

# Water Transmission Characteristics of Soil as Affected by Tillage-Residue Management Practices and Irrigation Levels

## Gurpreet Singh<sup>\*</sup>, Meharban Singh Kahlon and Chander Bhushan Singh

**ABSTRACT:** Tillage along with residue management practices affects water transmission characteristics of soil. However, these changes are site specific. Therefore, a field study was conducted in split plot design by keeping tillage along with residue management practices in main plots and irrigation levels in sub plots. Two separate but treatment-wise similar experiments were conducted in two prominent soil textural group of the region i.e., sandy loam (SL) and loamy sand (LS). The treatments includes four tillage and residue management practices i.e., happy seeder (HS), no-tillage (NT), roto-tillage (RT) and conventional tillage (CT) and three irrigation levels based upon IW/PAN-E ratios 1.2 (1,), 0.9 (1,) and 0.6 (1,). The data indicate significant differences in tillage along with residue management practices on water transmission characteristics of soil. Mean highest infiltration rate (IR, cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) for SL and LS (1.14 and 2.90) was observed under HS followed by NT (0.89 and 2.71), RT (0.73 and 2.24) and least under CT (0.60 and 2.22), respectively. Mean highest cumulative infiltration (CI, cm) for SL and LS soil was found under HS (4.88 and 7.67) followed by NT (4.39 and 6.67), RT (4.17 and 6.41) and least under CT (4.10 and 6.43), respectively. The highest saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $K_z$ , cm hr<sup>1</sup>) were observed in HS (1.82 and 1.36) and the least under CT (1.12 and 0.78) at 0-7.5 and 7.5-15 cm depths, respectively in SL soil. Irrespective of tillage and residue management practices,  $K_{c}$  (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) increased significantly with increase in irrigation levels, being highest under I, (1.85 and 3.24) followed by  $I_2$  (1.49 and 2.30) and  $I_3$  (0.85 and 1.52) respectively, for SL and LS soils. The highest mean profile soil moisture storage (cm) of 12.6 was recorded under HS with  $I_1$  and lowest of 7.4 under CT with  $I_3$  for SL soil. Same trend of change in soil profile moisture storage under different tillage and residue management practices was observed for LS soil. It can be concluded that conservation tillage along with residue management practices like HS improves water transmission characteristics of soil.

Keywords: Tillage, irrigation, residue management, infiltration characteristics, saturated hydraulic conductivity.

### INTRODUCTION

Tillage along with residue management practices play significant role in improving soil quality (with respect to physical, chemical and biological aspects) and crop productivity (Igbal et al., 2005). However, excessive tillage without proper residue management decreases soil organic carbon (SOC) concentration (Ahmad et al., 1996). Conservation tillage on the other hand retains at least 30% of the crop residue on soil surface. The area under NT has substantially increased in South Asia and particularly the Indo-Gangetic plains (Derpsch et al., 2010). Diffusion of no-tillage technology increased in Northwest India, particularly during last two decades. The aggregate area in India under NT amounted to 1.76 M ha (Erenstein, 2009). Large quantity of crop residue is produced every year in Punjab, a northwest Indian state (Sidhu and Beri,

2008). Due to lack of appropriate technology/ machinery most of the crop residue particularly the rice residue (18.8 M ton) is burnt in the fields to make the cultivation operations easy and timely sowing of subsequent wheat crop (Singh et al., 2005). The crop residue burning and removal cause great loss to soil fertility, soil physical health and environment problems (Sidhu et al., 2007). There is thus, need to manage crop residue properly either by retaining it on soil surface or by incorporating it into the soil using different machinery. Agriculturally, the best way is to retain it on the soil surface, which serves dual purpose of reducing evaporation at the soil surface by creating mulch and secondly it adds organic matter to the soil. During the past few years, research efforts have been focused on managing the crop residue, reducing the cost of cultivation, improving soil health for sustainable crop production. The technologies

\* Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141004, Punjab, India, E-mail: gsbedi@live.com

developed, tested and validated are Happy Seeder (HS), no-tillage (NT), conventional tillage (CT) and roto-tillage (RT). The HS drilling offers the apparent advantage of managing large quantity of crop residue, timely planting, reducing cost of fuel and labor and improving the soils health as well as crop productivity. The HS enables to sow the succeeding crop directly in loose straw and standing stubbles of previous crop. The HS machines differ from NT machine in respect of managing large straw loads. In other words, the HS is improved technology than NT machine, where, sowing is possible keeping both loose straw and standing stubbles in field. The other machine used for managing crop residue is the rototillage (RT). In this type of tillage the residue of previous crop is incorporated into the soil rather than keeping it on soil surface. Until now, the most commonly used tillage practice for seed bed preparation is conventional practice in which land cultivated by discs followed by tillers and planking operations. Thus, 4 to 5 operations are required for sowing of crop by conventional mean. However, these operations can easily be reduced to single operation using HS machine. It is reported in literature that, different tillage along with residue management practices affects soil physical characteristics significantly, particularly, water transmission characteristics, which subsequently affect crop yield (Strudley et al., 2008). The NT practice disturbance the soil to minimum possible extent, it adds organic matter to soil through addition of crop residues, which further improve soil structure, soil moisture storage and microbial activities (Zentner et al., 2004). Whereas, CT disturbs the natural condition of soil, it damages the pore continuity and aggregate stability resulting in sediment mobilization, erosion and surface hardening. Shallow (0-5 cm) or NT has the positive effect on soil health such as aggregate stability, infiltration capacity, K and aeration (Riley et al., 2008; Vakali et al., 2011). Infiltration characteristics of the soil depend on the size distribution, geometry, continuity and the stability of the pores (Shaver et al., 2002). NT system reduces the runoff from the soil surface, retains moisture in the soil and increase the stability of soil aggregates (Hamza and Anderson, 2005; Sauwa et al., 2013). Jin et al., (2009) observed higher IR under NT than CT plots because of maintenance of pore continuity and macro porosity. Similar observations were also reported by Sharma et al., (2011). The use of CT can affect soil K in two contradicting ways: it can increase  $K_{e}$  by reducing bulk density ( $\rho_{b}$ ) and increasing total

porosity or can reduce K by increasing compaction of the plough depth and crusting of the surface layer (Heard, 1988). Kahlon *et al.*, (2013) found that the *K* significantly affected by tillage methods and mulch rates. The  $K_1$  (× 10<sup>-2</sup> cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) increased from 1.78 to 3.37, 1.57 to 2.95 and 1.37 to 2.28 under NT, RT and PT, respectively with the increase in mulch rate from 0 to 16 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Bhattacharyya *et al.*, (2006) observed that K was in order NT> MT> CT. Greater amount of WSA in NT system probably also contributed to its higher K<sub>a</sub>. Sauwa et al., (2013) reported that NT and reducedtillage treatments had higher K value at both surface and sub-surface soil depths compared to CT treatment. McGarry et al., (2000) observed higher values of K under NT relative to CT due to a greater number of macropores. The conservation tillage enhances soil moisture storage by reducing evaporation and drainage losses; however, information is scanty with respect to quantification of soil profile moisture storage under conservation tillage, particularly under recently introduced HS and RT practices at different irrigation levels. Therefore, a study was conducted to investigate the effects of tillage along with residue management practices and irrigation levels on soil profile moisture storage and water transmission characteristics of SL and LS soils of Northwest Indian region.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted on an already going on experiment initiated in 2009 on tillage-residue management practices at the research farm of Department of Soil Science, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana (30° 56' N latitude and 75° 52' E longitude) in two different experiments in sandy loam (SL) and loamy sand (LS) soils. The experiment involved four tillage and residue management practices {HS (surface rice residue retention and sowing of wheat by HS machine), NT (residue removal and sowing by no-till machine), RT (rice residue incorporation into the soil by rotavator operations and sowing by ordinary drill) and CT (disking followed by cultivator and one planking operation)} and three irrigation levels based upon IW/PAN-E ratios (i.e., 1.2, 0.9 and 0.6). The experiment was conducted in split plot design by keeping tillage along with residue management treatments in main plots and irrigations levels in sub plots with three replications. The plot size was 33 m<sup>2</sup>. The mean maximum and minimum temperature of the study site showed considerable fluctuations during different parts of the year. Summer temperature reaches up to 45°C with dry summer spells. Winter experiences frequent frosty spells especially in December and January and minimum temperature dips up to 0.5°C. The average annual rainfall of studied location was 733 mm (Kingra *et al.*, 1996) and the major portion of which (75%) is received during July to September. Undisturbed soil samples were collected after wheat harvesting for analyzing various soil physical characteristics.

The infiltration rate (IR) of soil was determined in-situ, using double ring infiltrometer method (Reynolds et al., 2002). Water was filled in both the outer and inner rings and the fall of water levels in the inner ring was recorded at different time intervals till the water intake rate becomes constant. The undisturbed soil samples were collected in cores (8) cm diameter and 7.5 cm length) for K determination from 0-7.5 and 7.5-15 cm depths. Samples were saturated in the laboratory by placing on cloth covered perforated disks in trays containing water. The K was determined using constant head method (Reynolds et al., 2002). Soil moisture samples were taken for entire soil profile (0-105 cm soil depth with 15 cm increments) with screw auger. Periodic measurement of gravimetric soil moisture content determinations was made throughout crop growing season.

The data collected on various soil physical characteristics was statistically analyzed as described by Cochran and Cox, (1967) and adapted by Cheema and Singh, (1991) in statistical package CPCS-I. The treatment comparisons were made at 5 per cent level of significance.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Infiltration Characteristics

The infiltration rate (IR) of SL and LS soils was significantly affected by both tillage and residue management practices (Table 1). Mean highest IR (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) for SL and LS (1.14 and 2.90) was observed under HS followed by NT (0.89 and 2.71), RT (0.73 and 2.24) and least under CT (0.60 and 2.22), respectively. The higher IR observed under HS plots may be attributed to higher SOC content and better MWD which led to better pore size distribution and thus higher infiltration rates (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 2008). The higher IR in the plots under HS was probably also due to minimum disturbance that maintained the continuity of water conducting pores (Acharya and Sood, 1992) and bio-channels (Azooz *et al.*, 1996). Water transmission through soil profile depends on

antecedent water content, aggregation and macropore channels (Shaver *et al.*, 2002).

Cumulative infiltration (CI) as a function of time was significantly affected by tillage and residue management practices. The HS recorded highest CI as compared to the NT, RT and CT systems for both SL and LS soils (Figs. 1 and 2). The CT system showed less CI which might be due to relatively smaller pore heterogeneity, discontinuity of pores and less stable aggregates (Sauwa et al., 2013) as compared to the NT plots (Singh et al., 1995). The excavation of profiles displayed abundant roots and biochannels in the NT systems which served as important conduits for movement of water through the soils (Kladivko et al., 1986; Singh *et al.*, 1995) despite greater  $\rho_{\mu}$  of these systems relative to the CT system. Highest CI (cm) for SL and LS soil was found under HS (11.2 and 20.4) followed by NT (10.0 and 18.3), RT (9.3 and 17.4) and least under CT (8.4 and 16.7) respectively. Further, less soil disturbance in the HS and NT systems, also kept pore structure continuous which aids greater water transmission through the soil (Singh *et al.*, 1995; Azooz and Arshad, 1996; Jabro et al., 2008). Conversely, less stable aggregates in the CT practice upon intense rainfall event clog soil pores through slaking of aggregates leading to decrease in water transmission through the soil (Pikul and Aase 1995; Mbagwu and Auerswald, 1999). Gangwar et al., (2006) reported that the crop residues left on the soil surface limit evaporation, soil sealing and crusting and thereby increase soil infiltration. Water transmission through the soil profile also depends on the antecedent water content, aggregation and the presence of macropore channels (Shaver *et al.*, 2002). Irrespective of tillage practices, the mean IR decreased with decrease in IW/PAN-E ratio for both textured soils. These results were in agreement with the findings of Bhattacharyya et al., (2008).

## Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity (K<sub>s</sub>)

Significant differences (P < 0.05) in  $K_s$  were observed among tillage and residue management practices for 0-7.5 and 7.5-15 cm depths under SL soil (Table 2). The highest  $K_s$  (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) were observed in HS (1.82 and 1.36) and the least under CT (1.12 and 0.78) at 0-7.5 and 7.5-15 cm depths, respectively. In general,  $K_s$ decreased with increase in depth in all practices, in accord with increase in  $\rho_b$  (Kahlon *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, in LS soil (Table 3) the  $K_s$  varied significantly among tillage and residue management practices.

CT recorded the lowest *K* values due to more soil disturbance, compaction as well as lesser organic

Tillage-residue	Soil texture									
management			SL		LS IW/PAN-E=					
practices		IW/I	PAN-E=							
	1.2	0.9	0.6	Mean	1.2	0.9	0.6	Mean		
HS	1.27	1.15	1.00	1.14	2.97	3.07	2.68	2.90		
NT	1.05	0.86	0.75	0.89	2.90	2.65	2.57	2.71		
RT	0.97	0.66	0.57	0.73	2.50	2.33	1.90	2.24		
СТ	0.75	0.61	0.45	0.60	2.63	2.23	1.80	2.22		
Mean	1.01	0.82	0.69		2.75	2.57	2.24			
LSD (P<0.05)	Tillage =		0.26		Tillage =		0.34			
	Irrigation =		0.22		Irrigation =	:	0.36			
	Interaction =		NS		Interaction	=	NS			

 Table 1

 Effect of tillage along with residue management practices and irrigation levels on final infiltration rate (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) in sandy loam (SL) and loamy sand (LS) soils

HS: happy seeder; NT: no-tillage; RT: roto-tillage; CT: conventional tillage

# Table 2 Effect of tillage along with residue management practices and irrigation levels on saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) in sandy loam soil

Tillage-residue	Soil depth (cm)								
management practices		0 IW/I	-7.5 PAN-E=	,	7.5-15 IW/PAN-E=				
	1.2	0.9	0.6	Mean	1.2	0.9	0.6	Mean	
HS	2.14	1.93	1.39	1.82	1.62	1.60	0.87	1.36	
NT	1.88	1.29	0.92	1.36	1.33	1.11	0.60	1.01	
RT	1.79	1.40	0.66	1.28	1.23	1.07	0.53	0.94	
СТ	1.58	1.35	0.44	1.12	1.20	0.68	0.46	0.78	
Mean	1.85	1.49	0.85		1.35	1.11	0.61		
LSD (P<0.05)	Tillage =		0.18		Tillage =		0.15		
	Irrigation =		0.14		Irrigation =		0.12		
	Interaction =		NS		Interaction	=	NS		

HS: happy seeder; NT: no-tillage; RT: roto-tillage; CT: conventional tillage

### Table 3

# Effect of tillage along with residue management practices and irrigation levels on saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) in loamy sand soil

Tillage-residue	Soil depth (cm)									
management practices		( IVV/I	)-7.5 PAN-E=		0-7.5 IW/PAN-E=					
	1.2	0.9	0.6	Mean	1.2	0.9	0.6	Mean		
HS	3.94	2.91	2.06	2.97	3.73	2.81	1.97	2.84		
NT	3.46	2.41	1.83	2.56	3.12	2.39	1.80	2.44		
RT	2.74	1.94	1.15	1.94	2.90	1.74	1.09	1.91		
СТ	2.81	1.95	1.05	1.93	2.67	1.76	1.12	1.85		
Mean	3.24	2.30	1.52		3.10	2.18	1.49			
LSD (P<0.05)	Tillage =		0.12		Tillage =		0.08			
	Irrigation =		0.32		Irrigation =		0.14			
	Interaction =		NS		Interaction =	-	NS			

HS: happy seeder; NT: no-tillage; RT: roto-tillage; CT: conventional tillage



Figure 1: Effect of tillage and residue management practices on cumulative infiltration under SL soil



Figure 2: Effect of tillage and residue management practices on cumulative infiltration under LS soil



Figure 3: Effect of tillage- residue management practices and irrigation levels on soil profile moisture storage in sandy loam (SL) soil



loamy sand (LS) soil

Vol. 33, No. 2, April-June 2015

carbon concentration. Whereas, in HS plots the pore continuity was probably maintained due to better aggregate stability and pore geometry (Bhattacharyya et al., 2006). Here, activity and population of soil organisms may also have played an important role in increasing pore continuity. The pores were more continuous under NT plots, probably because of more soil fauna and preceding crop root channels (Zachamann et al., 1987). Irrespective of tillage and residue management practices, there was significant increase in  $K_{c}$  (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) with increase in irrigation levels. The highest K (cm hr<sup>-1</sup>) was observed under I1 (1.85 and 3.24) followed by I2 (1.49 and 2.30) and I3 (0.85 and 1.52) in SL and LS soils, respectively, at 0-7.5 cm depth. The  $K_{a}$  at 7.5-15 cm were lower as compared to 0-7.5 cm which might be due to increase in  $\rho_{t}$  at this depth (Kahlon *et al.*, 2012). Bhattacharyya et al., (2008) reported that the application of irrigation water not only increased the total porosity, but also increased pore continuity which resulted in more K under plots receiving more irrigation as compared to plots receiving less irrigation.

### **Profile Moisture Storage**

Data on soil profile moisture storage as affected by tillage along with residue management practices and irrigation levels under SL and LS soil is presented in Figs. 3 and 4. The mean highest soil profile moisture storage (cm) of 12.6 was recorded under HS with I. and lowest of 7.4 under CT with I<sub>2</sub> for SL soil. Same trend of change in soil profile moisture storage was observed for LS soil. Greater soil water storage was observed under NT than CT (He et al., 2006 and Bhattacharyya et al., 2008). Among tillage and residue management practices HS recorded highest soil profile moisture storage followed by NT, RT and CT for both SL and LS soils. Lafond et al., (1992) and Larney and Lindwall, (1995) reported that NT increased the profile moisture storage as compared to CT. Maintenance of stubble on the surface enhanced the capacity to store soil water reserves under NT. Greater infiltration and lower surface evaporation are the advantages associated with the soil structure created by NT (Larney and Lindwall, 1995). However, among irrigation levels the highest profile moisture storage values were observed under I, followed by I, and least under I, in both SL and LS soils.

### CONCLUSION

Conservation tillage along with residue management practices improves water transmission characteristics of soils, like HS which enhances infiltration rate, cumulative infiltration and saturated hydraulic conductivity of both sandy loam and loamy sand soils. Higher soil profile moisture storage was observed under HS than CT. Infiltration rate and saturated hydraulic conductivity increases with increase in irrigation level from IW/PAN-E 0.6 to 1.2.

### REFERENCES

- Acharya, C.L., Sood, M.C., (1992), Effect of tillage methods on soil physical properties and water expense of rice on an acidic alfisoil. *J. Ind. Soc. Soil Sci.* 40, 409-414.
- Ahmad, N., Rashid, M., Vaes, A.G., (1996), (Ed.), Fertilizer and their use in Pakistan. NFDC, Islamabad, Pakistan, pp. 274.
- Azooz, H., Arshad, M.A., (1996), Soil infiltration and hydraulic conductivity under long-term no-tillage and conventional tillage systems. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 76, 143-152.
- Azooz, R.H., Arshad, M.A., Franzluebbers, A.J., (1996), Pore size distribution and hydraulic conductivity affected by tillage in Northwestern Canada. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 60, 1197-1201.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Kundu, S., Pandey, S.C., Singh, K.P., Gupta, H.S., (2008), Tillage and irrigation effects on crop yields and soil properties under the rice-wheat system in the Indian Himalayas. *Agric. Water Manage*. 95, 993-1002.
- Bhattacharyya, R., Prakash, V., Kundu, S., Gupta, H.S., (2006), Effect of tillage and crop rotations on pore size distribution and soil hydraulic conductivity in sandy clay soil of the Indian Himalayas. *Soil Till. Res.* 82, 129-140.
- Cheema, H.S., Singh, B., (1991), Software statistical package CPCS-1. Department of Statistics, PAU, Ludhiana.
- Cochran, W. G. and Cox, G. M. (1967), Experimental designs. John and Wiley publishers, New York.
- Derpsch, R., Friedrich, T., Kassam, A., Hongwen, L., (2010), Current status of adoption of no-till farming in the world and some of its main benefits. *Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng.* 3, 1-24.
- Erenstein, O., (2009), Zero tillage in the rice-wheat systems of the Indo-Gangetic plains. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) discussion paper 00916. Washington, D.C. USA.
- Gangwar, K.S., Singh, K.K., Sharma, S.K., Tomar, O.K., (2006), Alternative tillage and crop residue management in wheat after rice in sandy loam soils of Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Soil Till. Res.* 88, 242-252.
- Hamza, M.A., Anderson, W.K., (2005), Soil compaction in cropping systems: A review of the nature, causes, and possible solutions. *Soil Till. Res.* 82, 142-145.
- He, J., Li, H. W., Gao, H. W. (2006), Subsoiling effect and economic benefit under conservation tillage mode in Northern China. *Trans. CSAE*, 22: 62-67.

- Heard, J.R., Kladivko, E.J., Mannering, J.V., (1988), Soil macroporosity, hydraulic conductivity and air permeability of silty soils under long-term conservation tillage in Indiana. *Soil Till. Res.* 11, 1-18.
- Iqbal, M., Hassan, A.U., Ali, A., Rizwanullah, M., (2005), Residual effect of tillage and farm manure on some soil physical properties and growth of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* 7, 54-57.
- Jabro, J.D., Sainju, L.I., Stevens, W.B., Evans, R.G., (2008), Carbon dioxide flux as affected by tillage and irrigation in soil converted from perennial forages to annual crops. *J. Environ. Manage*. 88, 1478-1484.
- Jin, H., Qingjie, W., Hongwen, L., Tullberg, J. N., Mchugh, A. D., Yuhua, B., Xuemin, Z., Neil. M. and Huanwen. G. (2009), Soil physical properties and infiltration after long-term no-tiilage and ploughing on the Chinese Loess Plateau, *New Zealand J. Crop and Horti Sci.* 37: 157-66.
- Kahlon, M.S., Fausey, N., Lal, R., (2012), Effects of longterm tillage on soil moisture dynamics and hydraulic properties. *J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ.* 49, 242-251.
- Kahlon, M.S., Rattan, L., and Ann-Varughese, M., (2013), Twenty two years of tillage and mulching impacts on soil physical characteristics and carbon sequestration in Central Ohio. *Soil Till. Res.* 126, 151-158.
- Kingra, P.K., Bal, S.K., Hundal, S.S., (1996), Practical manual on fundamentals of Agroclimatology. Appendix-III, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana.
- Kladivko, E.J., MacKay, A.D., Bradford, J.M., (1986), Earthworms as a factor in the reduction of soil crusting. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 50, 191-196.
- Lafond, G. P., Loeppky, H., Fowler, D. B. (1992), The effects of tillage systems and crop rotations on soil water conservation, seedling establishment and crop yield. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 72: 103-15.
- Larney, F. J., Lindwall, C. W. (1995), Rotation and tillage effects on available soil warer for winter wheat in a semi-arid environment. *Soil Till. Res.* 36: 111-27.
- Logsdon, S.D., Allmaras, R.R., Wu, L., Swan, J.B., Randall, G.W., (1990), Macroporosity and its relation to saturated hydraulic conductivity under different tillage practices. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 54, 1096-1101.
- Mbagwu, J.S.C., Auerswald, K., (1999), Relationship of percolation stability of soil aggregates to land use, selected soil properties, structural indices and simulated rainfall erosion. *Soil Till. Res.* 50, 197-206.
- McGarry, D., Bridge, B.J., Radford, B.J., (2000), Contrasting soil physical properties after zero and traditional tillage of an alluvial soil in the semi-arid subtropics. *Soil Till. Res.* 53, 105-115.
- Pikul, J.L., Aase, J.K., (1995), Infiltration and soil properties as affected by annual cropping in the Northern Great *Plains. J Agron* 87, 654-662.

- Reynolds, W.D., Elrick, D.E., Youngs E.G., (2002), Singlering and double or concentric-ring infiltrometers. In: Dane, J.H., Topp, G.C., (Ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 8.
- Riley, H., Pommereche, R., Eltun, R., Hansen, S., Korsaeth, A., (2008), Soil structure, organic matter and earthworm activity in a comparison of cropping systems with contrasting tillage, rotations, fertilizer levels and manure use. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 124, 275-284.
- Sauwa, M. M., Chiroma, A. M., Waniyo, U. U., Ngala, A. L., Danmowa, N. W. (2013), Water transmission properties of a sandy loam soil under different tillage practices in Maiduguri, Nigeria. *Agric. Biol. J. North America* 4: 227-51.
- Sharma, P., Abrol, V., Sharma, R. K. (2011), Impact of tillage and mulch management on economics, energy requirement and crop performance in maize-wheat rotation in rainfed subhumid inceptisols, India. *European J. Agron* 34: 46-51.
- Shaver, T.M., Peterson, G.A., Ahuja, L.R., Westfall, D.G., Sherrod, L.A., Dunn, G., (2002), Surface soil physical properties after twelve years of dryland no-till management. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 66, 1296-1303.
- Sidhu, B.S., Beri, V. (2008), Rice residue management; farmers perspective. *Ind. J. Air Pollution Control* 1, 61-67.
- Sidhu, H.S., Manpreet-Singh, Humphreys, E., Yadvinder-Singh, Balwinder-Singh, Dhillon, S.S., Blackwell, J.,

Bector, V., Malkeet-Singh, Sarbjeet-Singh. (2007), The Happy Seeder enables direct drilling of wheat into rice stubble. *Aus. J. Exp. Agric.* 47, 844-854.

- Singh, B., Chanasyk, D.S., McGill, W.B., (1995), Soil hydraulic properties of an orthic black chernozem under long-term tillage and residue management. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 76, 63-71.
- Singh, G., Jalota, S.K., Sidhu, B.S. (2005), Soil physical and hydraulic properties in a rice-wheat cropping system in India: effects of rice-straw management. *Soil Use Manage*. 21, 17-21.
- Strudley, M.W., Green, T.R., Ascough, J.C., (2008), Tillage effects on soil hydraulic properties in space and time. *Soil Till. Res.* 99, 4-48.
- Vakali, C., Zaller, J.G., Kopke, U., (2011), Reduced tillage effects on soil properties and growth of cereals and associated weeds under organic farming. *Soil Till. Res.* 111, 133-141.
- Zachamann, J.E., Linden, D.R., Clap, C.E., (1987), Macroporous infiltration and redistribution as affected by earthworms, tillage and residue. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 51, 1580-1586.
- Zentner, R.P., Lafond, G.P., Derksen, D.A., Nagy, C.N., Wall, D.D., May, W.E., (2004), Effects of tillage method and crop rotations on non-renewable energy use efficiency for a thin Black Chernozem in the Canadian Prairies. *Soil Till. Res.* 77, 125-136.