

International Journal of Control Theory and Applications

ISSN: 0974-5572

© International Science Press

Volume 10 • Number 13 • 2017

Energy Efficient Clustering Approach for Data Aggregation and Fusion in Wireless Sensor Networks

Rajesh K. Yadav¹, Daya Gupta¹ and D.K. Lobiyal²

¹ Computer Science & Engineering Department, Delhi Technological University, New Delhi, India, Emails: rkyadav@dce.edu, dggupta@dce.ac.in
² School of Computer & System Sciences Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India, Email: lobiyal@gmail.com

Abstract: Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) is a network which formed with a huge number of sensor nodes which are deployed in an application environment to monitor the physical entities in a target area. Clustering is a widely used mechanism in wireless sensor networks to reduce the energy consumption by sensor nodes in data transmission. Partitioning the network into optimal number of clusters and selecting an optimal set of nodes as cluster heads is an NP-Hard problem. The NP-Hard nature of clustering problem makes it a suitable candidate for the application of evolutionary algorithms and particle swarm optimization (PSO). In this paper we first present particle swarm optimization based clustering (PSOBC) with discrete search space and then propose an energy efficient hybrid clustering protocol (EEHCP) for multilevel heterogeneous wireless sensor networks. The performance metrics of proposed methods evaluated and results are compared with well known clustering algorithms to validate the reduction in energy consumption, stability period and prolongs network life time.

Keywords: Wireless Sensor Network, PSO, discrete search space, data aggregation and clustering.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) consists of several spatially distributed autonomous devices (sensor nodes) with sensing and communication capabilities that cooperatively sense physical or environmental conditions, such as temperature, sound, vibration, pressure, motion or pollutants at different locations & used in applications such as environmental monitoring, homeland security, critical infrastructure systems, communication that needs to be routed across the networks. As sensor nodes are energy-constrained devices and the energy consumption is generally associated with the amount of gathered data [1-3]. Since energy conservation is a key issue in WSNs, Data fusion and Data aggregation is exploited in order to save energy. A strategy to optimize the routing task for the available processing capacity can be provided by the intermediate sensor nodes along the routing paths. Data aggregation is defined as the process of aggregating the data from multiple sensors to eliminate redundant transmission and provide fused information to the base station. The main goal of data aggregation

algorithms is to gather and aggregate data in an efficient manner so that lifetime of the network increases by decreasing the number of packets to be sent to sink or base station [4-5], intern reduces the communication costs and energy consumption. Grouping of sensor nodes into clusters has been widely used by researchers to satisfy the scalability, high energy efficiency and prolong network lifetime objectives [6-7]. In clustering the whole sensor network is partitioned into multiple groups of sensor nodes. Each group is called a cluster and each cluster has a leader called cluster head that perform special tasks such as data aggregation and fusion. Clustering has number of other benefits and corresponding objectives, In addition to supporting network scalability and decreasing energy consumption through data aggregation.

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is an optimization technique in which natural species social behaviors are considered for the purpose of computation [8]. It is a swarm intelligence technique which is based on population that performs optimization process with the objective of optimizing a fitness function. This approach makes use of a swarm for the purpose of search on every particle and records the fitness value of each particle. Then the particles are linked with their matching velocity. It will help the particle to make a move to a proper location by considering the optimized fitness function's cost [9-10].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents related works. In Section 3 the proposed PSO based clustering and Hybrid clustering algorithms explained. In Section 4 the performance of the proposed approaches is analyzed. Finally the conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. RELATED WORK

A large number of cluster based routing techniques have been proposed in the recent days to increase the network's lifetime [6-15]. These algorithms have made the communication very effective and also increase the throughput of the network. WSNs have many research challenges and network issues when deploying the sensor nodes to monitor the physical world. Hierarchical routing protocols are appropriate for organizing the nodes to increase the scalability of the WSNs. The traditional clustering algorithm LEACH [11] uses randomized rotation with uniform clustering of local cluster heads to increase the scalability and network performance. In LEACH, initially all the nodes are given the same amount of energy and have the same physical properties. These nodes organized themselves into clusters with one node out them acting as CH. All the Non-CH nodes transmit their data to their respective cluster head (CH). The function of CH is to perform data aggregation function or data fusion function on this data, after performing these functions CH transmits the data to the BS. The cluster head are re-elected periodically to balance the load of the network. The life time of the network has extended by utilizing a HEED clustering protocol [7] this formed the clustering and cluster head selection based on the residual energy of sensor nodes and the cost of communication from source to destination. Paper [12] proposed Energy Efficient Hierarchical Clustering (EEHC) that increases the lifetime of the sensor network. However, hierarchical clustering made overload in cluster heads and reduces its power sooner than the other nodes. Paper [13] proposed a distribution scheme of cluster heads to reduce energy dissipation by avoiding unnecessary redundancy and compared with existing LEACH it prolongs network lifetime. Paper [14] proposed energy efficient adaptive multipath routing technique to reduce routing overhead and efficiently utilizes the energy availability. LEACH-C [15] is a kind of improved LEACH. In LEACH-C, the location information and the residual energy value of all the nodes will be sent to the base station at the beginning of each round. The authors in [16] have showed that PSO outperforms both LEACH and LEACH-Centralized in terms of the network span and the overall throughput. In [17] an Enhanced PSO-Based Clustering Energy Optimization (EPSO-CEO) algorithm proposed in which clustering and clustering head selection are done using PSO algorithm.

Most of the early routing protocols proposed for wireless sensor networks do not consider heterogeneity in the network and therefore are not able to take advantage of the heterogeneity present in the network. In [18] authors proposed stable election protocol (SEP) which consumes energy from the nodes having high energy and increase the stability period and life time of the network.

Particle swarm optimization (PSO), developed by Dr. Eberhart and Dr. Kennedy in 1995 and inspired by social behaviour of bird flocking or fish schooling is a population based stochastic technique to solve continuous and discreet optimization problems, PSO learned from the scenario and used it to solve the optimization problems. In PSO, each single solution is a "bird" in the search space. We call it "particle". All of particles have fitness values which are evaluated by the fitness function to be optimized, and have velocities which direct the flying of the particles. The particles fly through the problem space by following the current optimum particles [19-20].

PSO is initialized with a group of random particles (solutions) and then searches for optima by updating generations. In every iteration, each particle is updated by following two "best" values. The first one is the best solution (fitness) it has achieved so far. (The fitness value is also stored.) This value is called pbest. Another "best" value that is tracked by the particle swarm optimizer is the best value, obtained so far by any particle in the population. This best value is a global best and called gbest. When a particle takes part of the population as its topological neighbours, the best value is a local best and is called lbest [21-22].

Suppose, there is a group of K random particles in an n-dimension searching space, the position of the ith particle is $X_i = (x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n})$, the personal best value of the particle is $pbest_i = (p_{i_1}, p_{i_2}, \dots, p_{i_n})$, and the velocity of the particle is $V_i = (v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_n})$. The best value obtained so far by any particle in the population is $gbest = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n)$. After finding the two best values, *pbest* and *gbest* the particle updates its velocity and positions as follows

$$v_{i_j} = w \cdot v_{i_j} + c_1 \cdot r_1 \left(p_{i_j} - x_{i_j} \right) + c_2 \cdot r_2 \left(g_j - x_{i_j} \right)$$
(1)

$$x_{i_{i}} = x_{i_{i}} + v_{i_{i}} \tag{2}$$

Where w is inertia and used to control the trade-off between the global and the local exploration ability of the swarm, c_1 and c_2 are learning factors, r_1 and r_2 random numbers between 0 and 1.

3. PROPOSED WORK

3.1. Proposed PSO based Clustering Algorithm

3.1.1 Fitness Function

Success of our proposed algorithm will depend greatly on the formulation of fitness function. So we are defining a fitness function that includes all optimization criteria. Our aim is to minimize the intra-cluster communication energy and energy loss due to cluster head and base station communication, so we can define the fitness of a particle i as

$$F(P_i) = E_1(P_i) + \mu E_2(P_i)$$
(3)

$$E_1(P_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{\forall nk_j \in C_k} \frac{f(n_{k_j}, CH_k) - E_{\min}}{E_{\max} - E_{\min}}$$
(4)

$$E_2(P_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{g(CH_k, BS) - E_{\min}}{E_{\max} - E_{\min}}$$
(5)

$$f\left(n_{k_{j}},CH_{k}\right) = \begin{cases} s^{2}\left(n_{k_{j}},CH_{k}\right) & \text{if } s\left(n_{k_{j}},CH_{k}\right) \leq d_{0} \\ s^{4}\left(n_{k_{j}},CH_{k}\right) & \text{if } s\left(n_{k_{j}},CH_{k}\right) > d_{0} \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{6}$$

$$g(CH_k, BS) = \begin{cases} d_{CH_k, BS}^2 & \text{if } d_{CH_k, BS} \leq d_0 \\ d_{CH_k, BS}^4 & \text{if } d_{CH_k, BS} > d_0 \end{cases}$$
(7)

$$s(n_i, CH_k) =_{\forall k=1,2,\dots,K}^{\min(s_{n_i}, CH_k)}$$
(8)

Where, $d_{i,j}$ is the distance between node *i* and node *j*; *s* is a function that find the minimum distance cluster head for a given node; *f* is a function whose value for a given node is proportional to the energy consumed in communication between the node and its cluster head; similarly g signifies the energy loss due to cluster head and base station communication; E_{max} and E_{min} are the maximum and minimum energy loss in the network. C_k is kth cluster in a solution or particle.

 E_1 and E_2 are two normalized functions that represent the energy dissipated in intra-cluster communication and due to communication between sink and CHs respectively. F is fitness function and our aim is to minimize this function.

 μ is a controlling parameter that control the distance between base station and cluster heads. The higher the value of μ will be the closer will be the CHs from BS. *K* is the optimal number of cluster heads. For each particle or solution we will choose k random nodes as cluster heads and remaining nodes will join the cluster whose CH is at minimum distance from it. Then we will evaluate the value of fitness function for each particle and will calculate *pbest* and *gbest*. Then we will update the velocity vector and position vector according to equation (1) and (2).

3.1.2. A new operator $\oplus_{_{NW}}$

We have defined a new operator \bigoplus_{NW} that when applied on a location with respect to a network, it returns a valid sensor node location in the network. In each iteration of our algorithm location of CHs updated in each particle or solution. Keeping this into consideration operator \bigoplus_{NW} is defined as follows: Suppose $\dot{a} = (a_1, a_2)$ is any location with respect to a sensor network NW then $\bigoplus_{NW} \dot{a}$ will return a valid location in network NW. The operator \bigoplus_{NW} will first check if \dot{a} is a valid location than it return \dot{a} as it is; if not then it returns nearest valid location in the network NW toward base station with highest residual energy. After calculating new velocity and position using equation (1) and (2) operator is applied to the calculated positions to get valid new positions.

3.1.3. Working of proposed PSO Algorithm

- 1. create and initialize a K-dimension swarm of P particles by choosing K CHs with residual energy higher than average energy of network for each particle.
- 2. Repeat
- 3. for each particle i = 1, 2, 3, P do
- 4. if $F(X_i) < lbest_i$ then
- 5. $1 \text{ best}_i = X_i$
- 6. end

- 7. if $F(X_i) < \text{gbest then}$
- 8. gbest = X_i
- 9. end
- 10. end
- 11. for each particle $i = 1, 2, 3 \dots, P$ do
- 12. update velocity V_i using equation (1)
- 13. update position vector X_i using equation (2)
- 14. apply \bigoplus_{NW} operator to updated position
- 15. end
- 16. until the maximum number of iteration reached

3.2. Proposed EEHCP Protocol

3.2.1. Optimal Number of Clusters

Optimal number of clusters K_{opt} can be found using simple analysis as in [11]. Let us assume an area of M X M square meters with base station situated at the centre and N sensor nodes are distributed over this area. The energy dissipated in the cluster head node assuming its distance from BS less than d_0 , during a round can be given by the following formula:

$$E_{CH} = \left(\frac{N}{k} - 1\right) L.E_{elec} + \frac{N}{k}.L.E_{DA} + L.E_{elec} + L.\varepsilon_{fs}.d_{loBS}^2$$
(9)

Where k is the number of clusters, E_{DA} is the data aggregation cost of a bit per report to the base station, and d_{toBS} is the average distance between the cluster head and the base station.

The energy used in a non-cluster head node is equal to:

$$E_{nonCH} = L.E_{elec} + L.\varepsilon_{fs.}d_{toCH}^2$$
(10)

Here d_{inCH} is the average distance between a cluster member and its cluster head.

Thus energy dissipated in a cluster per round:

$$E_{cluster} \approx E_{CH} + \frac{N}{k} \cdot E_{nonCH}$$
(11)

The total energy dissipated in the network is equal to:

$$E_{tot} = L\left(2.N.E_{elec} + N.E_{DA} + \varepsilon_{fs}\left(k.d_{toBS}^2 + N.d_{toCH}^2\right)\right)$$
(12)

According to [7]:

$$d_{toCH}^{2} = \int_{x=0}^{x=x_{max}} \int_{y=0}^{y=y_{max}} \left(x^{2} + y^{2}\right) \rho(x, y) dx dy = \frac{M^{2}}{2\pi k}$$
(13)

And

245

$$d_{toBS}^2 = \int_A \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \, \frac{1}{A} \, dA = 0.765 \frac{M}{2} \tag{14}$$

Differentiating E_{tot} with respect to k and equating to zero, the optimal number of constructed clusters can be found:

$$K_{opt} = \sqrt{\frac{N}{2\pi}} \frac{M}{d_{toBS}^2} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{fs}}{\epsilon_{mp}}}$$
(15)

3.2.2. Network Deployment Model

Most of the routing protocols for heterogeneous WSNs consider the random deployment of sensor nodes in the network space but to take advantage of heterogeneity present in the network in term of energy level we partitioned the network space into two zones: α - zone and β – zone.

This protocol assumes three levels of heterogeneity with three types of nodes: α -nodes, β -nodes and ω nodes α -nodes have the highest energy among all the nodes, β -nodes have energy less than α -nodes but greater than ω -nodes and ω -nodes are normal nodes. We assume that a small fraction of total nodes are α - or β -nodes while most of the nodes are ω -node. As in SEP, we consider that the base station is not mobile and coordinates of the base station are known. The area in network space near the base station is β -zone and β -nodes are deployed in this region while the α -nodes are deployed in the farthest region, i.e. α -zone and $\hat{\nu}$ -nodes are randomly deployed in the whole network space.

3.2.3. Cluster Head Selection

Let us assume that A is fraction of total nodes that are α -nodes and B is the fraction of total nodes that are β -nodes. The energy of a α -node is α times higher than an ω node while the energy of a β -node is β time higher than an ω node. If initial energy of an ω -node is E_{α} then total energy of all the nodes will be:

$$E_{total} = N(1 - A - B)E_0 + N.A.E_0(1 + \alpha) + N.B.E_0(1 + \beta)$$
(16)

Optimal probability of cluster head selection in case of homogeneous network is given by [4]:

$$P_{opt} = \frac{k_{opt}}{N} \tag{17}$$

Where K_{opt} is optimal number of cluster heads as calculated above and N is total number of nodes in network.

Now optimal probability of a node to be cluster head on the basis of residual energy can be calculated as:

$$\left(P_{\omega}\right)_{i} = \frac{P_{opt}E_{i}\left(r\right)}{\left(1 + A\alpha + B\beta\right)\overline{E}\left(r\right)}$$
(18)

$$\left(P_{\beta}\right)_{i} = \frac{\left(1+\beta\right)P_{opt}E_{i}\left(r\right)}{\left(1+A\alpha+B\beta\right)\overline{E}\left(r\right)}$$
(19)

$$\left(P_{\beta}\right)_{i} = \frac{\left(1+\beta\right)P_{opt}E_{i}\left(r\right)}{\left(1+A\alpha+B\beta\right)\overline{E}\left(r\right)}$$

$$\tag{20}$$

International Journal of Control Theory and Applications

246

Here $E_i(r)$ is residual energy of ith node in rth round and $\overline{E}(r)$ is the average energy in the rth round. Depending on the weighted probabilities the threshold values can be calculated as follows

$$T_{\omega} = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\omega}}{1 - P_{\omega} \left(1 - r \mod \frac{1}{P_{\omega}}\right)} & \text{if } \omega \in G'' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(21)

$$T_{\beta} = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\beta}}{1 - P_{\beta} \left(1 - r \mod \frac{1}{P_{\beta}} \right)} & \text{if } \beta \in G'' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(22)

$$T_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{P_{\alpha}}{1 - P_{\alpha} \left(1 - r \mod \frac{1}{P_{\alpha}}\right)} & \text{if } \omega \in G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(23)

Where G, G' and G'' are the sets of α , β , ω -nodes that have not been the cluster head in last epoch respectively.

Each node generates a number in interval [0, 1] randomly. If this random number is less then corresponding threshold the node will become cluster head. Once the cluster head is selected, it broadcasts an advertisement message to all the nodes, a node that receives such message decides on the basis of received signal strength that to which cluster head it will associate for the current round.

3.2.4. Data Transmission

In this protocol we use two techniques for data transmission:

- a) Single-hop direct transmission
- b) Multi-hop transmission through cluster heads

In single hop transmission a node n near the base station will directly send the data to base station if

$$d_{n \text{ to } BS} < \frac{d_0}{k}$$
, and Residual energy $E_n(r) \ge \overline{E}(r)$

Here $d_{n \text{ to } BS}$ is distance between nth node and base station; k' is a parameter which is used to control the single hop transmissions; $E_n(r)$ is residual energy of nth node in rth round; $\overline{E}(r)$ is average energy of whole network in rth round.

If the above two conditions do not satisfy simultaneously, the node will send data to cluster head for further processing. Each cluster head create a schedule based on TDMA and nodes send data to their cluster head at

their respective time slots as described in the schedule. We used Dijkastra's shortest path algorithm to find the shortest route from a cluster head to base station through other cluster heads. For this we used the distance of nodes from each other and base station as weights.

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

For simulation we assume a square network field of size $100m \times 100m$ with 100 sensor nodes deployed uniformly in it. We assume that sink is at the centre of the field. Performance of proposed algorithm compared with LEACH and its popular variant LEACH-C. For the simulation of second proposed algorithm same network field and number of sensors taken as in first but deployment is nonuniform. $20m \times 20m$ area centred at (50, 50) is normal-zone and the area of width 20m surrounding the normal zone is β -zone and β -sensors have been deployed in this zone randomly. Similarly the remaining area of width 20m that surrounds the β -zone is α zone and α -sensors are deployed in the α -zone remaining ω -sensors are deployed randomly in whole network field. MATLAB is used for the simulation, using different values of α , β , A and B. Simulation parameters are shown in the Table 1.

Simulation parameters		
Description	Parameter	Value
Initial energy of normal nodes	E_0	0.5 J
No. of nodes as fraction of total nodes	A	0.1, 0.2
No. of nodes as fraction of total nodes	В	0.1, 0.2
Energy coefficient for nodes	α	2
Energy coefficient for nodes	β	1, 1.5
Data aggregation energy	$E_{_{D\!A}}$	5 nJ/bit/signal
Electronic circuitry energy	$E_{_{elec}}$	50 nJ/bit
Free space co-efficient	$\mathbf{\epsilon}_{_{f\!s}}$	10 p <i>J/bit/m2</i>
Multi-path co-efficient	\mathcal{E}_{mp}	0.013 <i>pJ/bit/m4</i>
Optimal percentage of CHs	P _{opt}	0.1
Initial Energy of nodes	E_{α}	$E_0(1+\alpha)$
Initial Energy of nodes	$E_{_{ m B}}$	$E_0(1+\beta)$
Data packet size	Ppkt	30 bytes
Total no. of nodes	N	100

Table 1

Figure 1, 2 and 3 shows the no. of alive nodes in each round of LEACH, LEACH-C and proposed protocol PSOBC for different positions of base station. Simulation results show a considerable improvement in network lifetime.

The above figures 4-6 shows a comparison of HEED, LEACH, SEP and EEHCP for different numbers and energy levels of α , β and ω nodes. In each case EEHCP outperform LEACH and SEP and HEED.

5. CONCLUSION

In this work we proposed a PSO based solution to clustering problem in which a new operator is defined and used it with PSO algorithm to make it work with discrete search space. Simulation results show a considerable increment in Network lifetime as compared to LEACH and LEACH-C. In the second proposed algorithm (EEHCP) a hybrid cluster head selection mechanism applied which uses the heterogeneity in sensor node for an intelligent deployment of nodes in the network and the residual energy of nodes in particular data transmission round to













weight the optimal probability of cluster head selection. The simulation results show that proposed algorithm outperforms SEP, LEACH and HEED and prolong the stability period and Network throughput.

REFERENCES

- I.F. Akyildiz, W. Su, Y. Sankarasubramaniam, and E. Cayirici, "Wireless sensor network: a survey", *Computer Networks*, vol.38, no. 4, pp. 393–422, 2002.
- [2] H. Zhou, Y. Wu, Y. Hu, and G. Xie, "A novel stable selection and reliable transmission protocol for clustered heterogeneous Wireless sensor networks," *Computer Communications*, vol. 33,no. 15, pp. 1843–1849, 2010.
- [3] B. A. Attea and E. A. Khalil, "A new evolutionary based routing protocol for clustered heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 1950–1957,2012.
- K. Karenos, V. Kalogeraki, S. Krishnamurthy, "Cluster-based Congestion control for sensor network", ACM Transaction on Sensor Networks, pp. 1-39, 2008.
- [5] A.Sinha and D.K.Lobiyal, "A Multi-Level Strategy for Energy Efficient Data Aggregation in Wireless Sensor Networks", Wireless Personnel Communication, pp.1513-1531, 2013.
- [6] Z. Beiranvand, A. Patooghy and M. Fazeli, "I-LEACH: An efficient routing Algorithm to Improve Performance & to Reduce Energy Consumption in Wireless Sensor Networks", *IEEE 5th International Conference on Information and Knowledge Technology*, pp. 13-18, 2013.
- [7] O. Younis and S. Fahmy, "HEED: a hybrid, energy-efficient, distributed clustering approach for ad hoc sensor networks,"*IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 366–379, 2004.
- [8] W. Xiaoling, S. Lei, W. Jin, J. Cho, and S. Lee, "Energyefficient deployment of mobile sensor network by PSO," in Advanced Web and Network Technologies, and Applications: APWeb 2006 InternationalWorkshops: XRA, IWSN, MEGA, andICSE, Harbin, China, January 16–18, 2006. Proceedings, vol. 3842of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 373–382, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2006.
- [9] B. Singh and D. K. Lobiyal, "A novel energy-aware cluster head selection based on particle swarm optimization for wireless sensor networks," *Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, article 13, 18 pages, 2012.
- [10] S. Thilagavathi and B. G. Geetha, "Energy aware swarm optimization with inter cluster search for wireless sensor network," *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2015, Article ID 395256, 8 pages, 2015.
- [11] W. R. Heinzelman, A.P. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, Energy efficient communication protocol for wireless micro sensor networks, in Proceedings of the 33rd Hawaaian International Conference on System Sciences, January 2000.
- [12] S. Bandyopadhyay and E. Coyle, An energy efficient hierarchical clustering algorithm for wireless sensor networks, in 22nd Annual Joint Conf. of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies (INFOCOM 2003), San Francisco, CA, April 2003.
- [13] T. Kang, J. Yun, and K. Han, "A clustering method for energy efficient routing in wireless sensor networks," in Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Electronics, Hardware, Wireless and Optical Communications(WSEAS'07),pp.133– 138, Corfulceland,Greece,February2007.
- [14] R. Vidhyapriya and P. T. Vanathi, "Energy efficient adaptive multipath routing for wireless sensor networks," IAENG International Journal of Computer Science, vol.34, no.1, p.56, 2007.
- [15] W. B Heinzelman, A. P Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless micro sensor networks," IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 660-70, October 2002.
- [16] N. M. A. Latiff, C. C. Tsimenidis, and B. S. Sharif, "Energy aware clustering for wireless sensor networks using particle swarm optimization," in Proceedings of the 18th Annual IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC'07), pp.1–5, Athens, Greece, September2007.
- [17] C. Vimalarani, R. Subramanian and S. N. Sivanandam, "An Enhanced PSO-Based Clustering Energy Optimization Algorithm for Wireless Sensor Network," The Scientific World Journal, vol.2016, Article ID 8658760, 11 pages, 2016.
- [18] G. Smaragdakis, I. Matta & A. Bestavros, "SEP: A stable election protocol for clustered heterogeneous wireless sensor

Energy Efficient Clustering Approach for Data Aggregation and Fusion in Wireless Sensor Networks

networks", in Proceedings of Second International Workshop on Sensor and Actor Network Protocols and Applications (SANPA 2004), 2004.

- [19] R. C. Eberhart and J. Kennedy, "A new optimizer using particle swarm theory", in Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Micromachine and Human Science, Nagoya, Japan, pp. 39-43, 1995.
- [20] J. Kennedy and R. C. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks, Piscataway, NJ, pp. 1942-1948, 1995.
- [21] Y. Shi and R. C. Eberhart, "A modified particle swarm optimizer", *in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation*, pp. 69–73, 1998.
- [22] B. Al-kazemi and C. K. Mohan, "Multi-phase discrete particle swarm optimiziation", in Proceeding of Fourth International Workshop on Frontiers in Evolutionary Algorithms (FEA 2002), 2002