Multi-objective Genetic Algorithm for Optimal Power Flow Including Voltage Stability

P. Arunajeyanthy*, D. Devaraj** and S.N. Rekha***

ABSTRACT

A MOGA for solving the multi-objective optimal power flow (OPF) problem is proposed in this paper. In this method, in the genetic population, the optimization variables are represented in their natural form. The algorithm ensures non-dominated solutions and simultaneously maintains diversity among the non-dominated solutions. The new algorithm applied to an IEEE 30 bus system. The Pareto-optimal front obtained from MOGA is compared with reference Pareto front which is obtained with multiple runs. This paper shows the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm.

Keywords: Genetic Algorithms, MOGA, OPF.

1. INTRODUCTION

The optimal power flow problem aims to achieve an objective function. Various mathematical techniques are proposed for solving the OPF problem. They are linear [1] and nonlinear programming [13], [20], [24]. Quadratic programming [5], Newton method [26], Interior point method [28] etc. In [15] linear programming with bounded variables is used for the optimal shift in power dispatch related to contingency states. Recently, techniques such as genetic algorithms [10], evolutionary programming [29] and particle swarm optimization [2] are proposed to solve the OPF problem. In this paper, the OPF problem is treated as a multi-objective optimization problem. L-index [11] is used as the indicator of the stability of voltage.

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

2.1. Objective functions

Minimize
$$F_C = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} (a_i P_{gi}^2 + b_i P_{gi} + c_i) \ \text{/} hr$$
 (1)

and

$$Minimize \quad L_{\max} \tag{2}$$

These constraints represent the typical load flow equations

2.2. Inequality constraints

Voltage limits

^{*} Kalasalingam University, India, Email: p.arunajeyanthy@klu.ac.in

^{**} Kalasalingam University, India, Email: deva230@yahoo.com

^{***} Sapthagiri College, Bangalore, India, Email: sn_rekha@rediffmail.com

$$V_i^{\min} \le V_i \le V_i^{\max} \quad ; i \in N_B \tag{3}$$

$$P_{g_i}^{\min} \leq P_{g_i} \leq P_{g_i}^{\max} \quad ; \ i \in N_B \tag{4}$$

$$Q_{gi}^{\min} \leq Q_{gi} \leq Q_{gi}^{\max} ; i \in N_g$$
(5)

$$Q_{ci}^{\min} \leq Q_{ci} \leq Q_{ci}^{\max} ; i \in N_c$$
(6)

$$t_k^{\min} \le t_k \le t_k^{\max} \quad ; k \in N_T \tag{7}$$

$$S_l \leq S_l^{\max} \quad ; l \in N_l \tag{8}$$

$$Minimize \quad F_T = [F_C, L^{\max}] \tag{9}$$

constraints (3) - (8).

3. MULTI-OBJECTIVE GENETIC ALGORITHM (MOGA)

$$r_i = \eta_i + 1 \tag{10}$$

The niche count is

$$nc_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{\mu(r_{i})} Sh(d_{ij})$$
(11)

$$d_{ij} = \left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(\frac{f_k^{(i)} - f_k^{(j)}}{f_k^{\max} - f_k^{\min}}\right)^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(12)

4. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPF PROBLEM

4.1. Representation of the decision variables

$$\underbrace{97.5}_{P_{g2}} \quad \underbrace{100.8}_{P_{g3}} \quad \dots \quad \underbrace{250.70}_{P_{gn}} \quad \underbrace{0.981}_{V_{g1}} \quad \underbrace{0.970}_{V_{g2}} \cdots \underbrace{1}_{V_{gn}} \quad \underbrace{4}_{Q_{c1}} \quad \underbrace{3}_{Q_{c2}} \cdots \underbrace{4}_{Q_{cn}} \quad \underbrace{-2}_{t_1} \quad \underbrace{+1}_{t_2} \cdots \underbrace{+3}_{t_k}$$

4.2. Fitness Evaluation

$$PS^{K} = \begin{cases} K_{S} \left(PS^{K} - PS^{\max} \right)^{2} &, \text{ if } PS^{K} > PS^{\max} \\ K_{S} \left(PS^{K} - PS^{\min} \right)^{2} &, \text{ if } PS^{K} < PS^{\min} \\ 0 &, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(13)

$$PV_{i}^{K} = \begin{cases} K_{V} \left(V_{i}^{K} - V_{i}^{\max} \right)^{2} &, \text{ if } V_{i}^{k} > V_{i}^{\max} \\ K_{V} \left(V_{i}^{K} - V_{i}^{\min} \right)^{2} &, \text{ if } V_{i}^{k} < V_{i}^{\min} \\ 0 &, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(14)

$$PQ_{g}^{K} = \begin{cases} K_{q} \left(Q_{g}^{K} - Q_{g}^{\max} \right)^{2} &, & if \quad Q_{g}^{k} > Q_{g}^{\max} \\ K_{q} \left(Q_{g}^{K} - Q_{g}^{\min} \right)^{2} &, & if \quad Q_{g}^{k} < Q_{g}^{\min} \\ 0 &, & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(15)

4.3.1. Selection Scheme

$$P_i = \frac{f_i}{\sum_{j=1}^N f_j}$$
(16)

4.3.2. Crossover Scheme

$$y = \begin{cases} e_1 + r \times (e_2 - e_1) ; & \text{if } u^{\min} \le y \le u^{\max} \\ repeat \quad sampling; & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(17)



4.3.3. Mutation Scheme

$$u_{k}^{1} = \begin{cases} u_{k} + \left(u_{\max}^{k} - u_{k}\right). \left(1 - r_{1}^{\left(\frac{1-p}{M}\right)^{q}}\right) & \text{if } r_{1} \le 0.5 \\ u_{k} - \left(u_{k} - u_{\min}^{k}\right). \left(1 - r_{1}^{\left(\frac{1-p}{M}\right)^{q}}\right) & \text{if } r_{1} > 0.5 \end{cases}$$
(18)

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

$$Minimize \quad F_T = \omega_1 \left(L_{\max} \right) + \omega_2 \left(F_C \right) \tag{19}$$



Figure:	2
---------	---

Table 1The extreme Solutions

Control Variable	cost solution	Voltage Stability
P ₁	175.8663	143.9107
P ₂	40.0139	48.8388
P ₅	20.9582	21.1655
P ₈	22.3892	22.5546
P ₁₁	11.9904	16.5910
P ₁₃	12.5718	37.9159
T ₁₁	0.9750	0.9750
T ₁₂	0.9250	0.9250
T ₁₅	0.9750	0.9750
T ₃₆	0.9750	1
Q _{C10}	2	2
Q _{C12}	2	2
Q _{C15}	5	5
Q _{C17}	5	5
Q _{C20}	5	6
Q _{C21}	5	5
Q _{C23}	0	0
Q _{C24}	0	0
Q _{C29}	5	5
Cost	802.1208	825.7458
VSM	0.1117	0.876

Table 2

minimum cost		
Methods	Minimum Cost (\$/hr)	
Gradient Approaches	802.43	
Hybrid Evolutionary Programming	802.62	
Refined Genetic Algorithm	804.019	
Improved Evolutionary Programming	802.465	
Proposed Method	802.1208	

Table 3Comparison of L-index

Methods	L-index value
Least Square Optimization Algorithm	0.258
Improved Genetic Algorithm	0.1807
Differential Evolution Algorithm	0.1248
Proposed Method	0.113



Figure 4: Reference Pareto Optimal Front

6. CONCLUSIONS

The paper has proposed a MOGA for solving the multi-objective OPF problem including stability of voltage. The system stability is assessed with L-index method. The simulation result shows that theproposed algorithm is perfect in solving the multi-objective optimization problems. The new method is found to produce good quality solutions with more stable convergence characteristics. The proposed MOGA has well performed to obtain the Pareto optimal front of the multi-objective OPF problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alsac, and B. Scott, (1973) Optimal load flow with steady state security, *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems PAS* 93(3), pp. 745-751. (1998).
- [2] Abido M.A., (2002) Optimal power flow using particle swarm optimization, *International Journal of Electrical Power* and Energy Systems, 24(7) 563-571.
- [3] Abido M.A. and N.A.AL-Ali, (2009) Multi-objective Differential Evolution for Optimal Power Flow, *IEEE Power Engg Conference*, Lisbon, Portugal, March 18-20
- [4] Bansilal D Thukaram and K Parthasarathy, (1996)Optimal reactive power dispatch algorithm for Voltage stability improvement, *Electrical Power &Energy Systems* Vol.18, No.7, pp.461-468,.
- [5] Burchett R.C., H.H.Happ and D.R.Vierath, (1984) Quadratically convergent optimal power flow, *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, PAS-103, pp.3267-3276
- [6] Canizares C.A., A.C.Z.Desouza and V.H. Quintana, (1996) Comparison of performance indices for detection of proximity to voltage collapse, 11(3) 1441-1450
- [7] Canizarus C.A., July (2001) Comparison of voltage security constrained optimal power flow techniques, *Proceedings of IEEE-PES summer meeting*, Vancouver BC.
- [8] Coello C.A.C., (1999) A.D Christiansen, and Moses, A multi-objective optimization tool for engineering design, Engineering Optimization, 31(3) 337-368.
- [9] Deb K., (2001) Multi-objective optimization using evolutionary algorithms, 1st edition. John Wiley & Sons.Ltd. 209-213.
- [10] Devaraj D., and B. Yegnanarayana, (2005) Genetic algorithm based optimal power flow for security enhancement, *IEE Proceedings of Generation Transmission and Distribution* Vol. 152,No.6, pp: 899-905.
- [11] Devaraj D., (2007) Improved genetic algorithm for multi- objective reactive power dispatch problem, *European Transactions on Electrical Power* 17: 569-581
- [12] Devaraj D. and J.Preetha Roselyn, (2010) Genetic algorithm based reactive power dispatch for voltage stability improvement, *Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 32 11511-1156
- [13] Dommel H.W., W.F.Tinney, (1968) Optimal power flow solutions," IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems Vol. 87. No.10, pp, 1866-1876
- [14] Eshelman, L.J., and Schaffer, J.D., (1993) Real-coded genetic algorithms and interval schemata, D.Whitley Edition, pp: 187-202.
- [15] Farga,A, Albaiyat,S, and Cheng T.C, (1995)Economic load dispatch multi-objective optimization procedures using linear programming techniques, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, Vol. 10, pp: 731-738.
- [16] Federico Milano, Claudio A. Canizares and Marco Invernizzi, (2003) Multi-objective Optimization for pricing system security in Electricity Markets, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, Vol. 18, No.2.
- [17] Fonseca C.M and P.J Fleming, (1995) An Overview of Evolutionary Algorithms in multi-objective optimization, *Evolutionary Computation* 3 (1), pp.1-16.
- [18] Hazra J. and A.K.Sinha, (2010) A multi-objective OPF using PSO, European Transaction on Electrical Power Vol.21, pp.1028-10.
- [19] Kessel P., H. Glavitsch, Estimating the Voltage Stability of Power Systems, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 1 (3) (1986) 346–354.
- [20] Monticelli A., Pereira M.V.F, S. Granville, (1987) Security constrained optimal power flow with post contingency corrective rescheduling, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* PWRS-2(1) pp.175-182.
- [21] Narmada Banu.R, Devaraj.D,(2012) Multi-objective GA with fuzzy decision making for security enhancement in power systems, *Applied Soft Computing*, 12,pp.2756-2764.

- [22] Ongsakul W. and T. Tantimaporn, (2006) Optimal power flow by Improved Evolutionary programming, *Electric Power Components and Systems*, Vol. 34, pp.79-95.
- [23] Paranjothi S.R., and K. Anburaja, (2002) Optimal power flow using refined genetic algorithm, *Electrical Power Components and Systems*, Vol. 30, 1055-1063.
- [24] Scott B., O.Alsac, J. Bright and M. Paris, (1990) Further Developments in LP- based optimal power flow, *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, Vol.5, N0.3. pp 697-711.
- [25] Sivasubramani S., K.S Swarup, (2011) Multi-objective harmony search algorithm for OPF problem, *Electrical power and energy systems* (Elseveir) Vol.33.pp.745-752.
- [26] Sun D.I., B.Brewer .B.Ashley, A. Hughes and W.F.Tinney, (1984) Optimal power flow by Newton Approach, IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, PAS-103, No.10, pp.2864-2875.
- [27] Vaahedi, E, Mansour. Y, Fuchs. C, Granville. S, Latore, M.D.L, Hamadanizadeh. H, B.C. Hydro, Burnaby. BC, (2001), Dynamic Security Constrained OPF, *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 1638-43.
- [28] Yan X. and V.H.Quintana, (1999) Improving an interior point based OPF by dynamic adjustments of step sizes and tolerances, *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, Vol.14, No.2, , pp709-717.
- [29] Yuryevich J, Wong K P, (PAS -1999) Evolutionary programming based optimal power flow algorithm, *IEEE Transactions* onPowerSystems;14(4),pp1245-1250.