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#### Abstract

An investigation was made by line x tester analysis using two GMS lines viz., IMS 1 and ms CO 5 and one CMS line GT288A with ten testers viz., VBN1, ICPL 83024, APK1, ICPL 83027, ICPL 86020, ICPL87, ICPL 90028, ICPL 91045, ICPL 84031 and ICPL 94032. The Per se performance, combining ability and heterotic vigour of the hybrids were estimated to evaluate their performance. The estimates of GCA and SCA variances indicated the predominance of non-additive gene action for all the characters studied including physiological traits. Based on per se performance and gca effects among the lines, the line ms CO 5 was found to be the best for seed yield and number of pods/plant and GT 288A was found to be superior for the physiological traits studied. Among the testers studied, ICPL 86020 had desirable per se and gca effects for the characters like number of pods/plant and nitrogen content in leaves apart from seed yield/plant. Based on per se, sca effects and standard heterosis, the hybrids ms CO5 $x$ ICPL87 and ms CO5 x ICPL 91045 were identified to be superior for yield and physiological traits.
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## INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea or redgram (Cajanus cajan(L.) Millsp.) is an important legume food crop grown primarily by small farmers in many semi-arid tropical regions of the World. It is an important crop as each and every part of pigeonpea plant provides subsistence economic return to the farmer. It is a low input crop that can be grown under wide range of environmental conditions. It is a protein rich low cost pulse that is feasible for the common people to fulfil their nutrient requirements.

The overall productivity is low in pigeonpea for several decades due to its long duration, thermoand photosensitive nature. Hence, intensive research and development are needed to change the scenario. In this present investigation, experiments were conducted with two GMS lines, one CMS line and 10 testers to assess the extent of heterosis in GMS and CMS based hybrids in 30 cross combinations and to develop a hybrid with high yield and promising physiological outputs.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Heterosis and combining ability of important yield and physiological traits were studied for 30
synthesized pigeonpea hybrids along with their parents and checks. The experiments were conducted at Millet Breeding Station, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics (CPBG), Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.

Three male sterile lines comprising oftwo GMS lines viz., IMS1 (ms1ms1) and ms CO 5 ( $m s 1 m s 1$ with translucent anthers) and a CMS line GT288A with brown arrow-head shaped anthers were used as 'Lines' in the present study. Ten varieties/cultivars with duration range of 117 to 162 days were used as 'Testers'.

The source of the selected parental materials and their special attributes are furnished in Table 1.

Crosses were made between the three male sterile lines and ten testers in L x T fashion and 30 hybrids developed and studied.

Seven important biometric traits viz., days to 50 per cent flowering, days to maturity, plant height, number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight and seed yield per plant and eight physiological traits viz., harvest index, total dry matter produced per plant and partitioning, leaf area, specific leaf weight, leaf chlorophyll content,

[^0]photosynthetic rate, nitrate reductase activity in leaves and nitrogen content in leaves were recorded on five randomly selected plants in each row in each replication. The observations on harvest index, total dry matter produced per plant and partitioning and nitrogen content in leaves were taken at maturity. For the physiological traits viz., leaf area, specific leaf weight, leaf chlorophyll content, photosynthetic rate and nitrate reductase activity in leaves, observations were made using the fully expanded leaves at the axil having 50 per cent filled green pods. Since the female plants of GMS lines segregated for fertility and sterility, only the fertile plants within a row were selected at random for recording the observations. The combining ability analysis was carried out as per the method suggested by Kempthorne (1957). The mean values of each metric trait were used to estimate heterosis percentage by following the methods suggested by Turner (1953) and Fonseca and Patterson (1968).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance for the characters studied indicated that the variances due to both parents and hybrids were highly significant for all the 15 traits. The variance due to lines was highly significant for all the yield components except days to maturity and 100 seed weight. This revealed the diverse nature of the selected lines owing to the inherent mechanism prevailing in these genotypes to contribute for variability among yield contributing traits. But in the case of physiological traits, no significant difference
was noticed. The significance of line x tester interaction for all characters indicated that certain cross combinations were significantly superior over others.The combining ability variances for various characters are given in the Table 2.

The best parents based on per se performance and gca and the best hybrids based on mean performance, sca effects and standard heterosis are given in Table 3 and 4 respectively. These studies indicated that all the characters were largely under the influence of nonadditive gene action as the SCA variance was higher than the GCA variance (Table 2). Therefore the parents of these hybrids could be effectively utilized for exploration of the heterotic potential through the breeding programme. This was in accordance with the reports by Reddy et al. (1979), Sidhu et al. (1979) and Jayamala and Rathnaswamy (2001).

Among the lines, IMS 1 was found to be a good general combiner for the characters viz., days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, plant height, harvest index, specific leaf weight, chlorophyll content in leaves, nitrate reductase activity in leaves, leaf nitrogen content and photosynthetic rate. This was in accordance with the results of Vanniarajan et al. (1999). The ms CO 5 had higher gca effects for the traits number of branches per plant, number of pods per plant, 100 seed weight, leaf area, dry matter production and seed yield per plant. This was in accordance with the reports of Patel (2007). GT 288A showed higher gca effects for the characters viz., chlorophyll content in leaves, nitrate reductase

Table 1
Source and Special features of the parents

| S.N | Code | Parents | Source | Special features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | IMS 1 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 2. | $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ | ms CO 5 | TNAU, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu | Early, compact, indeterminate and yellow flower |
| 3. | $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ | GT 288A | Gujarat Agricultural University, S.K.Nagar, Gujarat | Medium, compact, indeterminate and yellow flower |
|  |  | Testers |  |  |
| 1. | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | VBN 1 | National Pulses Research Centre, Vamban, Tamil Nadu. | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 2. | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | ICPL 83024 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and reddish yellow flower |
| 3. | T3 | APK 1 | Regional Research Station, Arupukottai, TNAU, Tamil Nadu | Early, compact, determinate and red flower |
| 4. | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | ICPL 83027 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 5. | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | ICPL 86020 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 6. | T6 | ICPL 87 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 7. | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | ICPL 90028 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 8. | $\mathrm{T}_{8}$ | ICPL 91045 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Medium, compact, indeterminate and yellow flower |
| 9. | T9 | ICPL 84031 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
| 10. | $\mathrm{T}_{10}$ | ICPL 94032 | ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh | Early, compact, determinate and yellow flower |
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Table 2
Combining ability variance

| Characters | GCA <br> variance | SCA <br> variance | GCA/SCA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Days to 50 \% flowering | 0.34 | 13.87 | $0.03: 1$ |
| Days to maturity | 3.47 | 331.05 | $01.01: 1$ |
| Plant height | 26.73 | 333.09 | $0.081: 1$ |
| Number of branches | 0.03 | 1.67 | $0.02: 1$ |
| per plant |  |  |  |
| Number of pods per plant | 93.09 | 1946.01 | $0.05: 1$ |
| 100 seed weight | 0.01 | 0.77 | $0.02: 1$ |
| Harvest index | 0.98 | 208.17 | $0.005: 1$ |
| Specific leaf weight | 0.0005 | 2.35 | $0.0002: 1$ |
| Leaf chlorophyll content | 1.42 | 155.78 | $0.0009: 1$ |
| Leaf nitrate reductase activity | 389.05 | 91305.65 | $0.004: 1$ |
| Leaf area | 7977.75 | 444708.82 | $0.02: 1$ |
| Leaf nitrogen content | 0.0006 | 0.08 | $0.008: 1$ |
| Photosynthetic rate | 0.25 | 40.57 | $0.0006: 1$ |
| Dry matter production | 39.27 | 1036.35 | $0.04: 1$ |
| Seed yield per plant | 12.27 | 252.18 | $0.05: 1$ |

activity in leaves, photosynthetic rate, dry matter production and seed yield per plant.

The testers ICPL 91045, APK 1, ICPL 84031 and ICPL 86020 were found to be good general combiners for yield component traits. The line IMS1 exhibited the highest mean performance for days to $50 \%$ flowering (negative direction), days to maturity (negative direction), harvest index, specific leaf weight, chlorophyll content of the leaf and leaf nitrogen content. In testers APK1 and ICPL 83024 showed higher mean performance for yield component traits. This was similar to the results got by Baskaran and Muthiah (2007).

The hybrids msCO5 x ICPL 91045, msCO5 x ICPL 83027 and msCO5 x ICPL 87 were found to be good specific combiners for yield and yield component traits. The hybrids viz., IMS1 x VBN1 and IMS1 x APK1 involving atleast one of the good combining parents for earliness could be utilized for exploiting earliness; msCO5 x ICPL 87 and msCO5 x ICPL 91045 registered higher per se performance for dry matter production and seed yield per plant.

The hybrid IMS1 x VBN1 showed significant standard heterosis for days to $50 \%$ flowering, days to maturity, plant height, 100 seed weight and nitrate activity in leaves; msCO5 x ICPL 91045 exhibited significant standard heterosis for leaf area, dry matter production and seed yield per plant.

From the above results msCO5 x ICPL 91045 was found to be a promising hybrid based on higher mean performance, positive SCA effect and positive heterosis over standard check (Table 4).

Since, all the 15 characters including the physiological traits exhibited non-additive gene action, effective hybridization programme could be done and hybrid vigour could be exploited commercially besides selections could also be made in further generations to improve the characters concerned.

## CONCLUSION

Discovery of stable genetic male sterility and cytoplasmic male sterility, coupled with oftenoutcrossing nature of pigeonpea has opened the possibility for commercial utilization of heterosis in pigeonpea. Since all the 15 characters studied were found to have non-additive gene action, efforts can be made for utilizing the parents for further hybridisation and selection programmes. The potential hybrids chosen from the experiment can then be visualized as new ideotypes, since they are of short-duration, short-statured, determinate in growth habit and with desirable yield components and physiological traits.
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Table 3

| Characters | Lines |  | Testers |  | Mean and gca |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | gca | Mean | gca | Lines | Testers |
| Days to 50\% flowering | IMS 1 | IMS 1 | ICPL 90028, APK 1,ICPL 83024 | ICPL 84031,ICPL 83027, VBN 1 | IMS 1 | - |
| Days to maturity | IMS 1 | IMS 1 | APK 1, ICPL 87, ICPL 84031 | VBN 1, ICPL 94032, APK 1 | IMS 1 | APK 1 |
| Plant height | IMS 1 | IMS 1 | ICPL 84031, APK 1, ICPL 94032 | ICPL 94032, VBN 1, ICPL 84031 | IMS 1 | ICPL 94032, <br> ICPL 84031 |
| No. of branches/plant | ms CO 5 | msCO 5 | ICPL 90028, ICPL 94032, ICPL 83027 | ICPL 83024, APK 1, ICPL 90028 | ms CO 5 | ICPL 90028 |
| No. of pods/plant | ms CO 5 | ms CO 5 | ICPL 83027, ICPL 91045, ICPL 86020 | ICPL 90028, ICPL 86020, ICPL 91045 | ms CO 5 | ICPL 86020, ICPL 91045 |
| 100-seed weight | GT 288A | msCO 5 | ICPL 90028, ICPL 87, ICPL 86020 | ICPL 90028, VBN 1, ICPL 84031 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GT 288A, } \\ & \text { ms CO } 5 \end{aligned}$ | ICPL 90028 |
| Harvest index | IMS 1 | IMS 1 | APK 1, ICPL 83024, ICPL 90028 | ICPL 84032, VBN 1, ICPL 94032 | IMS 1 | - |
| Specific leaf weight | IMS 1 | IMS 1 | ICPL 83024, VBN 1, ICPL 90028 | VBN 1, ICPL 90028, ICPL 83024 | IMS 1 | VBN 1, ICPL 90028, ICPL 83024 |
| Chlorophyll content in leaves | IMS 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GT } \\ & \text { 288A } \end{aligned}$ | ICPL 84031, VBN 1, ICPL 86020 | ICPL 84031, ICPL 83027, APK 1 | IMS 1, <br> GT 288A | ICPL 84031 |
| Nitrate reductase activity in leaves | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GT } \\ & 288 \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | IMS 1 | ICPL 86020, ICPL 83027, APK 1 | ICPL 91045, ICPL 86020, APK 1 | IMS 1, GT 288A | - |
| Leaf area | ms CO 5 | msCO 5 | ICPL 91045, <br> ICPL 83027, APK 1 | ICPL 91045, <br> ICPL 86020, APK 1 | ms CO 5 | ICPL 91045, APK 1 |
| Leaf nitrogen content | IMS 1 | IMS 1, <br> GT 288A | ICPL 86020, VBN 1, ICPL 83024 | ICPL 86020, ICPL 87, ICPL 94032 | IMS 1, <br> GT 288A | ICPL 86020 |
| Photosynthetic rate | GT 288A | IMS 1 | ICPL 83024, ICPL 83027, APK 1 | VBN 1, ICPL 86020, ICPL 94032 | IMS 1, <br> GT 288A | - |
| Dry matter production | GT 288A | msCO 5 | ICPL 83027, ICPL 91045, ICPL 83024 | ICPL 90028, ICPL 86020, ICPL 91045 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ms CO 5, } \\ & \text { GT 288A } \end{aligned}$ | ICPL 91045 |
| Seed yield/plant | GT 288A | ms CO 5 | ICPL 83027, ICPL 83024, ICPL 86020 | ICPL 90028, ICPL 87, ICPL 86020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ms CO 5, } \\ & \text { GT 288A } \end{aligned}$ | ICPL 86020 |

Table 4
Best hybrids based on mean performance, sca and standard heterosis

| Characters | Mean | sca | Standard heterosis | Mean, sca and standard heterosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Days to 50\% flowering | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 84031, <br> IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS 1x ICPL 84031, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 83027, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 84031, <br> IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ APK 1, <br> IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 84031, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 |
| Days to maturity | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83024, IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> GT 288A x ICPL 86020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GT } 288 \mathrm{~A} \times \text { ICPL } \\ & \text { 86020, ms CO } 5 \times \text { ICPL } \\ & \text { 83027, GT 288 X } \\ & \text { ICPL } 84031 \end{aligned}$ | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83024, IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , GT 288 A x ICPL 86020 | GT 288A x ICPL 86020 |
| Plant height | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 94032, <br> IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 | GT $288 \mathrm{~A} \times$ ICPL 86020 , ms CO 5 x ICPL 83027, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 94032, <br> IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 |  |
| No. of branches/plant | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83024, ms CO $5 \times$ APK 1, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ APK 1 , ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83024, ms CO $5 \times$ APK 1, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027 | ms CO $5 \times$ APK 1, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027 |
| No. of pods/plant | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 86020 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87, GT 288A x ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 86020, | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87, <br> ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 |
| 100-seed weight | IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , GT 288A x ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 84031 | IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , GT 288A x ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 84031 | IMS1 x VBN 1, GT 288A x ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 84031 | IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 84031 |
| Harvest index | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028, GT 288A x ICPL 86020, GT 288A x ICPL 84031 | GT 288A x ICPL 86020, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 83024 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028 , GT 288A x ICPL 86020, GT 288A x ICPL 84031 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028 , GT 288A x ICPL 86020 |
| Specific leaf weight | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028, IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , ms CO $5 \times$ VBN 1 | IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028 , GT 288A x ICPL 84031 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028, IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , ms CO $5 \times$ VBN 1 | IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 90028 |
| Chlorophyll content in leaves | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 84031 , <br> GT 288A x APK 1 , <br> GT 288A x ICPL 90028 | GT 288A x ICPL 90028, GT 288A x APK 1 , GT 288A x ICPL 83024 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 84031, <br> GT 288A x APK 1 , <br> GT 288A x ICPL 90028 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GT 288A } \times \text { APK } 1, \\ & \text { GT 288A } \times \text { ICPL } 90028 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nitrate reductase activity in leaves | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, <br> IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 86020, GT 288A x ICPL 87 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, <br> IMS $1 \times$ APK 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ VBN 1 , <br> IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 91045 |
| Leaf area | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028 |
| Leaf nitrogen content | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 86020, GT 288A x ICPL 87, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 86020, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 94032, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 86020, GT 288A x ICPL 87, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 83027 | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 86020 |
| Photosynthetic rate | GT 288A x VBN 1 , IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 94032, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 87 | GT 288A $\times$ VBN 1 , ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 87 | GT 288A x VBN 1 , IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 94032, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 87 | GT 288A x VBN 1, IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 87 |
| Dry matter production | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87, | IMS $1 \times$ ICPL 86020, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 |
| Seed yield/plant | $\mathrm{ms} \mathrm{CO} 5 \times$ ICPL 87 , ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87 , GT 288A x ICPL 83027, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 | $\mathrm{ms} \mathrm{CO} 5 \times$ ICPL 87 , ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 90028, ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045, | ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 87, <br> ms CO $5 \times$ ICPL 91045 |
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