

Digital – β – ψ – Contractive Type Mappings in Digital Metric Spaces

Kumari Jyoti, Asha Rani and Asha Rani

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce digital – β – ψ – contractive mappings in the context of digital metric spaces. In the end we discuss some examples to illustrate our results.

Keywords: fixed point, digital metric space, digital– β – ψ –contractive mappings, α –admissible.

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory is a beautiful subject for dynamic research in non-linear analysis. In 1912, Brouwer [2] proved a result that a unit closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n has a fixed point. The most remarkable result in the fixed point theory was given by Banach [1] in 1922. He proved that each contraction in a complete metric space has a unique fixed point. Later on, many authors generalized the Banach fixed point theorem in various ways [13,14,15,18,19,22,23]. Recently, Samet et al.[21] introduced the notion of α - ψ contractive mappings and proved the related fixed point theorems.

Digital topology is a developing area based on general topology and functional analysis which studies features of 2D and 3D digital images. Rosenfield [20] was the first to consider digital topology as the tool to study digital images. Kong [17], then introduced the digital fundamental group of a discrete object. The digital versions of the topological concepts were given by Boxer [3], who later studied digital continuous functions [4]. Later, he gave results of digital homology groups of 2D digital images in [6] and [7]. Ege and Karaca [9,10] give relative and reduced Lefschetz fixed point theorem for digital images. They also calculate degree of antipodal map for the sphere like digital images using fixed point properties. Ege and Karaca [11] then defined a digital metric space and proved the famous Banach Contraction Principle for digital images.

In this paper we generalize the concept of α - ψ -contractive mappings in the setting of digital metric space, as d - β - ψ -contractive mappings.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: Let Ψ be a family of functions $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ψ is nondecreasing;
- (ii) there exist $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in (0, 1)$ and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$ such that

$$\psi^{k+1}(t) \leq a\psi^k(t) + v_k$$

For $k \geq k_0$ and any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Lemma 2.2 ([21]): If $\psi \in \Psi$, then the following hold:

- (i) $(\psi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
- (ii) $\psi(t) < t$ for any $t \in (0, \infty)$;
- (iii) ψ is continuous at 0;
- (iv) the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t)$ converges for any $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Recently, Samet et al. [21] introduced the following concepts.

Definition 2.3: Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. we say that T is α -admissible if for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \implies \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$$

Definition 2.4: Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that T is an $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mapping if there exist two functions $\alpha: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Clearly, any contractive mapping, that is, a mapping satisfying Banach contraction, is an $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mapping with $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\psi(t) = kt$, for all $t > 0$ and some $k \in [0, 1)$.

Let X be a subset of \mathbb{Z}^n for a positive integer n where \mathbb{Z}^n is the set of lattice points in the n - dimensional Euclidean space and ρ represent an adjacency relation for the members of X . A digital image consists of (X, ρ) .

Definition 2.5[9]: Let l, n be positive integers, $1 \leq l \leq n$ and two distinct points

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

a and b are k_l - adjacent if there are at most l indices i such that $|a_i - b_i| = 1$ and for all other indices j such that $|a_j - b_j| \neq 1, a_j = b_j$.

There are some statements which can be obtained from definition 2.1:

- a and b are 2- adjacent if $|a - b| = 1$.
- a and b in \mathbb{Z}^2 are 8- adjacent if they are distinct and differ by at most 1 in each coordinate.
- a and b in \mathbb{Z}^3 are 26- adjacent if they are distinct and differ at most 1 in each coordinate.
- a and b in \mathbb{Z}^3 are 18- adjacent if are 26- adjacent and differ by at most two coordinates.
- a and b are 6- adjacent if they are 18- adjacent and differ in exactly one coordinate.

A ρ -neighbour [9] of $a \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a point of \mathbb{Z}^n that is ρ - adjacent to a where $\rho \in \{2,4,8,6,18,26\}$ and $n \in 1,2,3$. The set

$$N_\rho(a) = \{b | b \text{ is } \rho - \text{adjacent to } a\}$$

is called the ρ - neighbourhood of a . A digital interval [9] is defined by

$$[p, q]_{\mathbb{Z}} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} | p \leq z \leq q\}$$

where, $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p < q$.

A digital image $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is ρ - connected [10] if and only if for every pair of different points $u, v \in X$, there is a set $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ of points of digital image X such that $u = u_0, v = u_r$ and u_i and u_{i+1} are ρ - neighbours where $i = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$.

Definition 2.6: Let $(X, \rho_0) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n_0}, (Y, \rho_1) \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n_1}$ be digital images and $T: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function.

- T is said to be (ρ_0, ρ_1) - continuous[9], if for all ρ_0 - connected subset E of X , $f(E)$ is a ρ_1 - connected subset of Y .
- For all ρ_0 - adjacent points $\{u_0, u_1\}$ of X , either $T(u_0) = T(u_1)$ or $T(u_0)$ and $T(u_1)$ are a ρ_1 -adjacent in Y if and only if T is (ρ_0, ρ_1) -continuous [9].

- If f is (ρ_0, ρ_1) - continuous, bijective and T^{-1} is (ρ_1, ρ_0) - continuous, then T is called (ρ_0, ρ_1) - isomorphism [11] and denoted by $X \cong_{(\rho_0, \rho_1)} Y$.

A (Z, ρ) - continuous function T , is called a digital ρ - path [9] from u to v in a digital image X if $T: [0, m]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ such that $T(0) = u$ and $T(m) = v$. A simple closed ρ - curve of $m \geq 4$ points [12] in a digital image X is a sequence $\{T(0), T(1), \dots, T(m-1)\}$ of images of the ρ - path $T: [0, m-1]_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow X$ such that $T(i)$ and $T(j)$ are ρ - adjacent if and only if $j = i \pm \text{mod } m$.

Definition 2.7[8]: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of a digital metric space (X, d, ρ) is a Cauchy sequence if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n, m > \delta$, then

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon.$$

Definition 2.8[8]: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of a digital metric space (X, d, ρ) converges to a limit $p \in X$ if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > \delta$, then

$$d(x_n, p) < \epsilon.$$

Definition 2.9[8]: A digital metric space (X, d, ρ) is a digital metric space if any Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points of (X, d, ρ) converges to a point p of (X, d, ρ) .

Definition 2.10[8]: Let, (X, d, ρ) be any digital metric space and $T: (X, d, \rho) \rightarrow (X, d, \rho)$ be a self digital map. If there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y),$$

then T is called a digital contraction map.

Proposition 2.11[8]: Every digital contraction map is digitally continuous.

Theorem 2.12[8]: (Banach Contraction principle) Let (X, d, ρ) be a complete metric space which has a usual Euclidean metric in \mathbb{Z}^n . Let, $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a digital contraction map. Then T has a unique fixed point, i.e. there exists a unique $p \in X$ such that $f(p) = p$.

3. Main Results

We introduce the concept of *digital- β - ψ -contractive* mapping as follows:

Definition 3.1: Let (X, d, ρ) be a digital metric space and let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a given mapping. We say that T is a *digital- β - ψ -contractive* mapping if there exist two functions $\beta: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\beta(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y)). \quad (1)$$

Definition 3.2: Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\beta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We say that T is a β -admissible if for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\beta(x, y) \geq 1 \implies \beta(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$$

Theorem 3.3: Let (X, d, ρ) be a complete digital metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a *digital- β - ψ -contractive* mapping and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) T is a β -admissible;
- (ii) there exist $x_0 \in X$ such that $\beta(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (iii) T is digital continuous.

Then there exists $u \in X$ such that $Tu = u$.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\beta(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$ (such a point exist from the condition (ii)). Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. If $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ for some n_0 , then $u = x_{n_0}$ is a fixed point of T . So, we can assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all n . Since T is β -admissible, we have

$$\beta(x_0, x_1) = \beta(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1 \implies \beta(Tx_0, Tx_1) = \beta(x_1, x_2) \geq 1.$$

Inductively, we have

$$\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1 \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2 \dots \dots \dots \tag{2}$$

From (2) and (6), it follows that for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \beta(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \psi^n(d(x_0, x_1)) \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Using (5) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} d(x_k, x_{k+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \psi^k(d(x_0, x_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi$ and $d(x_0, x_1) > 0$, by lemma 1.2, we get $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi^k d(x_0, x_1) < \infty$

Thus, we have $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow 0} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$.

This implies that $\{x_n\}$ is a digital Cauchy sequence in the complete digital metric space (X, d, ρ) . Since (X, d, ρ) is complete, there exist $u \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is digital convergent to u . Since T is digital continuous, it follows that $\{Tx_n\}$ is digital convergent to Tu . By the uniqueness of the limit, we get $u = Tu$, that is, u is a fixed point of T .

The next theorem does not require continuity.

Theorem 3.5: Let (X, d, ρ) be a complete digital metric space. Suppose that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a *digital- β - ψ -contractive* mapping and the following conditions satisfies :

- (i) T is β -admissible;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\beta(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all n and $\{x_n\}$ is a digital convergent to $x \in X$, then $\beta(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all n .

Then there exist $u \in U$ such that $Tu = u$.

Proof: Following the proof of theorem 3.4, we know that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space (X, d, ρ) that is digital convergent to $u \in X$, From (6) and (iii), we have

$$\beta(x_n, u) \geq 1 \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Using the basic properties of digital metric together with (2) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, Tu) &= d(Tx_n, Tu) \\ &\leq \beta(x_n, u)d(Tx_n, Tu) \\ &\leq \psi(d(x_n, u)) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since ψ is continuous at $t = 0$, it follows that

$$d(u, Tu) = 0$$

By definition, we obtain $u = Tu$

With the help of the following example, we show that the hypotheses in theorems 2.4 and 2.5 do not guarantee uniqueness of the fixed point.

Example 3.6: Let $X = [0, \infty)$ be the digital metric space, where $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Consider the self- mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 2x - \frac{7}{4} & \text{if } x > 1, \\ \frac{x}{4} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that 1.16, a characterization of the Banach fixed point theorem, cannot be applied in this case because $d(T1, T2) = 2 > 1 = d(1, 2)$.

Define $\beta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, as

$$\beta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ for $t \geq 0$. Then, we conclude that T is a *digital- β - ψ -contractive* mapping. In fact, for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\beta(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y).$$

On the other hand, there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\beta(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Indeed, for $x_0 = 1$, we have $\beta(1, T1) = \beta\left(1, \frac{1}{4}\right) = 1$

Notice also that T is continuous. To show that T satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4, it is sufficient to observe that T is β -admissible. For this purpose, let $x, y \in X$ such that $\beta(x, y) \geq 1$, which is equivalent to saying that $x, y \in [0, 1]$. Due to the definitions of β and T , we have

$$Tx = \frac{x}{4} \in [0, 1], Ty = \frac{y}{4} \in [0, 1].$$

Hence, $\beta(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$. As a result, all the conditions of theorem 2.4 are satisfied. Note that theorem 2.4 guarantees the existence of a fixed point but not the uniqueness. In this example 0 and $\frac{7}{4}$ are two fixed points of T .

In the following example T is not continuous.

Example 3.7: Let X, d and β be defined as in example 3.6. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 2x - \frac{7}{4} & \text{if } x > 1, \\ \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

let $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{3}$ for $t \geq 0$. Then we conclude that T is a *digital- β - ψ -contractive* mapping. In fact, for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\beta(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y)$$

Furthermore, there exist $x_0 \in X$ such that $\beta(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. For $x_0 = 1$, we have $\beta(1, T1) = \beta\left(1, \frac{1}{3}\right) = 1$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and as $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. by the definition of β , we have $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. then we see that $x_n \in [0, 1]$. Thus, $\beta(x_n, x) \geq 1$.

To show that T satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5, it is sufficient to observe that T is β -admissible. For this purpose let $x, y \in X$ such that $\beta(x, y) \geq 1$. It is equivalent to saying that $x, y \in [0, 1]$. Due to the definition of β and T , we have

$$Tx = \frac{x}{3} \in [0, 1], Ty = \frac{y}{3} \in [0, 1].$$

Hence $\beta(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$.

As a result, all the conditions of the theorem 2.5 are satisfied. In this example, 0 and $\frac{7}{4}$ are two fixed points of T .

Theorem 3.8: Adding the following condition to the hypotheses of theorem 3.4 and 3.5 we obtain the uniqueness of a fixed point of T .

For all $x, y \in X$, there exist $z \in X$ such that $\beta(x, z) \geq 1$ and $\beta(y, z) \geq 1$.

Proof: Let $u, u^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ then by the given condition $\beta(u, z) \geq 1$ and $\beta(u^*, z) \geq 1$

Since T is β -admissible, we get by induction that

$$\beta(u, T^n z) \geq 1 \quad \beta(u^*, T^n z) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (6)$$

From (9) and (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, T^n z) &= d(Tu, T(T^{n-1}z)) \\ &\leq \beta(u, T^{n-1}z)d(Tu, T(T^{n-1}z)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(u, T^{n-1}z)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get by induction that

$$d(u, T^n z) \leq \psi^n(d(u, z)) \quad \text{for all } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, and since $\psi \in \Psi$, we have

$$d(u, T^n z) \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies that $\{T^n z\}$ is digital convergent to u . Similarly, we get $\{T^n z\}$ is digital convergent to u^* . By the uniqueness of the limit, we get $u = u^*$, that is, the fixed point of T is unique.

References

- [1] Banach S, “Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications”, *Fund. Math.*, 3 (1922), 133-187.
- [2] Brouwer LES, “Über Abbildungen Von Mannigfaltigkeiten”, *Math. Ann.*, 77 (1912), 97-115.
- [3] Boxer L, Digitally Continuous Functions, *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 15 (1994), 833-839.
- [4] Boxer L, Properties of Digital Homotopy, *J. Math. Imaging Vis.*, 22(2005), 19-26.
- [5] Boxer L, A Classical Constructions for The Digital Fundamental Group, *J. Math. Imaging Vis.*, 10(1999), 51-62.
- [6] Boxer L, Digital Products, Wedges and Covering Spaces, *J. Math. Imaging Vis.*, 25(2006), 159-171.
- [7] Boxer L, Continuous Maps on Digital Simple Closed Curves, *Appl. Math.*, 1(2010), 377-386.
- [8] Ege O, Karaca I, Fundamental Properties of Simplicial Homology Groups for Digital Images, *American Journal of Computer Technology and Application*, 1(2013), 25-42.
- [9] Ege O, Karaca I, Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem for Digital Images, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013(2013), 13 pages.
- [10] Ege O, Karaca I, Applications of The Lefschetz Number to Digital Images, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 21(2014), 823-839.
- [11] Ege O, Karaca I, Banach Fixed Point Theorem for Digital Images, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 8(2015), 237-245.
- [12] Herman GT, Oriented Surfaces in Digital Spaces, *CVGIP: Graphical Models and Image Processing*, 55(1993), 381-396.
- [13] Imoru C.O. and Olatinwo M.O., “On The Stability Of Picard And Mann Iteration Processes,” *Carpathian J. Math.*, 19 (2) (2003), 155-160.
- [14] Kannan R., “Some results on fixed points”, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 60 (1968), 71-76.
- [15] Kannan R, Some results on fixed point II, *Amer. Mathe. Monthly* 1969, 76, 405-408.
- [16] Karaca I, Ege O, Some Results on Simplicial Homology Groups of 2D Digital Images, *Int. J. Inform. Computer Sci.*, 1(2012), 198-203.
- [17] Kong TY, A Digital Fundamental Group, *Computers and Graphics*, 13(1989), 159-166.
- [18] Osilike M.O., “Stability Results For Fixed Point Iteration Procedures”, *J. The Nigerian Math. Society*, 14 (15) (1995), 17-29.

- [19] Rhoades B.E., "A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces", Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., 19 (3) (1996), 457-460.
- [20] Rosenfeld A, Digital Topology, Amer. Math. Monthly, 86(1979), 76-87.
- [21] Samet B., Vetro C. and Vetro P., "Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive mappings," Nonlinear Analysis, 75(2012), 2154-2165.
- [22] Schauder J., "Fixed point theorem in continuous mapping", Math. Ann., 174 (1930), 285-290.
- [23] Zamfirescu T., "Fixed Point Theorems In Metric Spaces", Arch. Math., 23 (1972), 292-298.

Kumari Jyoti

Department of Mathematics,
SRM University, Sonapat-131001, India.
Email: jantil.1315@gmail.com

Asha Rani

Department of Mathematics,
SRM University, Sonapat-131001, India.
Email: asha.dahiya27@gmail.com

Asha Rani

Department of Mathematics,
SRM University, Sonapat-131001, India.
Email: asha.dahiya1509@gmail.com