

A Potential of Biofilm Formation by Entomopathogenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* on Tomato Root Surface

Jiaheling Qi^{1and2}; Naoki Takahashi²; Daigo Aiuchi⁴; Masayuki Tani²; Shin-ichiro Asano³; Masanori Koike^{2*}

Abstract: Bacillus thuringiensis has been used as an effective bio-insecticide. But, recently B. thuringiensis was treated as a biological control agent which can suppress the plant disease. B. thuringiensis can suppress the growth of Ralstoniasolanacearum and the development of wilt symptoms in plants. Bacillus subtilis, which is ubiquitous in soil, can promote plant growth, protect against fungal pathogen attack as a biocontrol agent on plants. It is now widely recognized that Bacillus subtilis settings persist in association with surfaces by forming biofilm. In this study, we would like to determine if B. thuringiensis would colonize and form biofilms on tomato roots. We use 10 under test strains: B. thuringiensis sotto 15, israelensis 16, japonensis 17, kurstaki 18, roskildiensis 19, CR371-H 20, DF, GC-91, B.subtilis MB1600, B.simplex CGF2856. The microtiter plate assay was used to evaluate B. subtilis biofilm formation. Biofilm formation was quantified by measuring the OD₅₉₅ for each well using a spectrophotometer. Also the tomato root was soaked in each tested bacterial strains by co-culture method for 48h, and transplant tomato to soil condition. The result is B. thuringiensis the ability of biofilm formation. Our results also indicate that B. thuringiensis formed biofilm can colonize plant root.

Keywords: Biofilm, Bacillus thuringiensis, Bacillus subtilis, PGPR.

INTRODUCTION

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) promote plant growth and suppress plant disease by colonizing the plantroot surfaces and maintaining a stable relationship with the surface of plantroots. PGPR may increase plant yield by reducing plant pathogen populations in the soil, improving mineral nutrient uptake, phytohormone production, and maintaining a beneficial effect on plant growth [15, 20,21]. PGPR may also interact with a variety of soil microorganisms that are normally present in the rhizosphere, in some cases acting as a biocontrol agent against pathogenic bacteria [16]. Similarly, the sporulating grampositive bacteria such as *Bacillus* spp. have also been used successfully as potential biological control agents (BCAs) to control plant disease [12, 14]. *Bacillus subtilis* is the best- characterized member of the Gram-positive bacteria, which is ubiquitous in soil, can protect against fungal pathogen attack, promote plant growth, and play a role in the degradation of organic polymers in the soil [1, 10]. Also, inoculate *B. subtilis* strains to Arabidopsis root which infected by *Pseudomonas syringae*, the mortality of Arabidopsis was reduced both in cultureand in soil was observed, because of formation of an antimicrobial-producing biofilm formed by *B. subtilis* was confirmed[4].

¹ The United Graduate School of Agricultural Sciences, Iwate University, Japan;

² Department of Agro-environmental Science, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Japan;

³ Department of Applied Bioscience, Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Japan;

⁴ Research Center for Global Agro-medicine, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Japan

^{*} Corresponding Author.

Biofilms are widely found structures in which microorganisms are protected against various stresses, allowing them to persist in adverse environmental conditions.Bacterial biofilms are formed when unicellular organisms come together to form a community that is attached to a solid surface and encased in an exopolysaccharide matrix [22]. Furthermore, these microbial communities often interact with multiple species and their environment. The site of one such ecologically beneficial bacterial community is the root surfaces, where a rich microflora develops around the readily available nutrients released by roots [23]. Also, both *B. subtilis* and *B. thuringiensis* can form biofilms at air-liquid interfaces [11].

Bacillus thuringiensis has been used as an effective bioinsecticide [17, 18]. The specificity of *B. thuringiensis* is showed highly beneficial in agricultural biotechnology. Unlike most insecticides, *B. thuringiensis* insecticides are highly toxic against target insects and friendly towards beneficial insects, non-target organisms such as humans and wildlife [5]. It is also not harmful to the environment. *B. thuringiensis* has been used as an alternative to chemical pesticides for decades by organic farmers to control insects.

At present, *B. thuringiensis* is the only "microbial insecticide" in widespread use [8, 9]. Recently, *B. thuringiensis* has also attracted great attention as a biological control agent to suppress plant diseases [24]. Therefore, the new view is that the insecticide *B. thuringiensis* can be used as PGPR to control plant disease. Moreover, the activity of *B. thuringiensis* can suppress the growth of *Ralstoniasolanacearum* and the development of wilt symptoms has been examined in tomato plants [14].

If the formation biofilms by *B.thuringiensis* is greatly found in plantroot surfaces like *B.subtilis*, it may show that high bacteria density and more stably *B.thuringiensis* can exist in tomato root surfaces. Also, the effect by using *B.thuringiensis* as a biological control agent to control plant soil disease was expected. However, there is little research to evaluate the formation of biofilm by *B. thuringiensis* on plant root surfaces. So the objectives of this study were to determine if *B. thuringiensis* strains have the ability to form biofilms on microtiterplates, to determine if *B. thuringiensis* strains could colonize and form biofilms on tomato roots by liquid and soil co-culture methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Tomato Seed Preparation

The tested *B. thuringiensis* strains (BT 15 ~ 20) used for the present investigation were obtained from Research Faculty of Agriculture, Applied Bioscience Applied Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hokkaido University. For inoculum preparation, six strains of B. thuringiensis were inoculated in Luria Bertani broth (LB) and grown for 1 week at 30°C. The other tested strains (B. thuringiensis DF, B. thuringiensis GC-91, B. subtilis MBI600, B. simplex CGF2856) were form commercial formulation. For the preparation of bacterial suspension, the ten strains of bacteria were inoculated in liquid LB broth and grown for 24 h with constant shaking (150 rpm) at 28 ± 2°C. Tomato seed (Lycopersiconesculen-tum Mill) was used in this experiment. Tomato seeds were surface-sterilized with 5% antiformin for 30 sec, 70% ethanol for 10 sec, and washed with sterilized water twice.

Formation of Biofilms by *B. thuringiensis* in Microtiter Plates

The ability of the *B. thuringiensis* strains to form biofilms was tested. Pre-cultures in the exponential phase of growth were inoculated at an optical density at 600 nm(OD₆₀₀) of 0.1 into fresh LB medium (10 g/liter bactopeptone,5 g/liter yeast extract, 5 g/liter NaCl) in 24-well microtiterplates. After 24, 48, 72 h of incubation at 25°C with 3 replicates, the biofilm density was measured as follows: the microtiter plate wells were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline, and bound cells were stained with a 1% (wt/vol) crystal violet solution at room temperature for 20 min.

The wells were then washed with phosphatebuffered saline three times, and the dye was solubilized with a 20%/80% acetone/ethanol mixture. The absorbance at 595 nm of the solubilized dye was subsequently determined [2].



Figure 1: OD595 of solubilized crystal violet from microtiter plate assay (filled circles). After 24h, 48h, 72h of incubation, biofilm density was measured as described in thetext. The data represent the means of three independent experiments. The error bars represent standard deviations.

BT15(sotto 15), BT16(israelensis 16), BT17(japonensis 17), BT18(kurstaki 18), BT19(roskildiensis 19), BT20(CR371-H 20), BT DF,BT GC-91, B. simplex CGF2856, B. subtilis MBI600

Formation of Biofilms by *B. thuringiensis* with Liquid and Soil co-culture Methods

The ability *B. thuringiensis* biofilm formation on tomato roots was tested by liquid and soil co-culture methods(Bais et al., 2004). The tested bacterial suspensions were prepared as described before.In liquid co-culture, the tomato seeds were placed in a culture dish for germination in 8 days. Elongated tomato roots were soaked in a glass bottle (4.5 cm in height, 2 cm in width), which filled with 5ml bacterial suspension for 3 days. In soil co-cultivation, the tomato seeds were placed in a culture dish for germination in 4 or 5 days. After 4 or 5 days, germinated tomato seedlings were plant in 15 ml of tubes added with 2.5 g sterilization soil, filled with sterilized water. 1 ml/day tested bacterial suspensions were inoculated to the tomato seedlings, inoculation was taken three days in total. Root of the tomato seedlings treated with liquid and soil co-culture methods were rinsed with a phosphatebuffered saline twice and soaked with 70% methanol for 10 min. The tomato seedlings were dyed with 5% trypan blue for 10 min. An optical microscope was used to observe the tomato root surfaces in a field of vision of 1,000 times. Cut 2 cm from the tip of taproot and evaluated the biofilm formation of the tomato root surfaces.

Bacterial concentration in liquid co-culture experiment: *B. thuringiensis sotto* 15: 2.08 × 10x cfu/ ml; *israelensis* 16: 8.16 × 10w cfu/ml; *japonensis* 17: 7.6 × 10w cfu/ml: *kurstaki* 18: 1.97 × 10x cfu/ml; *roskildiensis* 19: 9.34 × 10w cfu/ml; *CR371-H* 20: 9.26 × 10w cfu/ml; DF: 1.68 × 10x cfu/ml; *GC-91*: 1.64 × 10x cfu/ml; *B.subtilis MBI600*: 1.47 × 10w cfu/ml; *B. simplex CGF2856*: 1.78 × 10x cfu/ml.

Bacterial concentration in soil co-cultivation experiment: *B. thuringiensis sotto* 15: 1.95 × 10*x* cfu/ ml; *israelensis* 16: 1.04 × 10*x* cfu/ml; *japonensis* 17: 1.10 × 10*x* cfu/ml; *kurstaki* 18: 2.0 × 10*x* cfu/ml; *roskildiensis* 19: 1.13 × 10*x* cfu/ml; *CR371-H* 20: 1.17 × 10*x* cfu/ml; DF: 1.81 × 10*x* cfu/ml; *GC-91*: 1.79 × 10*x* cfu/ml; *B. subtilis MBI600*: 9.51 × 10w cfu/ml; *B. simplex CGF2856*: 1.74 × 10*x* cfu/ml.

RESULTS

Formation of Biofilms by *B. thuringiensis* in Microtiter Plates

The result of formation of biofilms by *B. thuringiensis* in microtiter plates was all tested strains could form biofilms in microtiter plates (Figure 1). All tested strains showed a rise in absorbance from the first day to the second day. From second day to third day, *B. thuringiensis israelensis* 16, *kurstaki* 18, *CR371-H20*, DF, *B.simplexCGF2856* showed a rising trend in absorbance, *B. thuringiensis sotto* 15, *japonensis* 17, *roskildiensis* 19, *B.thuringiensis GC-91*, *B. subtilis MBI600* showed adecrease trend in absorbance (Figure 1). To determine the kinetics of biofilm formation, a microtiterplate was inoculated



control

Figure 2: In liquid co-culture, the tomato roots cultured with liquid bacterial suspension for 3 days. After 3 days culture, the tomato seedlings were dyed with 5% trypan blue. An optical microscope was used to observe the colony formed by bacteria on root surfaces.

BT15 (sotto 15), BT16 (israelensis 16), BT17 (japonensis 17), BT18 (kurstaki 18), BT19 (roskildiensis 19), BT20 (CR371-H 20), DF, B.thuringien-sis GC-91, B. simplex CGF2856, B. subtilis MBI600

with the bacterial strain as described above. A measurable amount of biofilm was detected after 24 h of inoculation (Figure 1). The number of viable cells in thebiofilm rings was determined as follows. The biofilm was manually scraped from the sides of the wells using a pipette tip and re-suspended in LB medium. After serial dilutions, cells were plated onto LB medium. The increase in crystal violet staining with time of incubation was proportional to the increase in the number of viable cells in the biofilm (Figure 1).

Formation of Biofilms by *B. thuringiensis* with Liquid and Soil co-culture Methods

3-day after liquid and soil co-cultivation, tomato root surfaces was washed, fixed and dyed, then observed the formation of biofilms on tomato root surfaces by an optical microscope. As a result, in the liquid bacteria co-culture experiment, except *B. simplex* *CGF2856* and control treatment, all of bacterial strains could form biofilms on tomato roots rhizo plane were confirmed (Figure 2). Also, in the soil co-cultivation experiment, except *B. thuringiensis roskildiensis* 19, *CR371-H* 20, and *B. simplex CGF2856*, all of bacterial strains could form biofilms on tomato root surfaces were confirmed (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

The ability of biofilms formation by bacterial strains was evaluated in 24-well microtiter plates as described before. As a result, we identified all tested bacterial strains could form biofilm (an annular formed in suspension in the well and the interface with the gas) after 2 days static culture. In addition, because of the interaction between the quantity of CV dyeing and the number of colony forming unit of attached cells, the increase and decrease of



Figure 3. In soil co-cultivation, the tomato roots were plantin soil conditionand inoculated bacterial suspension. After 5 days culture, the tomato seedlings were dyed with 5% trypan blue. An optical microscope was used to observe the colony formed by bacteria on root surfaces (Bars=10mm).

BT15 (sotto 15), BT16 (israelensis 16), BT17 (japonensis 17), BT18 (kurstaki 18), BT19 (roskildiensis 19), BT20(CR371-H 20), DF, B. thuringien-sis GC-91, B. simplex CGF2856, B. subtilis MBI600

absorbance corresponded to quantity of biofilm formation in the well. Furthermore, from the first day to the second day, all tested strains showed an increase in the quantity of biofilms formation, from second day to third day, biofilm quantities showed both increase and decrease for every each strain were confirmed. In biofilm formative process, the biofilm would flow away from direction, which prevent biofilm growth and the structure, composition, and function of biofilm are changing dynamically, during biofilm formation [7]. So the disintegration of the biofilm or the falling off from a well wall surface may lead to decrease of already developed, thick biofilm.

The results of biofilm formation evaluated by liquid and the soil co-cultivation were that, except some strains colony biofilm were confirmed in all tested strains treated tomato root surfaces under both culture conditions. Under a liquid culture condition, all of the *B. thuringiensis* strains and *B. subtilis MBI600* biofilm formation ability were confirmed, but there was no biofilm formed by *B. simplex CGF2856*. On the other hand, under soil co-cultivation, except *B. thuringiensis roskildiensis 19, CR371-H 20,* and *B. simplex CGF2856*, all of bacterial strains could form biofilms on tomato root surfaces were confirmed.

For *B. simplex* the reason might be the ability for biofilm formation to plant root surfaces was lacked; for *B. thuringiensis* the reason might be a soil particle became the inhibition under soil culture, so there was no biofilm formation in rhizoplane. In this study, we documented *B. thuringiensis* strains have the ability to colonize and form biofilms on plant root surfaces.

Biofilms share an important structural feature: their constituent cells are bound together by an extracellular matrix that mainly consists of macromolecules, including proteins, polysaccharides, and nucleic acids, that are producedby the cells themselves [6]. Bacterial biofilms are found in most natural and man-made environments where bacteria are associated predominantly with surfaces rather than in a free-floating state[3]. B. subtilis has been a model organism for the studyof Gram-positive bacterial physiology. Recently, it has been reported that B. subtilis forms adhering biofilms on inert surfaces under the control of avariety of transcription factors [13, 19]. Further more, B. subtilis 6051 could reduce mortality of Arabidopsis, which root infected by *Pseudomonas* syringae, because of an antimicrobial-producing biofilm formed by *B. subtilis* 6051. So the ability of B. subtilis 6051 to control P. syringae infectivity of Arabidops is was directly proportional to its ability to colonize and form biofilms on plant root surfaces was documented[4].

B. thuringiensis is a naturally abundant Grampositive bacterium and a well-known, effective bioinsecticide [18]. *B. thuringiensis* can product crystal proteins, which are highly toxic to insects, but not to mammals, and are not harmful to the environment. It hasbeen widely used as an alternative to chemical pesticides or genetically engineered into crops to provide constant protection [5]. Moreover, the activity of *B. thuringiensis* can suppress the growth of *Ralstoniasolanacearum* and the development of wilt symptoms has been examined in tomato plants [14].

In this study, although we documented *B*. thuringiensis strains have the ability to colonize and form biofilms on plant root surfaces, in the future, using the fluorescence flagella antibody to observe the three-dimensional biofilm and quantify biofilm formed by B. thuringiensis will be necessary. Recently, we proved that *B. thuringiensis* strains suppressed the development of wilt symptoms caused by FOL in tomato plants. And B. thuringiensis strains are plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) that can promote plant growth, seed germination and shoot elongation (unpublished). Furthermore, we would like to determine if the biofilm formation and colonization by B. thuringiensis strains could provide protection to the plant and control plant disease.

References

- Asaka, O., Shoda, M., (1996), Biocontrol of Rhizoctoniasolani damping-off of tomato with *Bacillus subtilis RB14*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62.11, 4081-4085.
- Auger, S., Krin, E., Aymerich, S., Gohar, M., (2006), Autoinducer 2 affects biofilm formation by *Bacillus cereus*. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 72.1, 937-941.
- Block, JC., Houdidier, K., Paquin, JL., Miazga, J., Levi, Y., (1993), Biofilm accumulation in drinking water distribution systems. Biofouling 6, 333-343.
- Bais, H., Fall, R., Vianco, JM., (2004), Biocontrol of *Bacillus subtilis* against infection of *Arabidopsis* roots by *Pseudomonas syringae* is facilitated by biofilm formation and surfactin production. Plant Physiology 134, 307-319.
- Bravo, A., Likitvivatanavong, S., Gill, S., Soberón, M., (2011), Bacillus thuringiensis: a story of a successful bioinsecticide. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 41, 423-431.
- Branda, SS., Vik, S., Friedman, L.,Kolter, R., (2005), Biofilms: the matrix revisited. Trends in Microbiology13, 20-26.
- Brading, MG., Boyle, J., Lappin-Scott, HM., (1995), Biofilrn formation in laminar flow using *Pseudomonas fluorescens* EX101. Journal of Industrial Microbiology 15, 297-304.
- Cherif, A., Chehimi, S., Limem, F., Hansen, B.M., Hendriksen, N.B., Daffonchio, D., Boudabous, A., (2003), Purification and characterization of the novel bacteriocinentomocine 9, and safety evaluation of its producer, *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. entomocidus HD9. Journal of Applied Microbiology 95, 990-1000.
- Dong, Y., Zhang, Q., Xu, J.L., (2002), Identification of quorumquenching N-acylhomoserinelactonasesfrom *Bacillus* species. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68, 1754–1759.
- Emmert, E., Handelsman, J., (1999), Biocontrol of plant disease: a (Gram) positive perspective. FEMS Microbiology Letters 171, 1-9.
- Fagerlund, A., Dubois, T., Økstad, O., Verplaetse, E., Gilois, N., Bennaceur, I., Perchat, S., Gominet, M., Aymerich, S., Kolstø1, A., Lereclus, D., Gohar, M., (2014), S in R controls enterotoxin expression in *Bacillus thuringiensis* biofilms. Plos-one 9.1, e87532.
- Fravel, D.R., (2005), Commercialization and implementation of biocontrol¹. The Annual Review of Phytopathology 43, 337-359.
- Hamon, MA., Lazazzera, BA., (2001), The sporulation transcription factor Spo0 A is required for biofilm development in *Bacillus subtilis*. Molecular Microbiology 42, 1119–1209.
- Hyakumachi, M., Nishimura, M., Arakawa, T., Asano, S., Yoshida, S, Tsushima, S., Takahashi, H., (2013), *Bacillus thuringiensis* suppresses bacterial wilt disease caused by *Ralstoniasolanacearum* with systemic induction of defenserelated gene expression in tomato. Microbes and Environments 28(1), 128-134.

- Kloepper, J.W., Hume, D.J., Scher, F.M., *et al.*, (1988), Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on canola (rapeseed). Plant Disease 72, 42-46.
- Pinton, R., Varanini, Z., Nannipieri, P., (2001), The rhizosphere as a site of biochemical interactions among soil components, plants and microorganisms. *In* R Pinton, Z Varanini, P Nannipieri, eds The Rhizosphere: Biochemistry and Organic Substances in the Soil-Plant Interface. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1-17.
- Roh, J.Y., Choi, J., Li, M.S., Jin, B.R., Je, Y.H., (2007), *Bacillus thuringiensis* as a specific, safe, and effective toolfor insert pest control. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 17, 547–559.
- Schnepf, E., Crickmore, N., van Rie L.D., Baum, J., Feitelson, J., Zeigler, D.R., Dean, D.H., (1998), *Bacillus thuringiensis* and its pesticidal crystal proteins. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 62, 775-806.
- Stanley,NR., Britton, RA., Grossman, AD., Lazazzera, BA., (2003), Identificationof catabolite repression as a physiological regulator of biofilm formationby *Bacillus subtilis*by use of DNA microarrays. Journal of Bacteriology 185, 1951-1957.

- Van Peer, R., Niemann, G.J., Schippers, B., (1991), Induced resistance and phytoalexin accumulation in biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of carnation by *Pseudomonas* sp. strain WCS417r." Phytopathology 81.7, 728-734.
- Wei, G., Kloepper, J.W., Tuzun, S., (1991), Induction of systemic resistance of cucumber to Colletotrichumorbiculare by select strains of plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria. Phytopathology 81.11, 1508-1512.
- Watnick, Paula I., Kolter, R., (1999), Steps in the development of a Vibrio cholerae El Tor biofilm. Molecular microbiology 34.3, 586-595.
- Weller, D.M., Thomashow, L.S., (1994), Current challenges in introducing beneficial microorganisms into the rhizosphere. Molecular ecology of rhizosphere microorganisms: Biotechnology and the release of GMOs, 1-18.
- Zhou, Y., Choi, Y.L., Sun, M., Yu, Z., (2008), Novel roles of *Bacillus thuringiensis*to control plant diseases. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 80, 563-572.