

# Carbon isotope discrimination and water use efficiency relationship in fodder cowpea varieties

M. R. Anita<sup>\*</sup>, S. Lakshmi<sup>\*\*</sup> and T. Sajitha Rani<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

**Abstract:** A field experiment was conducted in the upland area of the Instructional Farm of College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Trivandrum during the summer season of 2012 to identify drought tolerant varieties of fodder cowpea in open and in partial shade. The investigation was conducted as two separate experiments, one in open and another in shaded situation (25-35 per cent shade). The design was laid out in split plot with four replications. The main plot factor included four soil moisture stress levels,  $M_1$ : presowing irrigation + life saving irrigation;  $M_2$ : presowing irrigation + irrigation at IW/CPE ratio 0.4;  $M_3$ : presowing irrigation + irrigation at IW/CPE ratio 0.6;  $M_4$ : presowing irrigation + irrigation at IW/CPE ratio 0.8. The sub plot factor included five fodder cowpea varieties,  $V_1$ -UPC-618,  $V_2$ -UPC-622,  $V_3$ -Bundel Lobia-1,  $V_4$ -COFC-8 and  $V_5$ -CO-5. Field water use efficiency and carbon isotope discrimination ratio were calculated. Stable isotope discrimination values were significantly lower at IW/CPE ratio of 0.8 in open and 0.6 in shade. Water use efficiency was negatively correlated to stable isotope discrimination values. COFC- 8 recorded significantly lower discrimination values and higher water use efficiency in both open and shade.

Key words: discrimination, irrigation, varieties, water use efficiency

#### INTRODUCTION

Fodder cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp) is a legume inherently more tolerant to drought than other fodder legumes (Fatokun et al., 2009) and considered as a crop capable of improving sustainability of livestock production through its contribution in improving seasonal fodder productivity and nutritive value. It has shade tolerance, quick growth and rapid ground covering ability. Summer cowpea irrigated according to a schedule based on IW/CPE ratio of 0.8 recorded the maximum dry matter production (Subramaniam et al., 1993) and plant height (Kher et al., 1994). Fodder cowpea varieties CO-5, COFC- 8, UPC - 618, UPC-622, Bundel Lobia-1 are high yielding and suitable for cultivation in Kerala (Rajasree 1994; Lakshmi et al., 2007; Gayathri, 2010). It is the most widely cultivated fodder legume in areas where rainfall is scanty and soils are relatively infertile. Most households that keep livestock raise fodder cowpea as an intercrop with other crops and fodder cowpea forms an integral component of crop livestock farming system (Singh and Tarawali, 2011).

Water stress affects photosynthesis directly and indirectly and consequently dry matter production and its allocation to various plant organs. Water use efficiency is the production of moles of carbon gained in photosynthesis in exchange for water used in transpiration. Water use efficiency is an important trait for improving drought tolerance in fodder cowpea, WUE would help save considerable amount of irrigation water. Carbon isotope discrimination (CID), one technique used to determine the efficiency of water use, was positively correlated with forage yield and maturity. Carbon isotope discrimination in plant leaves has been negatively associated with water use efficiency (Fening et al., 2009). Therefore, an assessment of the selection response of CID and its relationship to plant water status is needed. It is in this context that this study was undertaken to assess the performance of five fodder cowpea varieties and the relationship of CID and WUE under varying soil

<sup>\*</sup> Farm Manager, AICRP on Forage Crops, Vellayani

<sup>\*\*</sup> Professor, Department of Agronomy

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Associate Professor, Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani

moisture regimes both in open and shaded situation during the lean dry months.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in the upland area of the Instructional Farm of College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Trivandrum during the summer season of 2012. The investigation was conducted as two separate experiments, one in open and another in shaded situation (25-35 per cent shade). The design was laid out in split plot with four replications. The main plot factor included four soil moisture stress levels, M<sub>1</sub>: presowing irrigation + life saving irrigation; M<sub>2</sub>:presowing irrigation + irrigation at IW/ CPE ratio 0.4; M<sub>3</sub> : presowing irrigation + irrigation at IW/CPE ratio 0.6;  $M_4$ : presowing irrigation + irrigation at IW/CPE ratio 0.8. The sub plot factor included five fodder cowpea varieties, V<sub>1</sub>-UPC-618, V<sub>2</sub>-UPC-622, V<sub>3</sub>-Bundel Lobia-1, V<sub>4</sub>-COFC-8 and V<sub>5</sub>-CO-5. Presowing irrigation was given to all the plots uniformly up to 10 days after sowing for germination and establishment. Thereafter irrigation was given as per the treatments based on the evaporation data and depth of irrigation. . The quantity of water applied to each plot in one irrigation was 600 litres. FYM @ 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied uniformly to all the plots at the time of final preparation of land. Entire dose of phosphorus was given as basal @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen @ 40 kg ha<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> and potassium @ 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were given in two equal splits, one as basal and one after one month of sowing. The fodder cowpea varieties as per treatments were sown at a spacing of 30 x 15cm @ 2 seeds hole<sup>-1</sup> on 14<sup>th</sup> January 2012 both in open as well as in shade (25-35 per cent). The carbon isotope discrimination ratio (CID) is  ${}_{13}C / {}_{12}C$  was determined for calculating the isotope discrimination. The third fully opened leaf of ten sample plants were collected and oven dried and ground and the samples were sent to the National Facility Department of Crop Physiology, UAS Bangalore for determining the CID ratio using IRMS (Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrophotometer). Field water use efficiency was calculated by dividing the economical crop yield by the total quantity of water applied in the field (WR) and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup>.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of the effect of soil moisture stress levels and varieties on stable isotope discrimination of fodder cowpea in open and shaded condition are presented in Table 1 & 2. Both the treatments had significant impact on stable isotope discrimination of fodder cowpea in both conditions. In open condition, significantly lower carbon isotope discrimination ratio (CID) (18.99) was recorded by irrigating at IW/CPE ratio of 0.4 ( $M_2$ ), followed by irrigation at IW/CPE ratio  $0.6(M_{2})$  (19.14) which was on par with IW/CPE ratio of  $0.8(M_{A})$  (19.18). Among the varieties COFC-8  $(V_4)$  recorded significantly lower CID ratio (17.98) followed by UPC-622 ( $V_2$ ) (19.34) which was on par with Bundel Lobia-1 ( $V_2$ ) (19.53). The interaction effect was non-significant. Under 25-35 per cent shaded condition both the treatments had significant influence on CID ratio of fodder cowpea. Significantly lower CID ratio (20.40) was recorded by irrigation at IW/CPE ratio of 0.6 ( $M_2$ ) followed by irrigation at IW/ CPE ratio of 0.8 ( $M_{\star}$ ) (21.91) which was on par with irrigation at IW/CPE ratio of 0.4 (M<sub>2</sub>) (21.91). Among the varieties COFC-8( $V_A$ ) recorded significantly lower CID ratio (20.46) which was on par with UPC-618( $V_1$ ) (20.84). The interaction effect was non-significant. Carbon isotope discrimination tends to decrease in a linear manner from the highest to lowest water level (Johnson *et al.*, 2003). The isotopic ratio of  ${}_{13}C$  to  ${}_{12}C$  in plant tissue is less than the isotopic ratio of  $_{13}$ C to  $_{12}$ C in the atmosphere, indicating that plants discriminate against <sub>13</sub>C during photosynthesis. The isotopic ratio of  $_{13}C$  to  $_{12}C$  in  $C_3$  plants varies mainly due to discrimination during diffusion and enzymatic process (Farquhar et al., 1989). Decreasing soil moisture during dry periods decreased leaf conductance and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> levels, which in turn lowered carbon isotope discrimination (Farquhar and Richards, 1984; Johnson et al., 1990). Considerable variations in carbon isotope discrimination were reported in forage grasses and legumes under different soil moisture stress levels by Sima et al. (2010). Varieties also showed significant influence on stable isotope discrimination both in open and shade. Among the varieties, COFC-8 recorded lower carbon isotope discrimination in both the conditions. The rate of diffusion of <sub>13</sub>C across the stomatal pore in this variety is more compared to other varieties, which leads to higher water use efficiency. Similar results were also reported by Sima et al. (2010) in Festuca pratensis and Lolium corniculatus

The effects of treatments are significant with respect to water use efficiency of fodder cowpea in open condition. Significantly higher water use efficiency (42.95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded by irrigation at IW/CPE ratio of 0.4 ( $M_2$ ) which was on par with irrigation at IW/CPE ratio of 0.6 ( $M_3$ ) (41.31 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup>). This might be attributed to the strong sensitivity of cowpea stomata to water stress with

(WUE) of fodder cowpea							
Treatments	Stable isotope discrimination		Water use efficiency (kg ha <sup>- 1</sup> mm <sup>-1</sup> )				
	Open	Shade	Open	Shade			
Soil moisture stress le	vels (M)						
M <sub>1</sub> - Life saving	20.19	22.50	36.55	17.00			
$M_{2}^{-}$ IW/CPE = 0.4	18.99	21.91	42.95	20.07			
$M_{3}^{2}$ - IW/CPE = 0.6	19.14	20.40	41.31	21.65			
$M_{4} - IW/CPE = 0.8$	19.18	21.91	37.14	17.31			
SEm (±)	0.546	0.293	1.249	0.671			
CD (0.05)	0.874	0.469	1.999	1.073			
Varieties (V)							
V <sub>1</sub> - UPC 618	19.98	20.84	37.53	20.95			
V <sub>2</sub> - UPC 622	19.34	21.28	41.64	18.63			
V <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup> - Bundel lobia-1	19.53	21.63	40.26	18.21			
V - COFC -8	17.98	20.46	48.03	22.24			
V <sub>5</sub> - CO-5	20.81	22.85	29.99	15.01			
SĔm (±)	0.344	0.356	1.460	0.545			
CD (0.05)	0.49	0.506	2.076	0.775			

Table 1 Effect of soil moisture stress levels and varieties on stable isotope discrimination (13C) and water use efficiency

Table 2				
Interaction effect of soil moisture stress levels and varieties				
on stable isotope discrimination $(_{13}C)$ and water use				
efficiency (WUE) of fodder cowpea				

Treatments	Stable isotope discrimination		Water use efficiency (kg ha <sup>- 1</sup> mm <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Open	Shade	Open	Shade
M x V				
$m_1 v_1$	20.71	22.20	34.33	18.49
$m_1 v_2$	20.11	22.80	38.66	16.39
$m_1 v_3$	20.15	22.33	37.27	16.70
$m_1 v_4$	18.16	21.49	46.25	19.55
$m_1 v_5$	21.83	23.72	26.26	13.88
$m_2 v_1$	19.65	20.35	40.63	22.42
m <sub>2</sub> v <sub>2</sub>	19.94	20.17	42.75	19.37
m <sub>2</sub> v <sub>3</sub>	18.94	21.20	41.78	18.84
m <sub>2</sub> v <sub>4</sub>	17.31	20.11	53.23	23.78
m <sub>2</sub> v <sub>5</sub>	20.10	22.30	36.30	15.94
$m_3 v_1$	19.43	19.62	40.72	23.92
m <sub>3</sub> v <sub>2</sub>	18.58	20.43	45.12	21.55
$m_3 v_3$	19.29	20.79	41.64	20.68
$m_3 v_4$	17.82	19.33	48.99	25.47
m <sub>3</sub> v <sub>5</sub>	20.6	21.84	30.68	16.65
$m_4^{\circ}v_1^{\circ}$	20.11	21.19	34.43	18.96
$m_4^2 v_2^2$	19.74	21.73	40.01	17.23
$m_4 v_3$	19.72	22.22	40.28	16.63
$m_4 v_4$	18.61	20.91	43.64	20.16
$m_4^4 v_5^4$	20.70	23.52	27.34	30.58
SEm (±)	0.344	0.356	1.460	0.545
CD (0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS

reduction in photosynthetic capacity. Supporting results were recorded by Ahmed and Suliman (2010) in fodder cowpea genotypes. They attributed the effect of drought on WUE to stomatal closure, decreased transpiration and decreased leaf turgidity,

which have consequences on photosynthesis. Similar results were reported by Volesky and Berger (2012) in warm season annual grasses and Hayatu and Mukhtar (2010) in fodder cowpea genotypes. Among the varieties, COFC-8  $(V_{4})$  recorded significantly higher WUE (48.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>) followed by UPC-622 (V<sub>2</sub>) (41.64 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>). Significant variations among varieties were also recorded in open and partial shade. Among the varieties, COFC-8 recorded a higher WUE both in open and in partial shade. Isotope discrimination is inversely related to water use efficiency and COFC-8 had higher WUE and lower 13c. Hamidou et al. (2007) showed that stomatal closure is the common strategy used by cowpea genotypes to avoid dehydration. Considerable variations in WUE in fodder cowpea genotypes were reported by Hayatu and Mukhtar (2010). The interaction effect was non-significant. Under 25-35 per cent shade, significantly higher WUE (21.65 kg ha-1 mm<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded by irrigation at IW/CPE ratio of  $0.6 (M_{\odot})$  followed by irrigation at IW/CPE ratio of 0.4  $(M_2)$  (20.07 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>). Among the varieties, COFC-8 (V<sub>4</sub>) recorded higher WUE of 22.24 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>mm<sup>-1</sup> followed by UPC-618 ( $V_1$ ) (20.95 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> mm<sup>-1</sup>). The interaction effect was non-significant.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed, F.E and Suliman, A.S.H. (2010), Effect of water stress applied at different stages of growth on seed yield and water-use efficiency of cowpea. Agric. and Biol. J. N. Am. 1(4): 534-540.
- Farquhar, G.D., Ehleringer, J.R. and Hubick, K.T. (1989), Carbon isotope discrimination and photosynthesis. Ann. Rev. Pl. Physiol. 40: 503-537.
- Farquhar, G.D. and Richards, R.A. (1984), Isotopic composition of plant carbon correlates with water-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 11: 539-552.
- Fatokun, C., Boukar, O., Muranaka, S and Chikoye, D. (2009), Enhancing drought tolerance in cowpea. African Crop Science Conference Proceedings. 9:531-536.
- Fening, J.O., Quansah, C. and Kantanka, A.S. (2009), Response of three forage legumes to soil moisture stress. J. Sci. and Tech. 29, No. 3, pp. 24-30.
- Gayathri, P. (2010), Alley cropping in cassava (Manihotesculenta Crantz.) for food-fodder security. MSc. thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur
- Hamidou, F., Zombre, G., Diouf, O., Diop, N., Guinko, S. and Braconnier, S. (2007), Physiological, biochemical and agro morphological responses of five cowpea genotypes (Vigna unquiculata (L) walp.) to water deficit under glass house conditions. Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ. 11(3): 225-234.

- Hayatu, M. and Mukhtar, F. B. (2010), Physiological responses of drought resistant cowpea genotypes (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) to water stress. *Bayero J. Pure and Appl. Sci.* 3(2): 69-75.
- Johnson, D.A., Asay, K.H., Tieszen, L.L., Ehleringer, J.R. and Jefferson, P.G. (1990), Carbon Isotope discrimination potential in screening cool-season grasses in waterlimited environments. *Crop Sci.* 30: 338-343.
- Johnson, D.A., Assay, K.H. and Jensen, K.K. (2003), Trends in carbon isotope discrimination and forage yield of 14 cool-season perennial grasses across an irrigation gradient. *J. Range Mgmt*. 56: 654-659.
- Lakshmi, S., Sumabai, D.I., and Salini, G.S. (2007), Effects of phosphorus on yield and quality of fodder cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) cultivars. *In: Abstracts of National Symposium on A new vista to Forage Crop Research;* Bidhan Chandra KrishiViswaVidyalaya, Kalyani, West Bengal, Sep. 10-11, 2007, p. 67.
- Rajasree, G. (1994), Herbage production of leguminous crops in summer rice fallows. M.Sc (Ag) thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur.

- Sima, N.F., Mihai, G. and Sina, R.M. (2010), Evolution of the botanical composition and forage yield of several perennial fodder legume and grass mixtures in the year of establishment. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici* Cluj-Napoca. 38: 45-50.
- Singh, B.B and Tarawali, S.A. (2011), Cowpea and its improvement: Key to sustainable mixed crop/livestock farming systems in West Africa. *Agrofor. Syst.* 2:34-41.
- Subramaniam, K.S., Selvakumari, G., and Shanmugasundaram, V. S. (1993), Influence of irrigation and phosphorus levels on vegetable cowpea yield and water use efficiency. *S. Indian Hort.* 41 (3): 139-143.
- Volesky, J.D. and Berger, A.L. (2012), Forage production with limited irrigation.
- Neb Guide, University of Nebraska-Lincoln Extension, Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Available: http://extension.unl.edu/publications.