

## Evaluation and influence of GA<sub>3</sub> on anthurium (*Anthurium andreanum* Lind.) under shadenet

Anjali, K. B.<sup>1</sup>, Seetharamu, G. K.<sup>2</sup>, Akshay, K. R.<sup>3</sup>, Shivkumar Naik, J.<sup>4</sup> and Anil Kumar, S.<sup>5</sup>.

**ABSTRACT:** An experiment on "Evaluation and influence of GA<sub>3</sub> on Anthurium (*Anthurium andreanum* Lind.) under shadenet" was carried out at floriculture section, RHREC, Bangalore during 2012-2013 under Factorial concept with Completely Randomized Design replicated thrice, which included five varieties (Acropolis, Cheers, Tropical, Fire and Xavia) and five GA<sub>3</sub> concentrations (control, 150, 300, 450 and 600 ppm). Among the treatments highest plant height (64.87 cm), number of leaves (6.56), leaf length (38.72 cm) and width (21.83 cm), stalk length (48.59 cm), stalk diameter (0.56 cm), spathe length (12.61 cm) and spathe width (9.15 cm) were recorded in treatment GA<sub>3</sub>@ 600 ppm, whereas control treatment showed lowest results. Maximum Plant height (68.92 cm), superior flower characters were noticed by var. Xavia and maximum yield (2.49 flowers/plant) was recorded by var. Tropical. Var. Fire failed to give better performance with respect to vegetative and flower characters.

**Keywords:** Anthurium, Gibberellic acid, Varieties, Spathe

### INTRODUCTION

Anthurium is one of the important, high value cut flower crop, which belongs to the family Araceae and is a native of tropical zones of Central and South America. It is gaining importance in the global cut flower trade due to its attractive and long lasting flowers. Anthurium ranks next to orchids in the global flower trade and commands respectable price both for its cut flowers, foliage and also as a potted plant. It is a slow-growing perennial flower crop that requires shade and humid conditions (Handaragall *et al.*, 2010). In recent years several varieties of anthuriums with wide range of colours have entered in to the market, but all cultivars cannot be grown everywhere especially under Indian condition. Hence, in order to identify suitable cultivars for commercial cultivation to a particular agroclimatic zone there is a need to evaluate for their growth, quality and productivity.

Gibberellins are phytohormones, synthesized naturally in plants, and they are also available in synthetic forms for commercial use. GA<sub>3</sub> plays a major

role in flower crops, it enhances cell elongation, cell division, reproductive growth, dormancy breaking, increase in plant height, production of higher number of flowers per plant and also it inhibits senescence.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The investigations were carried out at Floriculture section, RHREC, GKVK, Bangalore from September 2012 to May 2013. Experiment was conducted to find out the best performing variety for cut flower production for Eastern dry zone and to study the effects of foliar application of gibberellic acid to promote growth, to reduce the juvenile phase and to improve the yield and quality of flowers. The research plot is situated between 13.05° latitude and 77° East longitude at an altitude of 924 m above mean sea level. The climate of the area is mild with mean annual rainfall of about 923.7 mm with about 55 rainy days. The mean maximum temperature vary from 27.2° to 34.6° and the mean minimum temperature vary from 14.8° to 21.8°. The mean maximum relative humidity (90%) during the month of October and the mean

<sup>1</sup> SMS, MSc (Hort.) (FLA), SADH Office, Koppa, Chickmagalure, Karnataka, India (PIN-577126), anjukb.anjalikb@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Head of the department, Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, RHREC, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, India (PIN-560065).

<sup>3</sup> AHO, MSc (Hort.) (PMA), SADH Office, Koppa, Chickmagalure, Karnataka, India (PIN -577126).

<sup>4</sup> AHO, MSc (Hort.) (FLA), Department of Horticulture, Hassan, Karnataka, India (PIN -573201).

<sup>5</sup> Asst. Prof. (SSAC), RHREC, GKVK, Bangalore, Karnataka, India (PIN-560065).

minimum relative humidity (85%) during the months of December and May were recorded during the experimental period (2012-2013). Five varieties of anthurium viz., V<sub>1</sub>- Acropolis, V<sub>2</sub>- Cheers, V<sub>3</sub>- Tropical, V<sub>4</sub>- Fire and V<sub>5</sub>- Xavia were used for this study. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design with factorial concept having five varieties and five levels of GA<sub>3</sub> (G<sub>0</sub>- control, G<sub>1</sub>- 150 ppm, G<sub>2</sub>- 300 ppm, G<sub>3</sub>- 450 ppm and G<sub>4</sub>- 600 ppm) and replicated thrice. GA<sub>3</sub> was applied five times at bimonthly interval. Observations were recorded nine months after planting on various growth, quality and yield parameters.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### On Growth Attributes

Among the varieties studied, var. Xavia showed (Table I) maximum plant height (68.92 cm) and it was minimum (57.55 cm) in var. Acropolis. The differences in plant height among the varieties may be attributed to the inherent genetic character associated with the varieties. These results are in conformity with the reports of Henny and Robinson (1994), Srinivasa and Reddy (2005), Rajeevan *et al.* (2007), Chandrappa (2002) and Agasimani *et al.* (2010). In case of GA<sub>3</sub> levels, 600 @ ppm gibberellic acid was recorded highest plant height (64.87 cm) which was on par with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 450 ppm (63.58 cm) and it was lowest (59.47 cm) in control treatment. Increased plant height could be attributed to the physiological action of GA<sub>3</sub>, where in GA<sub>3</sub> increases the size of meristematic region as well as the proportion of the cells undergoing cell division. These results are in conformity with the findings of Srinivasa (2005), Chandrappa *et al.* (2006) and Handaragall (2010). The interaction effect between different GA<sub>3</sub> treatments and varieties were found non significant with respect to plant height.

Number of leaves per plant (Table I) was more (6.79) in var. Acropolis. Whereas, less number of leaves per plant (5.82 cm) was recorded by var. Xavia. This might be due to variability among varieties. Variations in leaf production could also be expected among the cultivars as the attribute is generally a genetic character. These results are in accordance with the reports of Chandrappa (2002), Srinivasa and Reddy (2005) and Shiva and Sujatha (2008). Maximum (6.56) number of leaves per plant was observed in treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm and it was minimum (5.96) in control. More number of leaves with the application of gibberellic acid was a result of enhanced induction of leaf primordial in the apical growing region. These

findings are in conformity with the findings of Srinivasa (2005), Handaragall (2010) and Pancholi *et al.* (2010). The interaction effect between different varieties and GA<sub>3</sub> levels was found non significant.

Different varieties found to be significant with respect to leaf length (Table II). The maximum leaf length (40.07 cm) was recorded by var. Tropical. Whereas, it was minimum (30.74 cm) in var. Fire. The difference in leaf length could be attributed to the genetic makeup of the cultivars. These findings confirm the reports of Henny (1999), Femina *et al.* (2007) and Rajeevan *et al.* (2007). Leaf length (38.72 cm) was highest in GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm and it was lowest (33.79 cm) in control. It might be due to the fact that GA<sub>3</sub> is a growth promoting substance which is known to increase cell division and cell elongation. These results are in line with the results of Srinivasa (2005) and Handaragall (2010). The interaction effects between different varieties of anthurium and levels of GA<sub>3</sub> and their interactions found non significant.

Different varieties had significant effect with respect to leaf width (Table II). The maximum leaf width (23.16 cm) was observed in variety Xavia. Whereas, minimum leaf width (18.63 cm) was noticed in var. Fire. The difference in leaf width is a varietal trait as it is governed by the genetic makeup. These results are in conformity with the earlier findings of Rajeevan *et al.* (2007), Agasimani *et al.* (2010) and Srinivasa and Reddy (2005) in anthurium. GA<sub>3</sub> showed significant role with respect to leaf width. The treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm gave maximum leaf width (21.83 cm). However, minimum leaf width recorded by control (18.88 cm). Enhancement of leaf width might have resulted from increased cell division and cell elongation under the influence of GA<sub>3</sub>. Similar results were obtained by Salvi (1997), Chandrappa (2002) and Srinivasa (2005). The interaction effect between different GA<sub>3</sub> treatments and varieties were found non significant with respect to leaf width.

### Flower Quality Attributes

Stalk length of anthurium varieties had significant effect with respect to varieties and application of different levels of GA<sub>3</sub> at different stages of plant growth (Table III). The highest stalk length (52.24 cm) was recorded in var. Xavia, whereas, least stalk length (42.42 cm) was recorded in var. Acropolis. The differences in flower stalk length among the varieties may be attributed to the inherent genetic character associated with the varieties. Similar results were reported in different varieties of anthurium by Ashwath *et al.* (1998), Coccozza *et al.* (2003) and Femina

Evaluation and influence of GA<sub>3</sub> on anthurium (*Anthurium andreaenum* lind.) under shadenet

**Table I**  
Plant Height and Number of Leaves Per Plant as Influenced by Varieties and Levels of GA<sub>3</sub> in Anthurium under Shadenet

GA <sub>3</sub>	Plant height (cm)						Number of leaves					
	Varieties											
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean
G <sub>0</sub>	55.44	57.11	63.00	56.77	65.03	59.47	6.44	6.00	6.10	5.78	5.50	5.96
G <sub>1</sub>	56.73	60.67	64.33	58.11	67.22	61.41	6.77	6.22	6.33	5.88	5.77	6.20
G <sub>2</sub>	57.13	61.03	65.53	58.30	68.44	62.09	6.77	6.22	6.44	5.99	5.77	6.24
G <sub>3</sub>	58.53	61.50	66.72	60.16	71.00	63.58	6.89	6.43	6.55	6.16	5.99	6.40
G <sub>4</sub>	59.89	62.61	67.72	61.28	72.88	64.87	7.05	6.44	6.78	6.44	6.09	6.56
Mean	57.55	60.58	65.46	58.92	68.92		6.79	6.26	6.44	6.05	5.82	
	V	G	V X G				V	G	V X G			
SEm ±	0.95	0.95	2.13				0.09	0.09	0.21			
CD @ 5%	2.70	2.70	NS				0.27	0.27	NS			

**Table II**  
Leaf Length and Leaf Width as Influenced by Varieties and Levels of GA<sub>3</sub> in Anthurium under Shadenet

GA <sub>3</sub>	Leaf length (cm)						Leaf width (cm)					
	Varieties											
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean
G <sub>0</sub>	35.44	32.11	37.11	28.27	36.00	<b>33.79</b>	18.54	17.33	20.10	17.10	21.33	<b>18.88</b>
G <sub>1</sub>	37.00	33.11	39.33	30.39	38.39	<b>35.64</b>	19.22	18.39	21.22	18.17	22.50	<b>19.90</b>
G <sub>2</sub>	37.39	33.27	39.50	30.44	38.42	<b>35.80</b>	19.43	18.48	21.66	18.55	23.29	<b>20.28</b>
G <sub>3</sub>	38.61	34.39	41.00	31.22	39.47	<b>36.92</b>	19.77	19.27	21.89	19.10	23.72	<b>20.75</b>
G <sub>4</sub>	39.44	36.27	43.39	33.39	41.44	<b>38.78</b>	21.11	19.89	22.99	20.22	24.94	<b>21.83</b>
Mean	37.57	33.83	40.07	30.74	38.72		19.62	18.67	21.57	18.63	23.16	
	V	T	V X T				V	T	V X T			
SEm ±	0.15	0.15	0.34				0.09	0.09	0.19			
CD @ 5%	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.44</b>	NS				<b>0.25</b>	<b>0.25</b>	NS			

**Table III**  
Stalk Length and Stalk Diameter as Influenced by Varieties and Levels of GA<sub>3</sub> in Anthurium under Shadenet

GA <sub>3</sub>	Stalk length (cm)						Stalk diameter (cm)					
	Varieties											
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean
G <sub>0</sub>	38.89	43.00	43.00	40.20	49.10	<b>42.84</b>	0.49	0.44	0.49	0.47	0.58	<b>0.49</b>
G <sub>1</sub>	41.68	44.50	45.56	42.44	51.56	<b>45.15</b>	0.53	0.46	0.52	0.49	0.60	<b>0.52</b>
G <sub>2</sub>	42.55	44.70	45.75	43.64	52.43	<b>45.81</b>	0.53	0.47	0.52	0.49	0.61	<b>0.52</b>
G <sub>3</sub>	43.44	45.73	46.22	45.67	53.03	<b>46.82</b>	0.55	0.48	0.55	0.51	0.63	<b>0.54</b>
G <sub>4</sub>	45.55	47.22	48.10	47.00	55.10	<b>48.59</b>	0.57	0.52	0.55	0.53	0.65	<b>0.56</b>
Mean	42.42	45.03	45.73	43.79	52.24		0.53	0.47	0.53	0.50	0.61	
	V	G	V X G				V	G	V X G			
SEm ±	0.29	0.29	0.64				0.01	0.01	0.02			
CD @ 5%	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0.82</b>	NS				<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.03</b>	NS			

*et al.* (2007). Foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> had a significant effect on promotion of stalk length in different varieties. The treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm was recorded maximum stalk length of 48.59cm, whereas it was minimum in (42.84 cm) control. This might be due to the cell enlargement occurred as a result of plasticity of cell wall. This reduces the cell wall pressure around the cell wall and turgour pressure caused by osmotic force in the vascular sap which leads to entry of water resulting in improved stalk length. Similar findings were reported by Srinivasa (2005), Dhaduk *et al.* (2007) and Handaragall (2010).

Significant differences were recorded in stalk diameter of different anthurium varieties (Table III). Maximum stalk diameter (0.61 cm) was recorded by var. Xavia, whereas, it was minimum in (0.47 cm) var. Cheers. The difference in stalk diameter is a varietal trait as it is governed by the genetic makeup. These results are in accordance with the reports of Chandrappa (2002) and Srinivasa and Reddy (2005).

Different GA<sub>3</sub> levels showed significant differences on stalk diameter. The maximum stalk diameter (0.56 cm) was recorded by treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm at the end of the experiment, when it was on par with treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 450 ppm (0.54 cm). Whereas, minimum stalk diameter (0.49 cm) was noticed in treatment G<sub>0</sub> (control). This might be due to the cell enlargement occurred as a result of plasticity of cell wall. This reduces the cell wall pressure around the cell wall and turgour pressure, caused by osmotic force in the vascular sap which leads to entry of water resulting in improved stalk girth. Similar results were reported by Handaragall (2010), Chandrappa (2002) and Srinivasa (2005).

The varieties had significant effect on spathe length (Table IV) during crop growth of anthurium. The maximum spathe length (12.74 cm) was recorded in var. Xavia. Whereas, (12.10 cm) it was minimum in var. Fire. The differences in flower spathe length could be attributed to the genetic makeup of the cultivars. These findings are in conformity with the findings of Ashwath *et al.* (1998), Jawaharlal *et al.* (1998) and Jawaharlal *et al.* (2001).

The spathe length was significantly influenced by different GA<sub>3</sub> levels during crop growth period. The maximum spathe length (12.60 cm) was recorded in treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm and it was minimum (10.70 cm) in treatment control. The increased spathe length was observed due to the application of GA<sub>3</sub>, which can be attributed to activate cell division and cell elongation in the flowers to increase the sink strength of the actively growing parts. Action of gibberellic

acid has been reported to stimulate the developmental activities in plants by activation of master regulatory genes in the later stages of corolla development as observed by Handaragall (2010) and Pancholi *et al.* (2010).

Different varieties showed significant results with respect to spathe width (Table IV). Spathe width had significant effect on flower quality. The var. Acropolis gave maximum spathe width (10.27 cm), however minimum spathe width (7.79 cm) was recorded in var. Xavia. The differences in spathe width among the varieties may be attributed to the inherent genetic character associated with the varieties. These results are in conformity with the reports of Agasimani *et al.* (2010), Srinivasa and Reddy (2005), Rajeevan *et al.* (2007) and Chandrappa (2002).

Significant differences were found in different GA<sub>3</sub> levels with respect to spathe width. The highest spathe width (9.15 cm) was recorded in treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm which was on par with treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 450 ppm (9.01 cm). However the lowest spathe width (8.74 cm) was observed in treatment control. The increased spathe width with GA<sub>3</sub> application can be attributed to active cell division and cell elongation in the flowers to increase the sink strength of the actively growing parts. Action of gibberellic acid has been reported to induce an entire developmental program by activation of master regulatory genes in the later stages of corolla development as observed in anthurium by Chandrappa (2002), Handaragall (2010) and Pancholi *et al.* (2010).

### Yield Attributes

Yield of an anthurium is the ultimate of what all is done to the crop to achieve the same. Yield (number of flowers) depends on the growth of the crop and the conditions that prevailed before and during the cropping period.

There were significant results recorded by different varieties of anthurium with respect to flower yield per plant and yield per square meter (Table V). The var. Tropical gave maximum flower yield per plant (2.49) and flower yield per square meter (17.25) which was on par with var. Xavia (2.32 and 16.24 respectively) at 4 months interval. Whereas, minimum (1.97 and 13.81 respectively) in var. Fire. It could be due to influence of genetic makeup of the cultivars. Increased number of flowers had positive and significant correlation with leaves, leaf length and leaf width. Thus the increased number of leaves, leaf area and plant spread helped in better synthesis of carbohydrates and their utilization for buildup of new

**Table IV**  
Spathe Length and Spathe Width as Influenced by Varieties and Levels of GA<sub>3</sub> in Anthurium under Shadenet

GA <sub>3</sub>	Spathe length (cm)						Spathe width (cm)					
	Varieties											
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean
G <sub>0</sub>	10.89	9.93	10.87	9.83	11.99	<b>10.70</b>	10.03	8.00	9.50	8.50	7.67	<b>8.74</b>
G <sub>1</sub>	12.07	10.63	11.33	10.67	12.53	<b>11.45</b>	10.23	8.10	9.73	8.60	7.73	<b>8.88</b>
G <sub>2</sub>	12.17	11.33	11.87	10.97	12.67	<b>11.80</b>	10.30	8.23	9.73	8.63	7.77	<b>8.93</b>
G <sub>3</sub>	12.33	11.39	12.05	11.33	12.73	<b>11.97</b>	10.33	8.33	9.80	8.73	7.83	<b>9.01</b>
G <sub>4</sub>	12.61	11.73	12.83	12.10	13.77	<b>12.61</b>	10.47	8.47	9.97	8.87	7.97	<b>9.15</b>
Mean	12.01	11.00	11.79	10.98	12.74		10.27	8.23	9.75	8.67	7.79	
	V	G	V X G				V	G	V X G			
SEm ±	0.15	0.15	0.34				0.08	0.08	0.18			
CD @ 5%	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.43</b>	NS				<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.23</b>	NS			

**Table V**  
Yield Per Plant and Yield Per Square Meter as Influenced by Varieties and Levels of GA<sub>3</sub> in Anthurium under Shadenet

GA <sub>3</sub>	Yield per plant (number of flowers)						Yield per m <sup>2</sup> (number of flowers)					
	Varieties											
	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean	V <sub>1</sub>	V <sub>2</sub>	V <sub>3</sub>	V <sub>4</sub>	V <sub>5</sub>	Mean
G <sub>0</sub>	1.53	1.20	1.73	1.07	1.60	<b>1.43</b>	10.73	8.40	12.13	7.47	11.20	<b>9.99</b>
G <sub>1</sub>	2.07	1.53	2.13	1.53	1.87	<b>1.83</b>	14.47	10.73	14.93	10.73	13.07	<b>12.79</b>
G <sub>2</sub>	2.20	2.13	2.60	2.33	2.53	<b>2.36</b>	15.40	14.93	15.87	13.53	14.93	<b>14.93</b>
G <sub>3</sub>	2.20	2.13	2.60	2.33	2.53	<b>2.36</b>	15.40	16.33	18.20	16.33	17.73	<b>16.80</b>
G <sub>4</sub>	2.73	3.33	3.73	3.00	3.47	<b>3.25</b>	19.13	23.33	26.13	21.00	24.27	<b>22.77</b>
Mean	2.15	2.11	2.49	1.97	2.32		15.03	14.75	17.45	13.81	16.24	
	V	G	V X G				V	G	V X G			
SEm ±	0.07	0.07	0.16				0.50	0.50	1.12			
CD @ 5%	<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.20</b>	NS				<b>1.43</b>	<b>1.43</b>	NS			

NS: Non significant

cells, thereby increasing the production of flowers. These findings confirm the reports of Bentonio (1996) in anthurium, Fiobenza and Paradiso (2000) in gerbera, Jawaharlal *et al.* (2001) and Srinivasa and Reddy (2005).

Different levels of GA<sub>3</sub> spray recorded significantly higher yield. Number of flowers per plant (3.25) and flower yield per square meter (22.77) were maximum in treatment GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm and minimum in (1.43 and 9.99 respectively) control. The increased production of flowers may be due to enhanced induction of floral bud break i.e., differentiation of floral primordial in the apical growing region by GA<sub>3</sub>. Similar results were obtained by Henny (1983) in aglonema, Beena (2003), Dhaduk *et al.* (2007), Handaragall (2010) and Pancholi *et al.* (2010).

Finally we can conclude that, foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> @ 600 ppm is optimum for promoting vegetative growth, flower quality and flower yield of anthurium. Among the varieties var. Xavia followed by var. Tropical, var. Acropolis are promising varieties.

#### REFERENCES

- Agasimani, A. D., Patil, V. S., Patil, A. A., Basavraj, B., Uppar, D. S., Patil, B. C. and Biradar, M. S., (2010), Performance of anthurium varieties under greenhouse. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **23** (3): 540-541.
- Ashwath, C., Prakash, D., Prasad, K. V. and Choudhary, M. L., (1998), Evaluation of anthurium lines under greenhouse conditions. Paper presented at the national seminar on anthurium production, organized by IIHR, Bangalore, 2-3 June, 1998, Chettahalli, Coorg.

- Beena, R., (2003), Economics of growth regulator application in anthurium. *J. Ornamental Hort.*, **6** (2): 162.
- Bentonio, G. L., (1996), Germplasm collection and evaluation of different anthurium cultivars. *J. Crop Sci.*, **20** (1): 12.
- Chandrappa, (2002), Evaluation and effect of media, biofertilizers and growth regulators on growth and flowering in anthuriums. *Ph.D. thesis* submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore.
- Chandrappa, Gowda, J. V. N., Gowda, M. C. and Gowda, A. P. M., (2006), Influence of growth regulators and their combination on growth and flower production in anthurium cv. Royal Red. *Res. On Crops*, **7** (1): 279-281.
- Cocozza, T. M. A., Cristino, G. and Forleo, L. R., (2003), Evaluation of new anthurium cultivars in soilless culture. *Acta Hort.*, 614.
- Dhaduk, B. K., Sunila Kumari, Alka Singh and Desai, J. R., (2007), Effect of Gibberellic acid on growth and flowering attributes in anthurium. *J. Ornamental Hort.*, **10** (3): 187-189.
- Femina, P. K., Valsala Kumari, Rajeevan, P. K. and Geetha, C. K., (2007), Performance of anthurium cultivars with respect to planting time in humid tropical plains. *J. Ornamental Hort.*, **10** (1): 49-51.
- \*Fiobenza, S. and Paradiso, R., (2000), Evaluation of gerbera cultivars in an open soilless system. *Colture protette*, **29** (9): 125-128.
- Handaragall, A. G., (2010), Studies on the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and foliar nutrients along with biofertilizers on growth, flowering and vase life of anthurium var. Tropical Red. *M.Sc. thesis* submitted to University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore.
- Henny R. J., (1983), Flowering of *Aglonema commutatum* "Treubii" following treatment with gibberellic acid. *Hort sci.*, **18** (3): 374.
- Henny, R. J., (1999), 'Red Hot' anthurium. *Hort Sci.*, **34** (1): 153-154.
- Henny, R. W. and Robinson, C. A., (1994), Evaluation of twenty one potted anthurium cultivars grown for interior use. *Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc.*, **107**: 179-181.
- Jawaharlal, M. Joshua, J. P., Arumugam, T., Subramanian, S. and Vijaykumar, M., (2001), Standardization of nutrients and growth regulators to reduce pre blooming period and to promote growth and flowering in anthurium (*Anthurium andreanum*) under protected shade net house. *South Indian Hort.*, **49** (Special): 342-344.
- Jawaharlal, M., Soorinatha Sundaram, K., Balakrishna Murthy, G. and Thamburaj, S., (1998), Performance of anthurium cultivars at Yercaud. Paper presented at the National Seminar on anthurium production organized by IIHR, Bangalore, 2-3 June 1998, Chettahalli, Coorg.
- Pancholi, B. Y., Desai, J. R., Saravaiya, S. N., Patel, N. M. and Patel, R. B., (2010), Response of anthurium to foliar application of urea and growth regulators in shadenethouse. *Asian J. Hort.*, **5** (1): 203-207.
- Rajeevan, P. K., Valasala Kumari, Prasad Rao, G. H. L. S. V., Liji, P. V. and Sujatha Mohan., (2007), Performance evaluation of cut flower varieties of anthurium under two agro climatic conditions. *J. Ornamental Hort.*, **10** (3): 177- 180.
- Salvi, B. R., (1997), Optimization of shade, nutrients and growth regulators for cut flower production in anthurium. *Ph.D. thesis* submitted to Kerala Agricultural University, Trissur.
- Shiva, K. N. and Sujatha, N. A., (2008), Performance of anthurium cultivars in Andaman. *Indian J. Hort.*, **65** (2): 180-183.
- Srinivasa, V. and Reddy, T. V., (2005), Evaluation of different varieties of anthurium under hill zone of Coorg District, Karnataka. *Mysore J. Agric. Sci.*, **39** (1):70-73.
- Srinivasa, V., (2005), Influence of GA<sub>3</sub> on growth and flowering in anthurium cv. Mauritius red. *Crop Res.*, **30** (2): 279-282.

\* Originals not found