3</sub> (Gibberellic acid) at 100µg/ml, 250µg/ml, 500µg/ml and 1000µg/ml were prepared. When the plants were at seedling stage and about 20 days of emergence of the seedlings the concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> were applied. The growth retardant Ethrel (2-chloroethyl phosphonic acid ) was applied at the concentrations namely 50, 100, 250, 1000µg/ml after 7 days of interval. One kept as control (distl. water treatment). Similarly in another experimental plot seedlings of squash were grown and  $GA_3$  at  $100\mu g/ml$ ,  $250\mu g/ml$ , 500µg/ml and 1000µg/ml were applied and after 7 days the second compound the growth retardant CCC (2-chloroethyl trimethyl ammonium chloride) was alsoµade to seedlings of squash at 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml by foliar spraying of the solutions. The spray was done twice at 30 days after sowing and again after 7 days of first application. The data was recorded on number of uale and female flowers at the flowering stage.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The interaction between ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub> was carried out on squash to examine the combined effect of the compounds on flowering. GA<sub>3</sub> was applied at the concentrations of 100, 250, 500 and 1000µg/ml, but ethrel was applied at the concentrations of 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml. Counting the number of flowers was done after 50 days. The number of uale flowers produced was recorded as 77.83, 109.73, 106.96 and 79.16 at 100, 250, 500 and 1000µg/ml concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> respectively. On the other hand, ethrel producedµale flowers were recorded as 77.76, 86.5, 90.83 and 58.63 at 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml concentrations respectively. Both GA3 and ethrel at  $250\mu g/ml$  emerged as optimal concentration (Figure 1). The optimal concentration of  $GA_3$  (250µg/ml) in combination with 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml of ethrel produced 122.73, 138.2, 140.53 and 131.4 number of µale flowers respectively against 76.06 number at the control (Table 1).

Statistical analysis (Table 2) of the experimental data reveals that both the compounds imparted their

Table 1
Interaction of Ethrel and GA <sub>3</sub> on production ofmale flowers
in <i>S.edule</i> L. (Mean of male flowers for 3 consecutive years)

	· ·				-	, ,
	Ethrel (mg/ml)	1	GA <sub>3</sub> Conc. (mg/ml)		Mean for	Ethrel
0	100	250	500	1000		
0	76.06	77.83	109.73	106.96	79.16	89.9
50	77.76	85.1	122.96	110.4	102.73	99.8
100	86.5	87.86	138.2	119.76	107.4	107.9
250	90.83	123.73	140.53	136.06	111.4	120.5
1000	58.63	67.5	131.4	107.06	84.63	89.8
Mean	77.9	88.4	128.6	116.0	97.1	
for GA	3					

CD for GA<sub>3</sub> (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 2.29, at 1% probability level = 3.00

CD for ethrel (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 2.29, at 1% probability level = 3.00



Figure 2: Interaction of between CCC and GA<sub>3</sub> on SechiumeduleL.

highly significant effects. The interaction also emerged as highly significant establishing the observed highly stimulatory combined effect of the compounds on production of flowers.

The interaction between ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub> proved to be highly stimulatory in producing female flowers on squash (Table 3). The number of female flowers was recorded as 36.9, 30.4, 29.3, 28.6 at the concentrations of 100, 250, 500 and 1000µg/ml of GA<sub>3</sub>. Ethrel produced female flowers were 49.96, 61.4, 31.3, 16.83 at 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml concentrations respectively. GA<sub>3</sub> 250µg/ml and ethrel 100µg/mlturned out to be optimal concentrations. GA<sub>3</sub> 250µg/ml produced female flowers in combination with 50, 100 (Optimum), 250 and 1000µg/ml of ethrel were recorded as 57.2, 89.2, 57.96, 24.4 respectively.

Statistical analysis (Table 4) of pooled data shows the effect of ethrel and  $GA_3$  to be highly significant. The interaction also emerged as highly significant establishing their positive effect in producingmore female flowers (Table 5).



Figure 1: Interaction between Ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub> on Sechiumedule L

 Table 2

 Analysis of Variance for interaction of ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub> on male flower of S. edule L.

	mare m	iner or or or ear	ite Ei	
Sources of Variance	Df	SS	Mean SS	Variance ratio (F)
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	25344.42	6336.1	621.2**
Ethrel	4	10128.05	2532.0	248.2**
Interaction (Ethrel×GA <sub>3</sub> )	$4 \times 4 = 16$	3323.15	207.7	20.4**
Error	50	510.6	10.2	
Total	75 - 1 = 74	39306.22		

Significant at 1% probability level.

The present investigation also reveals the positive effect of CCC and GA<sub>3</sub>on production of flowers of squash. GA<sub>3</sub> was applied at the concentrations of 100, 250, 500 and 1000µg/ml and CCC at 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml. The number of µale flowers was recorded as 81.76, 104.86, 102.86, 102.73 and 79.4 at 100, 250, 500 and  $1000\mu g/ml$  of GA<sub>3</sub>, while CCC at the concentrations of 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml produced 61.63, 57.96, 47.63 and 36.96 numbers of µale flowersrespectively (Table 6). GA<sub>3</sub> treated plants producedµoreµale flowers than CCC applied plants. Both the compounds in combination producedµore flowers than they produced individually. GA<sub>3</sub> 250µg/ml was optimal concentration which producedµore number ofµale flowers which was recorded as 82.3, 86.3, 81.73 and 56.86 in combination with 50, 100, 250 and 1000µg/ml of CCC concentrations. CCC at 50µg/ml was optimal concentration for producinguale flowers on squash.

Statistical analysis (Table 7) reveals that both  $GA_3$  and CCC effects as well as their interactions were highly significant.

The response of squash to CCC and  $GA_3$  wasµore pronounced on production of female flowers thanµale flowers.  $GA_3$  produced female flowers were recorded as 76.96, 77.86, 73.86 and 60.6 at 100, 250,

Table 3
Interaction of Ethrel and GA <sub>3</sub> on production of female
flowers in S. edule L. (Mean of female flowers for
3 consecutive years)

Ethrel (mg/ml)		(	GA <sub>3</sub> Conc. (mg/ml)		Mean for	Ethrel
0	100	250	500	1000		
0	31.06	36.9	30.4	29.3	28.6	31.3
50	49.96	59.0	57.2	43.3	41.63	50.2
100	61.4	80.9	89.27	6.43	66.3	74.8
250	31.3	50.9	57.96	48.96	46.4	47.1
1000	16.83	27.73	24.4	20.4	22.2	22.3
Mean fo GA <sub>3</sub>	r 38.1	51.1	51.8	43.7	41.0	

CD for GA<sub>3</sub> (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 1.51, at 1% probability level = 1.99

CD for ethrel (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 1.51, at 1% probability level = 1.99

Table 4Analysis of Variance for interaction of ethrel and GA3 on<br/>female flower of S. edule L.

Sources of Variance	Df	SS	Mean SS	Variance ratio (F)
GA <sub>3</sub>	4	2230.1	557.5	123.9**
Ethrel	4	24390.4	6097.6	1355**
Interaction	$4 \times 4 = 16$	1495.5	93.5	20.8**
(Ethrel $\times$ GA <sub>2</sub> )				
Error	50	223.5	4.5	
Total	75 - 1 = 74	28339.5		

Significant at 1% probability level.



Plate II: Interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> 250µg/ml and Ethrel 100µg/ml (Optimum) on production of fruits in S. edule L.



Plate I: Interaction of GA<sub>3</sub> 250µg/ml and CCC 250µg/ml (Optimum) on production of fruits

Table 5
Interactions between ethrel and GA <sub>3</sub> on Sechiumedule L.

			5	
Serial	Concentration	Male	Female	Male : female
No.		flower	flower	-
1.	$GA_{2}0$ + ethrel 0	76.06	31.06	2.45:1
2.	$GA_{3}$ 100 + ethrel 0	77.83	36.9	2.11:1
3.	$GA_{3}^{250}$ + ethrel 0	109.73	30.4	3.61:1
4.	$GA_{3}500 + ethrel 0$	106.96	29.3	3.65:1
5.	$GA_{3}1000 + ethrel 0$	79.16	28.6	2.77:1
6.	$GA_{3}0$ + ethrel 50	77.76	49.96	1.56:1
7.	$GA_{3}100 + ethrel 50$	85.1	59.0	1.44:1
8.	$GA_{3}250 + ethrel 50$	122.96	57.2	2.15:1
9.	$GA_{3}500 + ethrel 50$	110.4	43.3	2.55:1
10.	GA <sub>2</sub> 1000 + ethrel 50	102.73	41.63	2.47:1
11.	$GA_{3}0$ + ethrel 100	86.5	61.4	1.41:1
12.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + ethrel 100	87.86	80.9	1.09:1
13.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + ethrel 100	138.2	89.2	1.55:1
14.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500+ethrel 100	119.76	76.43	1.57:1
15.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + ethrel 100	107.4	66.3	1.62:1
16.	$GA_{3}0$ + ethrel 250	90.83	31.3	2.90:1
17.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + ethrel 250	123.73	50.9	2.43:1
18.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + ethrel 250	140.53	57.96	2.42:1
19.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500 + ethrel 250	136.06	48.96	2.78:1
20.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + ethrel 250	111.4	46.4	2.40:1
21.	$GA_{3}0$ + ethrel 1000	58.63	16.83	3.48:1
22.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + ethrel 1000	67.5	27.73	2.43:1
23.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + ethrel 1000	131.4	24.4	5.39:1
24.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500 + ethrel 1000	107.06	20.4	5.25:1
25.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + ethrel 1000	84.6	22.2	3.81:1

500 and  $1000\mu g/ml$  respectively. On the other hand CCC produced female flowers were in the order of 69.2, 89.06, 99.1, 62.86 at 50, 100, 250 and  $1000\mu g/ml$  (Table 8). Both the compound at  $250\mu g/ml$  turned out to be optimal concentration (Figure2). GA<sub>3</sub> at  $250\mu g/ml$  produced female flowers in combination with CCC at 50, 100, 250,  $1000\mu g/ml$  were recorded as 131.16, 134.76, 140.2 and 114.2 respectively.More

S. edul	e L. (Me	an ofmal	e flowers	for 3 cons	secutive ye	ears)
(1	CCC GA (mg/ml) (m		GA <sub>3</sub> Conc. (mg/ml)	A <sub>3</sub> Conc. mg/ml)		CCC
0	100	250	500	1000		
0	74.86	81.76	104.86	102.73	79.4	88.7
50	61.63	54.96	82.3	83.2	50.1	66.4
100	57.96	52.4	86.3	77.06	54.73	65.7
250	47.63	50.76	81.73	73.86	47.0	60.2
1000	36.96	49.2	56.86	43.53	40.3	45.4
Mean for GA <sub>3</sub>	55.8	57.8	82.4	76.1	54.3	

Table 6Interaction of CCC and  $GA_s$  on production ofmale flowers in

CD for GA<sub>3</sub> (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 1.57, at 1% probability level = 2.06

CD for CCC (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 1.57, at 1% probability level = 2.06

Table 7	
Analysis of Variance for interaction of CCC and GA <sub>3</sub> on ma	le
flower of S edule I	

	110/11	er or or caute	<b>L</b> .	
Sources of Variance	Df	SS	Mean SS	Variance ratio (F)
GA <sub>3</sub> CCC Interaction	4 4 4 × 4 = 16	10138.1 14600.1 1763.1	2534.5 3650.02 110.2	528.02** 760.4** 22.9**
Error Total	50 75 - 1 = 74	241.3 26742.59	4.8	

Significant at 1% probability level.

number of female flowers was produced by the combined effect of CCC and GA<sub>3</sub> than they produced individually. Statistical analysis (Table 9) proves that the effects of both the compounds as well as their interaction were highly significant. TheMale:female ratio (Table 10)at the respective concentration shows positive impact of the interaction of the PGRs.

The influence of GA<sub>3</sub> on conversion of reproductive character with respect to S.edule L studied carefully. The statistical analysis of the experimental dataµakes it clear that GA<sub>3</sub> imparts a positive response in this crop. The interaction between GA<sub>3</sub> and ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub> and CCC displayed a result with increased number of female flowers (Plate I, II, III, IV, V). The interaction between GA<sub>3</sub> 250 and ethrel 100µg/ml producedµaximum number of female flowers recording it as 89.2. On the other hand GA<sub>3</sub> 250µg/ml and CCC 250µg/ml produced maximum female flowers recorded as 140.2. The combined effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and ethrel, GA<sub>3</sub> and CCC can be considered beneficial for squash. This finding is in conformity with the findings of Ao (1996) and Barkataki (2002) who confirmed enhanced flowering



Plate III: S. edule L. at flowering stage (Control)

in Kew and Queen varieties of pineapple by the application of  $GA_3$  and ethrel. The results of this present experiment is also in conformity with the findings of Baruahand Sarma (2015) who recommended that the combined effect of ethrel and  $GA_3$ , CCC and  $GA_3$  on cucumber is highly significant.

Table 8
Interaction of CCC and GA <sub>3</sub> on production of female flowers
in S. edule L. (Mean of female flowers for 3 consecutive years)

	Ethrel (mg/ml)	(	GA <sub>3</sub> Conc. (mg/ml)		Mean fo	r CCC
0	100	250	500	1000		
0	65.53	76.96	77.86	73.86	60.6	70.9
50	69.2	110.86	131.16	113.66	70.1	98.9
100	89.06	115.53	134.76	104.63	74.73	103.7
250	99.1	121.83	140.2	97.63	88.86	109.5
1000	62.86	101.16	114.2	96.93	67.43	88.5
Mean fo GA <sub>3</sub>	or 77.2	105.3	119.6	97.3	72.3	

CD for  $GA_3(n = 15)$  at 5% probability level = 1.45, at 1% probability level = 1.90

CD for CCC (n = 15) at 5% probability level = 1.45, at 1% probability level = 1.90

Table 9Analysis of Variance for interaction of CCC and $GA_3$ onfemale flower of S. edule L.							
Sources of Variance	Df	SS	Mean SS	Variance ratio (F)			
GA <sub>3</sub> CCC Interaction	$4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \times 4 = 16$	23211.9 13824.6 4578.8	5802.9 3456.2 286.2	1415.3** 842.9** 69 8**			
$(CCC \times GA_3)$	4 × 4 = 10	202.6	4.1	09.0			
Total	75 – 1 = 74	41818.9	7.1				

Significant at 1% probability level.

Sex expression in plants is related to increased nitrogen content of the plant and it can beµodified towards femaleness with increased nitrogen uptake (Minina 1938; Ito and Kato 1953; Brantley and Warren 1960). It was reported by Gopalakrishnan (1965) that nitrogen increases in plants with plant growth regulators spray. That the high level of calcium producesµore female flowers were reported by Waters and Nettles (1961) and Gopalkrishnan (1965). Sigh and Choudhury (1976) confirmed that GA<sub>3</sub> 10 ppm sprayed at two and four leaf stage significantly increased the percentage of calcium which ultimately increased the production of female flowers and thereby yield in cucumber. Davis (1987) suggested that exogenous application of plant growth regulators can alter the sex ratio and sequence, if applied at 2 or 4 leaf stage, the critical stage at which the suppression or promotion of either sex is possible. Hence, modification of sex to desired direction has to bemanipulated by exogenous application of plant growth regulators once, twice or even thrice, at different intervals.

Pandey and Singh (1976) proposed that combined application of N and MH producedmore female flowers and the greater in yield in bottle gourd. Ghosh and Basu (1983) conducted an experiment to study the effect of plant growth regulators on sex expression in Momordicacharantia L. by the application of GA<sub>3</sub>, IAA and 3-hydroxymethyloxindole (HMO). They recommended that all that plant growth regulators stimulated female flowering. Both IAA and HMO accelerated ethylene evolution in the seedlings of M. charantia L. while a low concentration of ethrel promoted flowering. Growth regulators or phytohormones, especially ethrel (Papudopoulonet al 2005, Manzano et. al. 2008) and Giberellic acid i.e. GA<sub>3</sub> (Thomas 2008) aremostly used tomodify sex expression inmelon. A number of investigations have revealed that phytohormones are involved in changes



Plate IV: S. edule L. at fruiting stage (Control).

Table 10					
Interactions between CCC and GA <sub>3</sub> on Sechiumedule L					

Serial	Concentration	Male	Female	Male : female
No.		flower	flower	
1.	$GA_{2}0 + CCC 0$	74.86	65.53	1.41:1
2.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + CCC 0	81.76	76.96	1.06:1
3.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + CCC 0	104.86	77.86	1.35:1
4.	$GA_{3}500 + CCC 0$	102.73	73.86	1.39:1
5.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + CCC 0	79.4	60.6	1.31:1
6.	$GA_{3}^{\circ}0 + CCC 50$	61.63	69.2	0.89:1
7.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + CCC 50	54.96	110.86	0.50:1
8.	$GA_{3}^{2}250 + CCC 50$	82.3	131.16	0.63:1
9.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500 + CCC 50	83.2	113.66	0.73:1
10.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + CCC 50	50.1	70.1	0.71:1
11.	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 + CCC 100	57.96	89.06	0.65:1
12.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + CCC 100	52.4	115.53	0.45:1
13.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + CCC 100	86.3	134.76	0.64:1
14.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500 + CCC 100	77.06	104.63	0.74:1
15.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + CCC 100	54.73	74.73	0.73:1
16.	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 + CCC 250	47.63	99.1	0.48:1
17.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + CCC 250	50.76	121.83	0.42:1
18.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + CCC 250	81.73	140.2	0.58:1
19.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500 + CCC 250	73.86	97.63	0.76:1
20.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + CCC 250	47.0	88.86	0.53:1
21.	GA <sub>3</sub> 0 +CCC 1000	36.96	62.86	0.59:1
22.	GA <sub>3</sub> 100 + CCC 1000	49.2	101.16	0.49:1
23.	GA <sub>3</sub> 250 + CCC 1000	56.86	114.2	0.50:1
24.	GA <sub>3</sub> 500 + CCC 1000	43.53	96.93	0.45:1
25.	GA <sub>3</sub> 1000 + CCC 1000	40.3	67.43	0.60:1

of flower type, number and ratio of flowers of different sex types,modification of flowering time and of other traits related to sex expression (Noguera *et. al*, 2005; Papadopoulou *et. al*. 2005, Yamasaki *et. al*. 2005, Ouzounidou *et. al*. 2008, Martin *et. al*. 2009). Most of these studies were carried outin cucumber, themodel plant for studying sex expression in cucurbitaceae. However,most of these investigations were focussed only on one or few traits and by using specificphy to hormones. The present investigation confirmed that, the effect of interaction of ethrel and



Plate V: A part of the Experimental plot.

 $GA_{3}$ , CCC and  $GA_{3}$  is significant in producing maximum female flowers in squash which is reflected in increased yield.

## CONCLUSION

In the present study interaction between growth promoter and growth retardant was carried to evaluate their effect on reversal of reproductive character in squash. The combined effect of ethrel and GA<sub>3</sub> proved to be highly effective in generating femaleness on S.edule L. GA<sub>3</sub> 250mg/ml and ethrel 100mg/ml turned out as optimal concentration for producing maximum number of female flowers (89.2) against 31.06 at control. However, the number of male flowers wasmore at the same combination recording 138.2 against 76.06 at the control. But the female flowers were almost three times higher than the control. Similarly, the combined effect of CCC and GA<sub>3</sub> wasmore pronounced in increasing the femaleness on S. edule L. than they produced individually. GA<sub>3</sub> at 250mg/ml in combination with 250mg/ml CCC produced 140.2 number of female

flowers against 65.53 at the control. But the number ofmale flowers at all combinations was significantly lower than the numbers produced by  $GA_3$  alone. These findings establishes the fact thatby the combined effect of PGRs the productivity of *S.edule* L. can be increased to such an extent which would fulfil the needs of the farmers and people as well.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors duly acknowledged the sincere support, cooperation and help received from Mr. Jayanta Kumar Roy, Asstt. Executive Engineer, Goalpara Building Sub Division, PWD, Assam and Mr. Swapnaneel Roy, Civil Engineering student, Royal School of Engineering & Technology, Guwahati, Assam.

### REFERENCES

- Ao K Chuba (1995), Studies on the effect of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering, fruit quality and yield of pineapple. Ph.D Thesis, Gauhati University.
- Barkataki B (2002), Effect of GA<sub>3</sub>, NAA and Ethrel on vegetative growth, flowering, fruit quality and yield of pineapple (cv Kew and Queen). Ph.D Thesis, Gauhati University.
- Baruah N and Sarma CM (2013), Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on reversal of reproductive character in *Sechiumedule* L. leading to crop improvement.*Indian Journal of Agricultural Research.* **47**(6): 517-522.
- Baruah N and Sarma CM (2015), Interaction of Plant Growth Regulators on reversal of reproductive character in *CucumissativusL* leading to crop improvement.*International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*. **33**(2): 493-498.
- Brantley B B and Warren G F (1960), Effect of nitrogen on flowering, fruiting and quality in watermelon. *Proc. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci* **75**: 644-649.
- Cathey hM (1964), Physiology of growth retarding chemicals. Ann. Rev. Plant. Physiol 15: 271-302.
- Das A and Prasad R (2004), Effect of plant growth regulators on green gram (Phase olatus radiatus). *Ind. J. of Agricultural Sci.* **74**(5): 271-272
- Davis PJ (1987), Plant hormone and their role in plant growth and development. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, *London*. pp..232-239.
- Desai U T, Choudhari K G, Rane S D and Patil Y S (1982), Alternation of flowering period in kaghzi lime with plant growth substances. *Jr. Maharashtra Agri. Univ.* 7: 161-162
- Ghosh S, Basu P S (1983). Hormonal regulation of sex expression in *Momordicacharantia* L. *PhysiolPlant* **57**(2): 301

- Gopalkrishnan P K (1965), Effect of plant growth regulator in certain physiological aspects of sex and fruiting behaviour in watermelon (*Citrullus vulgarisschrad*). Thesis submitted for Ph.D at IARI, New Delhi.
- Heslop-HarrissonJ (1957), The experimentalmodification of sex expression in flowering plants.*Biol.Rev.* **32**: 38-90.
- Heslop-Harrisson J (1963). Sex expression in flowering plants, meristems and differentiation. *Brook haven symp. Bio* **16**: 109-125.
- Ito H and T Kato (1953), Factors responsible for sex expression of Japanese Cucumber. *J. Hort. Ass. Japan* **22**: 138-44.
- Lama PC (2000), Biology of *Sechiumedule* Sw. in Darjeeling. Influence of plant growth regulators on growth, metabolism and yield. Ph.D. Thesis. North Bengal University, India.
- Laibach F and Kribben FJ (1959), Einfluss von Wuchstoff auf die Blutenbildung der GurkeZeitschr. *Natureforsch* **58**: 160.
- Manzano S, Martinez C, Kraakman P and Jamilenam (2008), Use of ethylene production as amarker for the selection of gynoecy inmelon (Cucumismelo).*In PitratM* (*ed*) *Proceedings of the IX th EUCARPIA meeting on genetics and breeding of cucurbitaceae. INRA, Avignon*, p. 557-561.
- Martin A, Troadec CH, Boualem A, Rajab M, Fernandez R, Morin H, Pitrat M, Dogimont C and Bendahmane A (2009), A transposon induced epigenetic change leads to sex determination inmelon. *Nature* **461**: 1135-1139.
- MiaM.A., Baset Islam M.S and Shamsuddin Z.H. (2014), Altered sex expression by plant growth regulators: An overview inmedicinal vegetable bitter gourd (Momordicacharantia L.), *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research* **8**(8) : 361-367.
- Minina F G (1938), On phenotypicalmodification of sexual characters in higher plants under the influence of the condition of nutrition and other external factors.*C*.*R*. *Acad. Sci. USSR (Docklady)* **21**: 298.
- Nagaich K N, Lekhi R, Trivedi S K (2000), Influence of plant growth regulators on flowering, fruit yield and quality of pumpkin. *Hort. Abstr.* **70**(7): 783.

- Noguera FJ, Capel J, Alvarez JI and Lozano R (2005), Development andmapping of a codominant SCAR marker linked to the andromonoecious gene of melon. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **110**: 714-720.
- Ouzounidou G, Papadopoulou P, Giannakoula A and Ilias I (2008), Plant growth regulators treatments modulate growth, physiology and quality characteristics of Cucumismelo L. plants. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **40**: 1185-1193.
- Pandey RP, Singh K (1976), Effect of plant growth regulators on sex expression, fruit set and yield of sponge gourd (*Luffa cylindrical* poem.). *Hort. Abstract.* 499(11): 733
- Papadopoulou E, Little HA, Hammer SA and Grumet R (2005), Effect ofmodified endogenous ethylene production on sex expression, bisexual flower development and fruit production inmelon (*Cucumismelo* L.). *Sexual Plant Reproduction* **18**: 131-142
- Robinson R W, Wilczynski H de La, Guaradia M D and Shannon S (1970), Chemical regulation of fruit ripening and sex expression.*Newyork's food and life sciences quarterly* **3**(1): 10-11.
- Singh N and Choudhury B (1976), Further studies on nutrient uptake as affected by growth regulator sprays in relation to sexmodification in cucumber. *Indian. J. Hort.* **33**: 56-59.
- Thomas T.D. (2008), The effect of in vivo and in vitro applications of ethrel and GA3 on sex expression in bittermelon (Momordicacharantia L.). *Euphytica* **164**: 317-323.
- Thukral B R, Singh R, Misra K K and Jaiswal H R (1993), Effect of growth regulators on regulation of crop and fruit quality in lemon (*Citrus limon* Burn). *Ann. Agric. Res.* 14: 322-326.
- Waters WE and Nettles VF (1961), The effect of calcium on growth responses, sex expression, fruit responses and chemical composition of the Charleston Gray watermelon. *Proc. Amer. Soc. hort. sci* **77**: 508-512.
- Yamasaki S, Fujii N and Takahashi H (2005), Hormonal regulation of sex expression in plants. *Vitamins and Hormones* **72**: 79-110.