# Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm Based Speed Control of Three Phase Induction Motor

K. Mohana Sundaram<sup>1</sup>, M. Sivasubramanian<sup>2</sup>, G. Pannerselvam<sup>3</sup> and Jebasingh Kirubakaran S.J.<sup>4</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

Three phases Induction motor is a universal motor used in industrial applications exhibits nonlinear property and the incorporation of SCR voltage Controller with an induction motor makes the system more complex and difficult to analyze. Hence the design and development of a feedback controller to maintain speed regulation of induction motor is a major challenge. The dynamic characteristics obtained by traditional Proportional Integral controller are very poor at various working points of the drive. Considering the above fact, this article presents a novel Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm for controller design and utilized for regulating the speed of induction motor drive and also the proposed system nullifies the parameter variation and disturbance rejection which is validated through simulation and experimental results.

Keywords: Induction motor, SCR, PI controller, Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The squirrel cage three phase induction motor is trouble free, cost effective and used as driving mechanism for majority of operations in industries such as pulp, paper industries, cement industries, refineries, agriculture, commercial complexes etc. The stator voltage control approach is economical and convenient way of regulating the speed of induction motor and is employed for fans and pump drives. [1-3]. The controller design part has to be consider little attention for closed loop speed control systems using stator voltage controlled system. Even though AC voltage controlled system is simple, the study of system is complicated owing to nonlinear and complicated dynamic motor equations. The closed loop variable speed operation along with experimental setup is described in [3]. The drawback of the above system is that an analytical connection was not set up between motor torque and supply voltage. Ac voltage controllers are also acts as soft starters as well as energy savers [4-6]. SCR voltage controller fed induction motor system is defined by a fifth order differential equation and provides large variation of motor speed. Most of the earlier works linearized the motor models for the controller design. In this paper, a new small signal model of Induction motor is first derived and Grey wolf Algorithm (ACO) is used for the tuning of an optimal controller.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **2.1. Modeling of Induction motor**

Electromagnetic torque of induction motor in general is given by.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Professor/EEE,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2,3</sup> Assistant Professor/EEE,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Assistant Professor/ECE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1,2,34</sup> Vel Tech Multi Tech Dr. Rangarajan Dr. Sakunthala Engineering College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India, *Emails:* kumohanasundaram@gmail.com, sivasubramanian@veltechmultitech.org, panneerselvam@veltechmultitech.org

$$T_e = f(V, \omega_r) \tag{1}$$

V-phase voltage of motor

 $\omega$ -Rotor speed

The phase voltage of the motor is changed by SCR firing angle  $\alpha$ . Therefore, equation (1) can be written as

$$T_{e} = f(\alpha, \omega_{e}) \tag{2}$$

Considering small perturbations on variables in equation (2) and by applying Taylor Series, the transient process can be written as

$$\Delta T_e = K_a * \Delta \alpha + K_w * \Delta \omega_r \tag{3}$$

Where

$$K_a = \frac{\Delta T_e}{\Delta \alpha} \Big|_{\omega_r = constant}$$
 and  $K_w = \frac{\Delta T_e}{\Delta \omega_r} \Big|_{\alpha = constant}$ 

Equation (3) corresponds to linearized model of SCR fed induction motor at specific working point of the drive system.

Equating electromagnetic torque and mechanical torque, the equation is given by

$$J\frac{d(\Delta\omega_r)}{dt} + F\Delta\omega_r = \Delta T_e - \Delta T_L \tag{4}$$

 $K_a, K_w$  values are obtained from the speed-torque characteristics curve of the induction motor under steady state conditions. Table 1 represents the values of firing angle, rotor speed,  $K_a$  and  $K_w$  at various operating points.

Toble 1

Motor Parameters at various operating points				
α	ω <sub>ro</sub>	K <sub>a</sub>	$K_{_{W}}$	
80.0	154.4	0.666	-2.500	
81.5	152.0	0.667	-0.667	
90.0	150.80	0.372	-0.633	
93.0	148.6	0.500	-0.800	

#### 2.2. Proportional Integral-controller as Feedback controller

A PI Controller is designed as feedback controller and shown in Fig 1. Considering the speed change reference  $\Delta \omega_r^*$  as 0, the transfer function is given by

$$\frac{\Delta\omega_r(s)}{\Delta T_L(s)} = \frac{-s}{Js^2 + (F + K_a K_P - K_w)s + K_a K_I}$$
(5)

The controller constants are calculated at specific operating points and the response of motor is simulated with MATLAB with unit step input applied at time t = 0 sec and with load applied at t = 10sec. Figure 2 shows the transient Characteristics of motor. The observation from the figure2 shows that the design of controller at particular operating point will not give suitable output at all other operating points.



Figure 1: Simulink model of Induction motor with Proportional Integral-controller



Figure 2: Speed output with Proportional Integral-controller for various operating points and.

# 3. CONTROLLER DESIGN USING GWO

In this case, the dynamic characteristics of the motor are considered as an optimization problem. Let  $\delta \omega_{rp}$  and  $t_s$  are the peak overshoot and settling time. The objective function can be written as

Minimize

$$F(\phi) = (1 + \Delta \omega_{rn}) \ (1 + t_s) \tag{6}$$

Subject to  $\phi_{\min} \le \phi \le \phi_{\max}$ 

Where  $\phi$  is a set containing controller elements namely  $K_p$  and  $K_i$ .

Grey wolf optimizer (GWO) is used for tuning the PI controller parameters thereby controlling the speed of the induction motor. Grey wolf (Canis lupus) is a novel population based algorithm introduced in 2014. GWO algorithm is actually inspired by grey wolves. Steps involved in grey wolf hunting are:

- 1) Tracking, chasing, and approaching the victim.
- 2) Pursuing, encircling, and niggling the victim until it stops moving.
- 3) Attacking towards the victim.

#### 3.1. Algorithm

```
Initialize the grey wolf population X_i (i = 1, 2, ..., n)
Initialize a, A, and C
Calculate the fitness of each search agent
X_a=the best search agent
X_{\beta}=the second best search agent
X_{\delta}=the third best search agent
while (t < Max number of iterations)
   for each search agent
            Update the position of the current search agent by equation (3.7)
    end for
    Update a, A, and C
    Calculate the fitness of all search agents
    Update X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}, and X_{\delta}
    t=t+1
end while
return X_a
```

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Induction motor model is simulated along with GWO controller as Feedback controller. The figure 3 shows the results of simulation and from the results, it is proved that the peak overshoot, peak under shoot and



Figure 3: Dynamic response of GWO and PI controller

settling time (i.e objective function) are reduced thereby providing good response at all working points with GWO based controller compared to Proportional Integral controller. The table 2 and 3 gives the comparison of time domain specifications between conventional PI controller and GWO controller at time t = 0 seconds and t = 9 seconds respectively.

In order to validate the simulation results an experimental setup is developed. The hardware results are shown in the figure 4 and 5. The experimental results clearly indicate that the GWO controller is capable of maintaining the reference speed at different operating points successfully.

Comparison between conventional controller and GWO controller in the simulation study at $t = 0$ sec				
Time domain specifications	Conventional PI controller	GWO controller		
Rise time	0.88 secs	1 sec		
Settling time	4.6 secs	1.95secs		
Overshoot	20%	0%		
Undershoot	3%	0%		

# Table 2

#### Table 3: Comparison between conventional controller and GWO controller in the simulation study at t = 9 sec

Time domain specifications	Conventional PI controller	GWO controller
Rise time	0.57 secs	0 secs
Settling time	3.98 secs	0 secs
Overshoot	12.73%	0%
Undershoot	64%	0%





Figure 5: Response of GWO controller

# 5. CONCLUSION

An optimization approach for robust controller is next carried out using Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm (GWO). While small signal model is used for optimization process; the estimated controller structure is shown immune to external disturbances and parameter variations. Thus the dynamic response of the motor obtained with GWO based tuned controller is more efficient than with the traditional PI controller.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Govt. of India for Financial Assistance, DST-FIST F.NO:SR/FST/College-189/2013

## REFERENCES

- [1] Paice "Induction motor speed control by stator voltage control," IEEE Transactions. on Power Apparatus and Systems, February 1968, pp. 585-590.
- [2] Lipo T.A, "The analysis of induction motors with voltage control by symmetrically triggered thyristors," IEEE transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, pp. 515-525, Mar/Apr. 1971.
- [3] Shepherd and Stanway, "An experimental closed-loop variable speed drive incorporating a thyristor driven induction motor," IEEE Transanctions. On Industry and General Applications, pp. 559-565, Vol. IGA-3, No. 6. 1967.
- [4] Sastry V., Prasad M.R. and Sivakumar. "Optimal soft starting of voltage–controller fed IM drive based on voltage across thyristor", *IEEE Transactions onPower. Electron.*, 12,(6), pp. 1041-1051, 1997.
- [5] Zenginobouz G, Cadrici I., Ermis M and Barlak C, "Soft starting of large induction motors at constant current with minimized staring torque pulsations, *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. 37*, (5), pp. 1334-1347, 2001.
- [6] K. Mohanasundaram, K.R. Sugavanam and R. Senthilkumar "A PSO Algorithm Based PI Controller Design ForSoft Starting of Induction Motor" International Journal of Applied Engineering Research ISSN 0973-4562 Volume 9, Number 24 (2014) pp. 25535-25542
- [7] Mirjalili, Seyedali, Seyed Mohammad Mirjalili, and Andrew Lewis. "Grey wolf optimizer." Advances in Engineering Software 69 (2014): 46-61.

- [8] Mirjalili, S. "How effective is the grey wolf optimizer in training multi-layer perceptrons". Applied Intelligence. 2015.
- [9] Ali Madadi and Mahmood Mohseni Motlagh "Optimal Control of DC motor using Grey Wolf Optimizer Algorithm" Technical Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences, pp. 373-379.