

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE

ISSN : 0254-8755

available at http://www.serialsjournal.com

© Serials Publications Pvt. Ltd.

Volume 35 • Number 1 • 2017

Topological Modeling of Anti Malarial Activities of Selected Phenothiazine Derivatives

¹Dr. Venkateshwar and ²Dr. (Prof.) Vijay K. Agrawal

QSAR Laboratory, A.P.S. University, Rewa, M.P. (India) Author for correspondence: ¹vktvkt3@gmail.com

Abstract: Topological parameters and connectivity indices are widely used in modeling of large variety of drugs. In the present study, Log IC_{50} values for the given set of anti-malarial compounds which were phenothiazine derivatives was modeled using selective physiochemical and topological parameters along with two indicator parameters. A series of step wise regression analysis of the independent parameters with the dependent parameter was carried out with the help of statistical parameters. A tetra parametric model containing topological and connectivity indices obtained after series of regression analysis was found to be significant in modeling the activity.

Key words: topological and connectivity indices, step wise regression, phenothiazine derivatives.

INTRODUCTION

Malaria is still prevalent in developing countries. Phenothiazine derivatives have been found to posses anti malarial activity towards *P.Falciparum*. The inhibitory activity for such derivatives has been reported by Dominguez *et al.* [1]. The general structure of these compounds is shown in fig 1.

The structural details of these phenothiazine derivatives have been reported in **Table 1**. This Table also contains Log IC_{50} values for various compounds.

A peruses of **Table 1**, gives some information regarding variation of activity with functional groups attached at different positions. The compound 10,



Figure 1: General structure of phenothiazine derivatives

exhibits highest inhibitory activity. This activity can be compared with compound number 2, where fluoro, group has been replaced by chloro group at R. Similarly, presence of fluoro group at R, in case of compound number 12 is comparable with compound number 4. Here, a replacement of fluoro with chloro group has no effect on the activity. On the other hand, the presence of fluoro group at R, in compound number 16, lowers the IC_{50} activity as compared to compound number 7, therefore it is very difficult to draw any conclusions while variation is observed because of functional groups present at any one position. It appears, that the presence of one functional group, changes the property of the molecule as a whole. Therefore, in the present investigation topological and physico-chemical parameters have been chosen for modeling Log IC₅₀ activity. Two indicator parameters (IP) have been taken, IP₁ which accounts for the presence of halogen attached to the phenyl ring present at R2 and IP, which accounts for the presence of phenyl ring present at R2.

The values of indicator parameters are shown in Table 1. The values of calculated topological parameters used in the present study like Weiner index (W),[2] Balban index (B) [3] and Balban type indices are tabulated in **Table 2**, whereas **Table 3**, demonstrates the values of various order Randic [4] and Kier-Hall valency connectivity indices. [5]

AIM OF PRESENT INVESTIGATION

The present investigation highlights the importance of topological and connectivity indices of certain order in modeling the activity of selected anti malarial compounds and further using the obtained equations in designing newer anti malarial drugs and improving the existing ones.

METHODOLOGY USED

The physiochemical data for the compounds under the present study, were calculated using advanced version of Chemsketch software [6] and this data was subjected to the regression analysis with the activity (dependent parameter) using NCSS (software used for statistical analysis) However, after a series of regression, none of the physiochemical parameter in mono, bi, tri or tetra parameter category was found to be significant. Hence, the focus was shifted to topological parameters.

The topological parameters were calculated by making a mole file followed by exporting the data to Dragon software **[7]** used to calculate the topological parameters of various orders.

The data was again subjected to multiple regression analysis **[8]** and on the basis of R², R²A, F-ratio and Pogliani Quality Factor (Q), **[9, 10]** some statistically significant correlations were obtained.

Table 1
Structural details of the compounds with their
activity used in the present study along with their
indicator parameter values

Compd. No.	R	R1	R2	logIC ₅₀	IP_{t}	IP_2
1	Cl	Н	Н	1.6020	0	0
2	Cl	CH3	CH ₃	1.4771	0	0
3	Cl	Н	C_6H_5	1.0000	0	1
4	Cl	Н	3-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	1.3010	0	1
5	Cl	Н	4-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	1.0000	0	1
6	Cl	Н	2,3-(CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	1.0000	0	1
7	Cl	Н	3,4-(CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	1.4771	0	1
8	Cl	Н	$4-\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4$	0.6020	1	1
9	F	Н	2,4-ClC ₆ H ₄	1.0000	1	1
10	F	CH ₃	CH ₃	1.7781	0	0
11	F	Н	C_6H_5	1.3010	0	1
12	F	Н	3-CH ₃ OC ₆ H ₄	1.3010	0	1
13	F	Н	2,3-(CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	1.3010	0	1
14	F	Н	2,4-Cl ₂ C ₆ H ₃	1.0000	1	1
15	F	Н	$4-\text{ClC}_6\text{H}_4$	0.6989	1	1
16	F	Н	3,4-(CH ₃ O) ₂ C ₆ H ₃	1.3010	0	1

 $IP_1 = 1$, if halogen is attached to the phenyl ring present at R2; $IP_2 = 1$, if phenyl ring is present at R2.

W	J	Jhetz	Jhetm	Jhetv	Jhete	Jhetp	BAC
404	1.7050	2.6330	2.6360	1.9530	2.1490	2.1280	5
558	1.7300	2.5020	2.5030	1.9330	2.1120	2.0740	17
1031	1.4220	1.9380	1.9380	1.6110	1.7260	1.6860	5
1332	1.4070	1.8900	1.8910	1.5330	1.7130	1.5760	11
1364	1.3770	1.8540	1.8550	1.510	1.6830	1.5520	11
1617	1.4510	1.9290	1.9290	1.5240	1.7660	1.5460	21
1681	1.3960	1.8650	1.8650	1.4860	1.7120	1.5070	21
1186	1.4020	1.9110	1.9120	1.5940	1.7070	1.6670	10
1313	1.4260	1.9420	1.9430	1.6170	1.7330	1.6910	17
558	1.7300	2.4770	2.4800	1.8710	2.1180	1.9590	17
1031	1.4220	1.9250	1.9270	1.5750	1.7300	1.6240	5
1332	1.4070	1.8810	1.8820	1.5050	1.7150	1.5280	11
1617	1.4510	1.9200	1.9210	1.5000	1.7680	1.5060	21
1313	1.4260	1.9320	1.9340	1.5870	1.7350	1.6370	17
1186	1.4020	1.9000	1.9020	1.5620	1.7100	1.6100	10
1681	1.3960	1.8560	1.8570	1.4630	1.7140	1.4680	21
	W 404 558 1031 1332 1364 1617 1681 1186 1332 1617 1384 1617 1681 1313 1558 1031 1332 1617 1313 1186 1681	W J 404 1.7050 558 1.7300 1031 1.4220 1332 1.4070 1364 1.3770 1617 1.4510 1681 1.3960 1186 1.4020 1313 1.4260 558 1.7300 1031 1.4220 1332 1.4070 1617 1.4510 1331 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1313 1.4260 1186 1.4020 1681 1.3960	WJJhetz404 1.7050 2.6330 558 1.7300 2.5020 1031 1.4220 1.9380 1332 1.4070 1.8900 1364 1.3770 1.8540 1617 1.4510 1.9290 1681 1.3960 1.8650 1186 1.4020 1.9110 1313 1.4260 1.9420 558 1.7300 2.4770 1031 1.4220 1.9250 1332 1.4070 1.8810 1617 1.4510 1.9200 1313 1.4260 1.9320 1186 1.4020 1.9000 1681 1.3960 1.8560	WJJhetzJhetm404 1.7050 2.6330 2.6360 558 1.7300 2.5020 2.5030 1031 1.4220 1.9380 1.9380 1332 1.4070 1.8900 1.8910 1364 1.3770 1.8540 1.8550 1617 1.4510 1.9290 1.9290 1681 1.3960 1.8650 1.8650 1186 1.4020 1.9110 1.9120 1313 1.4260 1.9420 1.9430 558 1.7300 2.4770 2.4800 1031 1.4220 1.9250 1.9270 1332 1.4070 1.8810 1.8820 1617 1.4510 1.9200 1.9210 1313 1.4260 1.9320 1.9210 1313 1.4260 1.9320 1.9240 1186 1.4020 1.9000 1.9020 1681 1.3960 1.8560 1.8570	WJJhetzJhetmJhetv404 1.7050 2.6330 2.6360 1.9530 558 1.7300 2.5020 2.5030 1.9330 1031 1.4220 1.9380 1.9380 1.6110 1332 1.4070 1.8900 1.8910 1.5330 1364 1.3770 1.8540 1.8550 1.510 1617 1.4510 1.9290 1.9290 1.5240 1681 1.3960 1.8650 1.8650 1.4860 1186 1.4020 1.9110 1.9120 1.5940 1313 1.4260 1.9420 1.9430 1.6170 558 1.7300 2.4770 2.4800 1.8710 1031 1.4220 1.9250 1.9270 1.5750 1332 1.4070 1.8810 1.8820 1.5050 1617 1.4510 1.9200 1.9210 1.5000 1313 1.4260 1.9320 1.9340 1.5870 1186 1.4020 1.9000 1.9020 1.5620 1681 1.3960 1.8560 1.8570 1.4630	W J $Jhetz$ $Jhetm$ $Jhetv$ $Jhete$ 4041.70502.63302.63601.95302.14905581.73002.50202.50301.93302.112010311.42201.93801.93801.61101.726013321.40701.89001.89101.53301.713013641.37701.85401.85501.5101.683016171.45101.92901.92901.52401.766016811.39601.86501.86501.48601.712011861.40201.91101.91201.59401.707013131.42601.94201.94301.61701.73305581.73002.47702.48001.87102.118010311.42201.92501.92701.57501.730013321.40701.88101.88201.50501.715016171.45101.92001.92101.50001.768013131.42601.93201.93401.58701.735014611.92001.92101.50001.768013131.42601.93201.93401.58701.735014861.40201.90001.90201.56201.710016811.39601.85601.85701.46301.7140	WJJhetzJhetmJhetvJheteJhetp4041.70502.63302.63601.95302.14902.12805581.73002.50202.50301.93302.11202.074010311.42201.93801.93801.61101.72601.686013321.40701.89001.89101.53301.71301.576013641.37701.85401.85501.5101.68301.552016171.45101.92901.92901.52401.76601.546016811.39601.86501.86501.48601.71201.507011861.40201.91101.91201.59401.70701.667013131.42601.94201.94301.61701.73301.69105581.73002.47702.48001.87102.11801.959010311.42201.92501.92701.57501.73001.624013321.40701.88101.88201.50501.71501.528016171.45101.92001.92101.50001.76801.506013131.42601.93201.93401.58701.73501.637011861.40201.90001.90201.56201.71001.610016811.39601.85601.85701.46301.71401.4680

 Table 2

 Values of calculated topological indices for the compounds used in the present study

Table 3 Values of calculated Randic, Kier and Hall Valence Connectivity indices for the compounds used in the present study

Compd. No.	$^{o}\mathcal{X}$	' <i>X</i>	$^{2}\mathcal{X}$	${}^{3}\chi$	${}^{o}^{v}$	¹ X ^v	$^{2}\chi^{v}$	³ X ^v
1	11.1210	7.7370	7.2420	6.1530	10.1200	6.4410	5.4410	4.2700
2	12.9140	8.4440	8.8830	6.6080	11.9130	7.1480	7.1050	4.7340
3	15.1040	10.7040	9.9410	8.5150	13.3770	8.4570	7.1030	5.5930
4	16.6810	11.6350	10.7430	9.2660	14.7080	8.9800	7.4690	5.8870
5	16.6810	11.6350	10.7320	9.3340	14.7080	8.9800	7.4650	5.9090
6	18.2590	12.6010	11.3780	10.0680	16.0390	9.5150	7.7750	6.1950
7	18.2590	12.5840	11.4520	10.0950	16.0390	9.5090	7.8070	6.2010
8	15.9740	11.0970	10.5620	8.9260	14.4340	8.9340	7.6800	5.9150
9	16.8450	11.5080	11.1020	9.3020	15.4900	9.4180	8.2020	6.2870
10	12.9140	8.4440	8.8830	6.6080	11.1570	6.7700	6.6680	4.4990
11	15.1040	10.7040	9.9410	8.5150	12.6210	8.0790	6.6660	5.3580
12	16.6810	11.6350	10.7430	9.2660	13.9520	8.6020	7.0320	5.6520
13	18.2590	12.6010	11.3780	10.0680	15.2830	9.1370	7.3390	5.9600
14	16.8450	11.5080	11.1020	9.3020	14.7340	9.0400	7.7650	6.0520
15	15.9740	11.0970	10.5620	8.9260	13.6780	8.5560	7.2440	5.6800
16	18.2590	12.5840	11.4520	10.0950	15.2830	9.1310	7.3700	5.9660

International Journal of Tropical Agriculture

During the selection of final model, care was taken for the parameters which were highly correlated. Since, colinerarity sometimes hides the favorable information needed to design a model and may lead to wrong conclusions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The best mono-parametric model having R^2 (0.5916), contains Weiner index "W". The model is as below:

$$Log IC_{50} = -0.3286(\pm 0.0730) W + 2.2350$$
(4.2.1)

N = 16, Se = 0.2099,
$$R^2$$
 = 0.5916, F-Ratio = 20.2780, Q = 3.6641

When W and Randic connectivity index of first order " $^{1}\chi$ " have been taken together, then R² comes out to be 0.6790, but on the basis of Q value, this combination has found to be inferior, to two other combinations in which R² value is lower than this, but their Q values are more than the previous ones. However, the best bi-parametric correlation is that, containing W and $^{1}\chi$ as correlating parameters. The model is as below:

Log IC₅₀ = -0.1665(±0.0392) W + 0.0203(±0.0052) ¹ χ + 2.7496 (4.2.2)

N = 16, Se = 0.1929, R² = 0.6790, R²_A = 0.6296, F-Ratio = 13.7500, Q = 4.2717

A, drastic improvement in the quality of the model was obtained when IP_1 , is added to biparametric model obtained above and R^2 shows a jump, from 0.6790 to 0.8391. For this model R^2 comes out to be 0.8391 and Q value is found to be 6.4371. The model is as reported below:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Log IC}_{50} = -0.1014 (\pm 0.0198) \text{ W} + 7.8231 (\pm 1.9211) \\ & \text{IP}_1 - 0.8860 (\pm 0.1729)^{-1} \chi - 3.5077 \end{split}$$

(4.2.3)

N = 16, Se = 0.1423, R² = 0.8391, R²_A = 0.7989, F-Ratio = 20.8620, Q = 6.4371 Finally, tetra-parametric models were tried, and one with W, IP₁, ¹ χ and ¹ χ ^v has been found to be the best, having R² (0.8717) and Q value (7.0410).

Log IC $_{50} = 0.0261(\pm 0.0074) \text{ W} - 0.8081(\pm 0.1761) \text{ IP}_{1} - 0.0142(\pm 0.0037)^{-1} \chi - 6.8872(\pm 1.8047)^{-1} \chi^{v} + 13.5952 (4.2.4)$

N = 16, Se = 0.1326, R² = 0.8717, R²_A = 0.8250, F-Ratio = 22.5978, Q = 7.0410

The above model was finally choosen, since it does not have the colineraity defect hence it does not contains those parameters, which overshadows the influence of other parameters. The final model suggests that to design the new drug, the presence of halogen atom at the phenyl ring (IP₁) must be avoided which is reflected in the above model by its negative coeffcient and connectivity indices must be kept minimum while branching may be increased as depicted by the model.

The superiority of the above chosen tetra parametric model, was also established by the calculation of cross validated parameters, reported in **Table 4.** To be a reasonable, QSAR model, the ratio of PRESS / SSY must lie between 0.1 to 0.4, with values less than 0.1 indicates that the model is excellent in nature. The value for PRESS / SSY for the tetra parametric model comes close to 0.1 suggesting the better predictivity of the model.

An important feature of the QSAR models are, it must be free from any kind of colinerarity defect. However, recently it was argued that such defects can be overcome using Randic recomendations [11] which states that under certain circumstances, highly corelated descriptors cn be retained in the model.

Further, the activities of the compounds used in the present study were calculated / estimated using the above tetra parametric model and a comparison between the observed and the estimated activities is reported in **Table 5.** Since, the differences between the observed and calculated activities are quite close hence the estimated activities are in close agreement

Cross Validated Parameters							
Model No.	Parameters Used	PRESS	SSY	PRESS/SSY	$R^2 cv$	S _{PRESS}	PSE
1	W	0.5246	0.9008	0.5823	0.4177	0.2008	0.1870
2	W, J	0.4842	1.0243	0.4727	0.5273	0.1929	0.1739
3	W, J, IP1	0.2427	1.2658	0.1917	0.8083	0.1422	0.1231
4	W, IP1, ¹ χ, ¹ χ ^v	0.1935	1.3149	0.1471	0.8529	0.1326	0.1099

Table 4 Cross Validated Parameter

Comparison of observed and estimated activity (Log IC_{50})						
Compd. No.	Observed Log IC ₅₀	Estimated Log IC ₅₀	Residual			
1	1.6020	1.6210	-0.0190			
2	1.4770	1.5330	-0.0560			
3	1.0000	1.0630	-0.0630			
4	1.3010	1.1470	0.1540			
5	1.0000	1.1470	-0.1470			
6	1.0000	1.2160	-0.2160			
7	1.4770	1.2160	0.2610			
8	0.6020	0.5850	0.0170			
9	1.0000	0.9240	0.0760			
10	1.7780	1.7100	0.0680			
11	1.3010	1.2140	0.0870			
12	1.3010	1.2900	0.0110			
13	1.3010	1.3400	-0.0390			
14	1.0000	1.0620	-0.0620			
15	0.6990	0.7300	-0.0310			
16	1.3010	1.3400	-0.0390			

Table 5



Figure 2: Correlation between observed and estimated activity (Log IC_{50})

with the observed one, also evident with the little residual values.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to *Dr.(Prof.) Vijay K Agrawal* (currently head of department, A.P.S. University, Rewa) for his kind and valuable support in scripting of this paper and providing timely and valuable guidance.

REFERENCES

- Dominguez. J. N., Leon.C, Gut. J.; J.Med.Chem., 48, 10, 2005.
- Weiner. H; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69, 17, 1947.
- Balaban A. T.; Chem. Phys. Lett., 89, 399, 1982.
- Randic.M; J. Am. Chem. Soc, 97, 6609, 1975.
- 'Kier. B. L., Hall. H. L.; "Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research" Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- ACD Labs Software for calculating physiochemical parameters; Chemsketch 3.0, *www.acdlabs.com*.
- Dragon software for calculating topological parameters.
- Chatterjee. S., Hadi. A.S.; Regression Analysis by examples, 3rd edition, New York, Willey Interscience.
- Pogliani. L.; Amino Acids, 6, 141, 1994.
- Pogliani. L.; J. Phys. Chem, 100, 18066, 1996.
- Randic M.; Acta. Chem. Slov, 45, 239, 1998.